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Dual and Opposing Modulatory Effects of Serotonin on Crayfish Lateral Giant Escape Command Neurons

Terri Teshiba, Ashkan Shamsian, Bahram Yashar, Shih-Rung Yeh, Donald H. Edwards, and Franklin B. Krasne

1Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, 2Institute of Life Sciences, National Tsing Hua University, 30013 Taiwan, Republic of China, and 3Department of Biology, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4010

Serotonin modulates afferent synaptic transmission to the lateral giant neurons of crayfish, which are command neurons for escape behavior. Low concentrations, or high concentrations reached gradually, are facilitatory, whereas high concentrations reached rapidly are inhibitory. The modulatory effects rapidly reverse after brief periods of application, whereas longer periods of application are followed by facilitation that persists for hours. These effects of serotonin can be reproduced by models that involve multiple interacting intracellular signaling systems that are each stimulated by serotonin. The dependence of the neuromodulatory effect on dose, rate, and duration of modulator application may be relevant to understanding the effects of natural neuromodulation on behavior and cognition and to the design of drug therapies.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Experiments were performed on either adult Procambarus clarkii measuring 8–9 cm rostrum to telson or on juveniles 2–3 cm in length. Crayfish were obtained from Atchalafaya Biological Supply (Raceland, LA) and kept isolated in 14 × 20 cm polyethylene dishes for at least 2 weeks before experimentation. Adults and juveniles gave similar results, and their data were pooled. We avoided use of animals kept in the lab for many months because we believe that they have diminished responsiveness to applied 5-HT.

Experimental procedures. Animals were cooled gradually to 5°C, the abdomen was pinned on Sylgard in crayfish saline, and the nerve cord was exposed dorsally as in Krasne (1969). Thereafter, experiments were at −20°C. KCl-filled (3 M), −10 MΩ recording electrodes were placed in proximal dendrites of LGs, usually in the sixth abdominal ganglion but in the third or fourth in a few experiments, and platinum wire stimulating electrodes were placed on roots 2–4 (root 2 in third and fourth ganglion experiments). Test EPSPs were evoked every 2 min by a 0.2 msec voltage pulse at stimulating electrodes. Exposure to 5-HT was begun when the amplitude of evoked EPSPs, which often drifted up or down after dissection and penetration, stabilized (usually ~30 min). Electrical activity was stored digitally for later analysis.

5-HT application. 5-HT was applied by superfusion in juveniles and by arterial perfusion (as in Mulloney et al., 1987) in adults. LOW concentration was 10⁻⁸ M (perfusion) or 5 × 10⁻⁶ M (superfusion), and HIGH concentration was 5 × 10⁻⁵ M (perfusion) or 10⁻⁴ M (superfusion). Exposure periods were either SHORT (10 min) or LONG (45 min or 40–60 min in initial experiments). For FAST applications, 5-HT was stepped to full concentration as rapidly as possible (within a few minutes). For SLOW applications, it was dripped so that full concentration was reached in ~20–30 min (assessed by replacing 5-HT solutions with salt solutions and measuring conductance). 5-HT creatinine sulfate crystals (Research Biochemicals, Natick, MA) were stored with desiccant in a freezer (for not more than a few months) and dissolved just before use in 4 mM HEPES-buffered crayfish Ringer’s solution, pH 7.2.

Data analysis. The EPSPs evoked by stimulation of ganglionic roots generally begin with an early depolarizing peak (α) caused by arrival of monosynaptic input and a slightly later peak (β) produced by disynaptic input (Fig. 1A,B). Subsequent portions of the response reflect a mixture...
Figure 1. Effect of 5-HT on synaptic potentials evoked in LG by 0.2 msec root shocks. A. Schematic of afferent portion of LG circuit. Chemical synapses (Chem excite) of sensory neurons on interneurons and rectifying electrical synapses (Rect elect) of sensory neurons and interneurons on LGs are indicated, as are the recording site at the LG axon initial segment and the soma of the unipolar LG. The monosynaptic innervation of LG causes an initial (α) depolarization, followed by another depolarization (β) attributable to the disynaptic pathway; action potentials can be triggered by β or sometimes α but not later parts of the EPSP, which reflects a complex mix of excitation and depolarizing inhibition. B. Time plots of normalized α (open circles) and β (filled circles) component amplitudes for illustrative experiments under each of the indicated conditions of 5-HT delivery. 5-HT exposure as indicated by solid bars, with the width of the bar approximately indicating 5-HT concentration. Test stimuli were at 2 min intervals. To the right of each graph are sample synaptic potentials recorded before 5-HT application (thin continuous lines), at the end of 5-HT application (solid bold lines), and after 30 min of 5-HT washout (dashed bold lines).

RESULTS

The LG receives converging synaptic input from primary mechanosensory afferents and mechanosensory interneurons (Fig. 1A) that produce monosynaptic (α) and disynaptic (β) EPSPs, respectively (Fig. 1B) (Krasne, 1969); LG spikes and reflexive tail flips are usually produced only when the β peak exceeds firing level (Krasne, 1969; Olson and Krasne, 1981), although under some circumstances the α component can also become large enough to trigger spikes and behavior (Edwards et al., 1994).

The effect of rapid application of 5-HT (maximum level reached within 1–2 min) for 10 min or less at a high concentration (the FAST–SHORT–HIGH condition; see Materials and Methods) is shown in Figure 1B1 for an illustrative preparation. Both the α and β components of the LG EPSP declined during the 5-HT but were restored to their baseline values after several minutes of 5-HT washout. This same pattern can be seen to hold for the averaged normalized β component in Figure 2, which plots the time course of 5-HT effects for all conditions, superimposed to facilitate comparison. Figure 3A shows mean EPSP amplitudes at the end of 5-HT exposure and after 30 min of washout. The decline of the β component is statistically reliable at the end of the 5-HT exposure (p < 0.05) but not significantly different from baseline after 30 min of wash. As in several conditions of this study, the effects of 5-HT on the α component paralleled those on the β component but were not statistically reliable. However, in
With slow 5-HT application, EPSP facilitation began when the gradually rising concentration of 5-HT was still quite low (consistent with Yeh et al., 1997). This suggested the possibility that the concentration threshold of facilitation was lower than that needed to cause EPSP reduction. We thus examined the effects of rapid application of a low concentration of 5-HT that was maintained for 45–60 min (FAST–LONG–LOW condition; see Materials and Methods). This procedure produced prompt facilitation of the LG EPSP instead of inhibition, and the facilitation persisted after washout of 5-HT, as in the response to the SLOW–LONG–HIGH application (Figs. 1B, 2, 3). The increases of both the α and β components at the end of 5-HT application and after 30 min of wash were statistically significant (Fig. 3D).

Because persistent facilitation was seen during washout in both the SLOW–LONG–HIGH and the FAST–LONG–LOW conditions, but not in the FAST–SHORT–HIGH condition, we examined the effect of FAST–LONG–HIGH exposures. Consistent with the findings for the FAST–SHORT–HIGH condition, the initial effect of the 5-HT was inhibitory. This inhibition persisted throughout the ~45 min period of 5-HT exposure (Figs. 1B, 2, 3C; both α and β component reductions statistically significant). However, when the 5-HT was washed out, the inhibition gave way to a persistent facilitation (Figs. 1B, 2). This facilitation was statistically reliable for the β but not the α component (Fig. 3C). Thus, persistent facilitation occurred in the aftermath of all LONG exposures, regardless of the initial effect of serotonin (Figs. 1B, 2, WASH curves; 3B–D, open bars). In many of the present experiments, facilitation persisted for >1 hr without decline, and in a previous report using slow application (Yeh et al., 1997), facilitation of both α and β were routinely seen to persist throughout 1 hr of wash and in some cases seen to persist unabated during 5 hr of wash.

The LGs became depolarized during exposure to 5-HT in all conditions and all experiments and by approximately the same amount in each condition (mean ± SD, 2.7 ± 1.3). Depolarization also occurred during facilitation in Yeh et al. (1997) and during inhibition produced by exposures, comparable with our FAST, HIGH conditions (Vu and Krasne, 1993).

**DISCUSSION**

These experiments have uncovered two distinct forms of serotonergic modulation affecting the LGs in socially isolated crayfish, a facilitation that is produced by low concentrations of 5-HT (“low-threshold facilitation”) and an inhibition that is produced by high 5-HT concentrations (“high-threshold inhibition”), both of which are associated with depolarization of the LGs.

The fact that 5-HT effects were generally more pronounced for the β component than for the monosynaptic α component might indicate that the effects of 5-HT on the β component are in part attributable to modulation of the first-order synapse of the disynaptic pathway. However, inhibition of the α component was statistically significant at both 10 and 45–60 min after rapid introduction of a high concentration of 5-HT, and facilitation of the monosynaptic response was statistically reliable during exposure to low concentrations of 5-HT. Reliable facilitation and inhibition of monosynaptic (α) input to the LGs have also been seen in previous studies (Glanzman and Krasne, 1983; Vu and Krasne, 1993; Yeh et al., 1996, 1997). Additionally, the fact that 5-HT altered the membrane potential of the LGs in both this and previous studies and that LG input conductance increased in
association with the inhibition (Vu and Krasne, 1993) and decreased in association with the facilitation (Yeh et al., 1997) supports the view that both facilitatory and inhibitory effects of 5-HT in isolates are attributable at least in part to modulation directly at the level of the LG and/or synapses directly on it.

The same SLOW–LONG–HIGH application of serotonin that here and in previous studies (Yeh et al., 1996, 1997) produced facilitation in social isolates produces inhibition of the response of the LG in social subordinates (Yeh et al., 1997). Because the inhibition studied in subordinates, unlike the high-threshold inhibition studied here, is associated with LG hyperpolarization, it appears to be a distinct type of serotonergic modulation of LG, different from either of those observed here.

The present experiments also add to the complexity of serotonergic modulation of LG in that directly opposite effects of serotonin are obtained not only in animals with different social histories but also in animals of a given history when different patterns of serotonin application are used. It remains to be determined whether the effect of serotonin on the LGs in subordinates is also sensitive to the pattern of application.

Possible mechanisms

The cellular mechanisms that cause the modulatory effects of serotonin to depend on the concentration, application rate, and duration of exposure are unknown, but some preliminary conclusions may be drawn. The results shown here suggest that 5-HT exposure can activate two parallel intracellular signaling pathways, a lower-threshold facilitatory pathway, and a higher-threshold inhibitory pathway. These pathways might be activated by either different 5-HT receptors or different levels of a common initial second messenger (as in Artola and Singer, 1993; Lisman, 1994; Bear, 1995).

The production of inhibition when higher concentrations of 5-HT are introduced rapidly but facilitation when the same concentrations are reached slowly suggests that intracellular signals generating one form of modulation may interfere with early steps involved in producing the other, as shown in Figure 4A. If such mutual suppression operated, then whichever modulatory pathway became active first would prevent development of the other form of modulation and, thus, would dominate. With gradual application of 5-HT, the lower-threshold facilitatory pathway would dominate because it would be engaged first. On the other hand, if the high-threshold inhibitory pathway had a faster response time than the facilitatory signaling pathway, then sudden increases of 5-HT concentration to high levels would cause inhibition rather than facilitation. This is by no means the only possible explanation of the application rate sensitivity we observed, but it was the most plausible one we could think of.

Serotonergic facilitation that lasts for hours after prolonged but not after brief 5-HT exposures is reminiscent of a similar effect at sensorimotor synapses of Aplysia. There, hours long facilitation can result from either translation-dependent (but transcription-independent) processes (Ghirardi et al., 1995; Mauelschagen et al., 1996, 1998) or entirely post-translational processes (Ghirardi et al., 1995). The exact mechanisms operating either in Aplysia or here are unknown, and there are many possibilities. For example, such properties can in principle arise from mutual facilitation between signaling pathways, as illustrated by modeling of the interactive properties of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase and protein kinase C (Bhalla and Iyengar, 1999). Another possibility is that the process that normally destroys facilitatory precursors gradually inactivates and allows the

remaining precursors and resultant facilitation to persist, as shown in Figure 4B. The mechanisms by which G-protein-coupled receptors adapt (Ferguson and Caron, 1998; Lefkowitz, 1998) provides a possible mechanism, although at a shorter time scale, for such inactivation.

Ability of mechanisms to account for findings

To show that signaling pathway interactions really could cause the phenomena we observed, we constructed a specific computational model (Fig. 5A) that combined the mutual suppression and the inactivation processes just discussed; the quantitative details of the model are given in Appendix. The model consists of interacting facilitatory (F) and inhibitory (I) pathways activated by serotonin (S). Each pathway has three reaction steps in which an initial product (F1 or I1) increases as a sigmoidal function of log S and is converted to secondary and tertiary products (F2, F3, or I2, I3). Products increase at a rate proportional to the amount of precursor (Fig. 5A, processes 3, 7, 10, 11) and are broken down at a rate proportional to their own amount (Fig. 5A, processes 5, 9, 13, 14), and where necessary, feedback inhibition was used to avoid run-away growth of products (Fig. 5A, processes 4, 8). Whichever form of modulation becomes established first, be it facilitation or inhibition, dominates because product F2 suppresses the production of I2 and conversely (Fig. 5A, process 12; compare with Fig. 4A). Facilitation persists after extended serotonin exposure because breakdown of F1 (Fig. 5A, process 5) inactivates (Fig. 5A, process 6; compare with Fig. 4B). The final products (F2 and I2) determine multiplicative factors that adjust EPSP amplitude; the facilitatory multiplier was constructed to increase the EPSP by a percentage proportional to the amount of facilitatory product and correspondingly for the inhibitory multiplier and the final inhibitory product. EPSP amplitudes predicted by the model under the four different conditions of 5-HT application are shown in Figure 5B.

The FAST–SHORT–HIGH 5-HT stimulus (Fig. 5B1) is inhib-

---

**Figure 4.** Illustrative explanations of key data features. Here and in Figure 5, boxes indicate activated receptors, activated G-proteins, second messengers, or activated enzymes such as kinases. Lines with arrowheads indicate stimulation of production of the targeted factor, possibly via a number of unspecified steps. Filled circles indicate processes that cause breakdown or inactivation of a factor. Open circles indicate inhibition of a process. Open arrows indicate usage-produced decline in the efficacy of a process. A, Possible mechanism for dependence on rate of 5-HT application (see Discussion). B, Possible mechanism for 5-HT duration-dependent persistence of facilitation (see Discussion).
The signaling pathways mediating the effects of serotonin on the LG are not yet known. Thus, attempts to suggest specific signaling pathways and interactions that could implement this model, which is itself only one of a number that could reproduce our findings, would be premature. However, it should be pointed out that the model is not unrealistic in terms of types of mechanisms that are known to operate in cellular signaling pathways. Thus, $F_1$ (or $I_1$) in the model might plausibly correspond to a second messenger, such as cAMP or diacylglycerol, and $F_2$ (or $I_2$) to the activated form of the associated protein kinase of the second messenger. The activated kinases might then alter membrane conductances by directly phosphorylating channel proteins, the decreased conductance and depolarization associated with low-threshold facilitating being consistent with closure of a K\(^+\) channel and the increased conductance and depolarization associated with high-threshold inhibition being consistent with opening of a Cl\(^-\) channel. The suppression of $F_2$ production by $I_2$ would then result if the activated kinase corresponding to $I_2$ were to inhibit the activation of the kinase corresponding to $F_2$ by phosphorylating suitable sites on its regulatory region and conversely (as in inhibition of MAP kinase and CaM kinase kinase activation by PKA) (D’Angelo et al., 1997; Wayman et al., 1997; Soderling, 1999). The $F_1$ persistence postulated in the model could be attributable to inactivation of an enzymatic reaction breaking down the second messenger (see above) or to some other mechanism leading to prolonged elevation of second-messenger levels such as those responsible for prolonged elevation of DAG in some systems (Nishizuka, 1995). Given this set of proposals, feedforward inhibition (Fig. 5A, process 15) would have to be explained by postulating that the second messenger corresponding to $I_1$ causes a reduction in the extent to which the phosphorylation caused by facilitatory kinase closes the K\(^+\) channel. Because some second messengers can directly gate ion channels (Yau, 1994), this effect might be direct.

Alternatively, $F_1$ and $I_1$ could correspond to two different activated protein kinases and $F_2$ and $I_2$ to the sets of phosphorylated proteins that are the targets of the kinases. Then, if the activated kinase corresponding to $I_1$ were to inhibit the catalytic action of the activated kinase corresponding to $F_2$ by phosphorylating it, and conversely, the mutual inhibition postulated by the model would be accomplished. The persistence of $F_1$ would here be equivalent to the sustained activation of the kinase to which it corresponds; sustained activation of PKA in fact occurs in *Aplysia* after suitably extended periods of serotonin application (Muller and Carew, 1998). Under this set of assumptions, feedforward inhibition would have to be explained by assuming that the activated kinase corresponding to $I_1$ reduces the extent to which the phosphorylation of the K\(^+\) channel catalyzed by the facilitatory kinase effectively closes the K\(^+\) channel; the $I_2$ kinase could do this by directly phosphorylating the K\(^+\) channel at a suitable regulatory site.

More specific and more complete hypotheses must await identification of the signaling pathways recruited in the LGs by 5-HT. However, whatever the actual mechanisms, our simulations show that the dependence of the effect of 5-HT on dose, rate, and duration of 5-HT application may in principle be understood in terms of complex interactions between second messengers and enzymes in intracellular signaling systems. Interactions of this kind provide a capability for logical operations, memory, and

\[ \text{Figure 5. A model that predicts the observed results. A. The model; Discussion contains a qualitative explanation, and Appendix contains full quantitative characterization. Symbols are defined in the legend of Figure 4. } F_1-F_2 \text{ and } I_1-I_2 \text{ are key intermediaries in the conjectured facilitatory and inhibitory pathways, respectively; processes are numbered for reference and correspond to equation numbers in Appendix. B1-B4, EPSP amplitudes predicted by the model under the four different conditions of 5-HT application. The width of the dark bars approximately indicate concentration of 5-HT.} \]
temporal pattern generation based on intracellular biochemical networks rather than on multicellular neural networks (Katz, 1999; Weng et al., 1999).

**Functional importance**

These different application regimens may mimic the different ways that naturally released 5-HT can reach the LG neuron. A serotonergic neuron has been found that projects caudally along the dorsal aspect of the LGs and has terminal varicosities in apparent contact (at the light microscopic level) with the initial dendritic segment of the LG in each abdominal ganglion (Yeh et al., 1997). Activation of this serotonergic neuron could cause the concentration of serotonin in the vicinity of LG to rise quickly to high levels, mimicking the FAST–HIGH application regimen. Serotonin is also a hormone in the blood of crayfish and lobsters (Beltz and Kravitz, 1983); the lack of a blood–brain barrier allows serotonin in the blood to reach the LG, but it presumably does so more slowly and at lower concentrations than with synaptic release, perhaps mimicking the SLOW and LOW application regimens of this study.

The functional significance of serotonergic modulation of LG excitation is not yet clear. However, because injected serotonin seems to have effects on social behavior (Huber et al., 1997) and because its effects on the LGs are social experience dependent, it seems likely that the modulation is important during agonistic interactions. There also is evidence for both increases in medial giant-mediated escape (Herberholz et al., 2001) and decreases of lateral giant-mediated escape (Krasne et al., 1997) during social interactions. There also is evidence for both increases in medial giant-mediated escape (Herberholz et al., 2001) and decreases of lateral giant-mediated escape (Krasne et al., 1997) during social interactions of freely behaving crayfish, although a role for serotonin in such modulation has not been established.

The factors determining 5-HT exposure pattern in crayfish are not unique. Cortical targets located close to the release sites of serotonergic neurons projecting from the raphe nuclei might be expected to experience potentially large, rapidly changing levels of 5-HT exposure, whereas more distant targets would experience smaller, slower changes (Stamford et al., 2000). If similar to crayfish, these proximal and distal targets might be modulated very differently. Understanding how intracellular signaling systems are tailored to respond to different aspects of the 5-HT signal may guide both the study of the cognitive and behavioral responses to natural neuromodulation and the design of drug therapies.

**APPENDIX**

This appendix provides a mathematical characterization of the model described in Discussion and diagrammed in Figure 5A. Variable names correspond to those of the figure, and equation numbers correspond to the numbered processes of the figure.

Serotonin at concentration $S$ produces initial intracellular facilitatory and inhibitory factors, $F_0$ and $I_0$, that are sigmoidal functions of log $S$. Specifically,

$$ F_0 = 1/(1 + e^{-A\log S - \log S_0}) - z_0, \quad (1) $$

where $A = 2, \log S_0 = -8$, and $z_0$ is chosen so that $F_0 = 0$ when $\log S = -10$.

$$ I_0 = 1/(1 + e^{-A\log S - \log S_0}) - z_0, \quad (2) $$

where $A = 2, \log S_0 = -5$, and $z_0$ is chosen so that $I_0 = 0$ when $\log S = -10$.

$F_1$ is produced at a rate proportional to $F_0$ but is attenuated by a factor, $r_{epi}$, because of endpoint inhibition, which is constructed to keep $F_1$ from exceeding unity even when breakdown of $F_1$ is totally inactivated (see below). Thus,

$$ \text{rate of } F_1 \text{ production} = \alpha_F \cdot r_{epi} F_1 F_0(S), \quad (3) $$

where

$$ r_{epi} F_1 = 1 - F_1. \quad (4) $$

$F_1$ breaks down at a rate proportional to its concentration, but the rate of degradation is attenuated by an inactivation factor, $r_i$, that declines as breakdown occurs. This inactivation of $F_1$ breakdown is responsible for the persistence of $F_1$ when 5-HT is removed after long exposures. Thus,

$$ \text{rate of } F_1 \text{ breakdown} = \beta_F \cdot r_i(t) F_1, \quad (5) $$

where

$$ \Delta r_i/\Delta t = -\gamma F_i(t) \text{ when } F_i > 0; \quad r_i(0) = 1. \quad (6) $$

Taking into account both production and breakdown,

$$ \Delta F_1/\Delta t = \alpha_F r_{epi} F_1 F_0(S) - \beta_F r_i(t) F_1. \quad (7) $$

Production and breakdown of $I_1$ are computed similarly except that breakdown of $I_1$ does not inactivate. Thus,

$$ \text{rate of } I_1 \text{ production} = \alpha_i \cdot r_{epi} I_1 I_0(S), \quad (8) $$

where endpoint inhibition is given by

$$ r_{epi} I_1 = (1 + \beta_i/\alpha_i) - I_1, \quad (9) $$

which prevents $I_1$ from exceeding unity, and

$$ \text{rate of } I_1 \text{ breakdown} = \beta_i I_1. \quad (10) $$

Taking into account both production and breakdown,

$$ \Delta I_1/\Delta t = \alpha_i r_{epi} I_1 I_0(S) - \beta_i I_1. \quad (11) $$

$F_2$ and $I_2$ are each produced at rates proportional to their precursors, but production of each intermediary is inhibited by the other (mutual inhibition, $r_{mi}$). Thus,

$$ \text{rate of } F_2 \text{ production} = \alpha \cdot r_{mi} I_2 F_1, \quad (12) $$

and

$$ \text{rate of } I_2 \text{ production} = \alpha \cdot r_{mi} F_2 I_1, \quad (13) $$

where the mutual inhibition attenuation factor is given by

$$ r_{mi}(x) = 1/(1 + \gamma_{mi}^2), \quad (14) $$

with the argument, $x$, being either $I_2$ or $F_2$.

As above, breakdown of $F_2$ and $I_2$ are given by

$$ \text{rate of } F_2 \text{ breakdown} = \alpha \cdot F_2, \quad (15) $$

$$ \text{rate of } I_2 \text{ breakdown} = \alpha \cdot I_2. \quad (16) $$

Hence,

$$ \Delta F_2/\Delta t = \alpha \cdot r_{mi} F_2 I_1 - F_2, \quad (17) $$

$$ \Delta I_2/\Delta t = \alpha \cdot r_{mi} F_2 I_1 - I_2. \quad (18) $$
Finally, EPSP amplitudes are assumed to be changed by factors proportional to final facilitatory and inhibitory products. Thus, 

\[ \text{EPSP}_{\text{normalized}} = \left[ 1 + \alpha_I F_{2s} \right] \left[ 1 - \alpha_F I_2 \right] \]

However, in approximately half of our experiments under the SLOW–LONG–HIGH condition and in the mean curves of Figure 2, the elevated \( \beta \) component of the EPSP fell somewhat as 5-HT concentration increased and then grew again as 5-HT was washed out. Although this effect was not statistically reliable, it was sufficiently common (and clear in some individual experiments) that we wanted our model to be able to emulate it. It could not be explained by production of small amounts of \( I_2 \) as the slowly rising 5-HT concentration grew, because mutual inhibition necessarily drives \( I_2 \) to zero when 5-HT concentration rises slowly. Therefore, to account for this feature of the data, we assumed that \( I_1 \) attenuates \( F_{2s} \)-caused facilitation by a factor, \( r_F \) (feedforward inhibition) via the dashed pathway of Figure 5. Thus,

\[ \text{EPSP}_{\text{normalized}} = \left[ 1 + \alpha_F r_F I_1 \right] \left[ 1 - \alpha_I I_2 \right] \]

where

\[ r_F(I_1) = 1/(1 + \gamma_F I_1) \]  

(15)

Simulations were done with this feedforward inhibition operative. When it is omitted, the prewash dip and postwash rise of EPSP amplitude seen in Figure 5B,4 do not occur.

For computations, 4000 updates were taken to correspond to 30 min of real time or 0.45 sec per update. For HIGH conditions, log \( S \) was set to -3, and for LOW to -7. FAST applications were simulated by steps of log \( S \) from -10 to final concentration, whereas for SLOW application log \( S \) was started at -10 and altered over time according to \( \Delta \log S/\Delta t = 0.008 \) (log \( S_{\text{final}} \) - log \( S \)). LONG was equal to 4000 iterations and SHORT to 1000, corresponding nominally to 30 and 7.5 min of 5-HT application, respectively. Parameters used in simulations were as follows:

\[ \alpha_F = 0.01; \quad \beta_F = 0.01; \quad \alpha_I = 0.008; \quad \beta_I = 0.003; \]

\[ \alpha_2 = 0.025; \quad \sigma_F = \sigma_I = 0.5; \quad \gamma_I = 0.001; \quad \gamma_{\text{stim}} = 1250; \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_F = 0.8. \]
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