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EDITORIAL


David W. Stinson
Georgia State University

As a critical\(^1\) mathematics educator, it is difficult not to be pessimistic about the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA), signed into law by President Barak Obama on December 10th. The ESSA, similar to its predecessors, has an admirably worded purpose statement: “To provide all children significant opportunity to receive a fair, equitable, and high-quality education, and to close educational achievement gaps” (ESSA, 2015, Sec. 1001). But after more than a decade of suffering through federal legislation that left far too many children behind and yielded far too many losers in the race to the top, I have become increasingly doubtful that any organization, including the federal government, has “the will” (Hilliard, 1991, p. 31)\(^2\) to facilitate “the kind of violent reform necessary to change the conditions of African American, Latin@, Indigenous, and poor students [i.e., the collective Black\(^3\)] in mathematics education” (Martin, 2015, p. 22). Nevertheless, it is being

---

\(^1\) By critical, I mean in the critical theoretical sense. Bronner (2011), in providing a definition of sorts of critical theory, writes:

Critical theory refuses to identify freedom with any institutional arrangement or fixed system of thought. It questions the hidden assumptions and purposes of competing theories and existing forms of practice. …

Critical theory insists that thought must respond to the new problems and the new possibilities for liberation that arise from changing historical circumstances. Interdisciplinary and uniquely experimental in character, deeply skeptical of tradition and all absolute claims, critical theory…[is] concerned not merely with how things [are] but how they might be and should be. (pp. 1–2)

\(^2\) In his article titled “Do We Have the Will to Educate All Children?” Hilliard (1991) writes:

If our destination is excellence on a massive scale, not only must we change from the slow lane into the fast lane; we literally must change highways. Perhaps we need to abandon the highways altogether to take flight, because the highest goals that we can imagine are well within reach for those who have the will to excellence. (p. 36, emphasis in original)

\(^3\) Martin (2015), attributing the term to Eduardo Bonilla-Silva, named this group of currently and historically underserved students the collective Black.
critical that makes me optimistic as well, albeit a “non-stupid optimism” (McWilliam, 2005, p. 1). It is this forever oscillating between pessimism and optimism that drives me and many other critical educators to do the work that we do.

For the past 8 years, exemplars of this crucially needed work—completed by a particular group of (largely) critical mathematics educators—are found within the online pages of the *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education (JUME)*. The readers, editors, reviewers, and authors of *JUME* (a collective group that numbers more than 1,000 strong) have brought to life over 1,700 pages of scholarly editorials, commentaries, response commentaries, public stories, research articles, and book reviews. This group of educators includes those who have spent decades working to provide all children significant opportunity to receive a fair, equitable, and high-quality education (many with a specific focus on the collective Black), as well as those who are just beginning their careers as critical mathematics classroom teachers, teacher educators, and/or education researchers.

The purpose behind the creation of *JUME* was and continues to be to create a movement of change in mathematics education (Matthews, 2008). Over the past 8 years, *JUME* has offered different statements—that is, different knowledges (cf. Foucault, 1969/1972)—about “urban” mathematics education and, in turn, different statements about urban children and urban schools (Stinson, 2010). To date, web views of *JUME* content have exceeded 140,000 views, and Google Scholar citations have exceeded 400, with Google and Google Scholar web searches returning over 2,300 and 340 hits, respectively.

Four years ago, based on the power, in the Foucauldian sense (see, e.g., Foucault, 1980), of the academic edited handbook to produce and reproduce knowledge in both social science research, in general (e.g., Denzin & Lincoln, 1994, 2000, 2005, 2011), and mathematics education research, in particular (e.g., Grouws, 1992; Lester, 2007), I suggested that *JUME* be envisioned “as a both–and rather than an either–or research and pedagogical resource” (Stinson, 2011, p. 3). That is, *JUME* can function as both a peer-reviewed journal and an academic edited handbook on urban mathematics education. I then proceeded to provide the Table of Contents, if you will, of the first edition of the *Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning*.

Here, I offer an expanded version of that Table of Contents, including the research and scholarship published in *JUME* over the past 4 years (see Appendix A).}

---

4 McWilliam (2005) argues that teachers who maintain their passion for teaching even after seeing endless rounds of ideas and policies come through do not indulge in mindless optimism but rather a non-stupid optimism.

5 See also two *JUME* special issues: the Benjamin Banneker Association and National Science Foundation (BBA-NSF) special issue (Bullock, Alexander, & Gholson, 2012) and the Privilege and Oppression in the Mathematics Preparation of Teacher Educators (PrOMPTE) special issue (Stinson & Spencer, 2013), as well as the editorials, public stories, and book reviews published in nearly every issue.
I also suggest here an expanded use for JUME beyond its use as a research and/or pedagogical resource. I suggest that JUME be used as an easily accessible resource guide to assist those mathematics education leaders and policy makers who will be busy in the coming months and years translating ESSA into policies and practices intended to ensure that every “urban student” succeeds in mathematics. This time around, however, I hope that members of the larger mathematics education community will neither allow politics to take the place of scientific inquiry (Boaler, 2008) nor erase “race” from a national conversation on mathematics teaching and learning (Martin, 2008), among other policy missteps and omissions of the past.6 As the single largest and most up-to-date collection of theoretical and empirical social science on urban mathematics teaching and learning, I hope those members of the mathematics education community who will be charged (both directly and indirectly) to translate ESSA will turn to JUME often as they consider Bullock’s (2015) most recent direct and timely question:

—“Do all lives matter in mathematics education?”
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APPENDIX A

NOTE: Scroll over titles and click, all "chapters" are hyperlinked.

Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning
(Expanded edition)

Table of Contents

Part I: Issues

1. Putting the “Urban” in Mathematics Education Scholarship
   William F. Tate – Washington University in St. Louis

   Eric (Rico) Gutstein – University of Illinois at Chicago

3. Mathematics as Gatekeeper: Power and Privilege in the Production of Knowledge
   Danny Bernard Martin, Maisie L. Gholson – University of Illinois at Chicago
   Jacqueline Leonard – University of Colorado Denver
   3.1 “Both And”—Equity and Mathematics: A Response to Martin, Gholson, and Leonard
      Jere Confrey – North Carolina State University
   3.1 Engaging Students in Meaningful Mathematics Learning: Different Perspectives, Complementary Goals
      Michael T. Battista – The Ohio State University

4. Changing Students’ Lives Through the De-tracking of Urban Mathematics Classrooms
   Jo Boaler – Stanford University

5. Positive Possibilities of Rethinking (Urban) Mathematics Education Within a Postmodern Frame
   Margaret Walshaw – Massey University

6. Neoliberal Urbanism, Race, and Equity in Mathematics Education
   Pauline Lipman – University of Illinois at Chicago

7. Erbody Talkin bout Social Justice Aint Goin There
   Jacqueline Leonard – University of Wyoming

8. Why (Urban) Mathematics Teachers Need Political Knowledge
   Rochelle Gutiérrez – University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
9. Place Matters: Mathematics Education Reform in Urban Schools  
   Celia Rousseau Anderson – University of Memphis

10. Why Should Mathematics Educators Learn from and about Latina/o Students’ In-School and Out-of-School Experiences?  
    Marta Civil – The University of Arizona

11. The Collective Black and Principles to Actions  
    Danny Bernard Martin – University of Illinois at Chicago

11.1 Call for Mathematics Education Colleagues and Stakeholders to Collaboratively Engage with NCTM: In Response to Martin’s Commentary  
    Diane J. Briars – NCTM President  
    Matt Larson – NCTM President-Elect  
    Marilyn E. Strutchens – NCTM Board of Directors  
    David Barnes – NCTM Associate Executive Director, Research, Learning and Development

    Gareth Bond, Egan J. Chernoff – University of Saskatchewan, Canada

13. From Implicit to Explicit: Articulating Equitable Learning Trajectories Based Instruction  
    Marrielle Myers – Kennesaw State University  
    Paola Sztajn – North Carolina State University  
    P. Holt Wilson – University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
    Cyndi Edgington – North Carolina State University

Part II: Theoretical Perspectives

14. A Metropolitan Perspective on Mathematics Education: Lessons Learned from a “Rural” School District  
    Celia Rousseau Anderson, Angiline Powell – University of Memphis

15. Mathematical Counterstory and African American Male Students: Urban Mathematics Education From a Critical Race Theory Perspective  
    Clarence L. Terry, Sr. – Occidental College

16. Caring, Race, Culture, and Power: A Research Synthesis Toward Supporting Mathematics Teachers in Caring With Awareness  
    Tonya Gau Bartell – University of Delaware

17. Ethnomodeling as a Research Theoretical Framework on Ethnomathematics and Mathematical Modeling  
    Milton Rosa, Daniel Clark Orey – Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Brazil
Part III: Teachers and Teaching

18. Comparing Teachers’ Conceptions of Mathematics Education and Student Diversity at Highly Effective and Typical Elementary Schools
   Richard S. Kitchen – University of New Mexico
   Francine Cabral Roy – University of Rhode Island
   Okhee Lee, Walter G. Secada – University of Miami

19. Preservice Teachers’ Changing Conceptions About Teaching Mathematics in Urban Elementary Classrooms
   Mindy Kalchman – DePaul University

20. Evolution of (Urban) Mathematics Teachers’ Identity
   Mary Q. Foote – Queens College, CUNY
   Beverly S. Smith, Laura M. Gillert – The City College of New York, CUNY

21. When Am I Going to Learn to be a Mathematics Teacher? A Case Study of a Novice New York City Teaching Fellow
   Michael Meagher – Brooklyn College, CUNY
   Andrew Brantlinger – University of Maryland, College Park

22. Success Made Probable: Creating Equitable Mathematical Experiences Through Project-Based Learning
   Dionne L. Cross – Indiana University Bloomington
   Rick A. Hudson – University of Southern Indiana
   Olufunke Adefope – Georgia Southern University
   Mi Yeon Lee, Lauren Rapacki, Arnulfo Perez – Indiana University Bloomington

23. Regarding the Mathematics Education of English Learners: Clustering the Conceptions of Preservice Teachers
   Laura McLeman – University of Michigan Flint
   Anthony Fernandes – University of North Carolina Charlotte
   Michelle McNulty – University of Michigan Flint

24. K–8 Teachers’ Concerns about Teaching Latino/a Students
   Cynthia Oropesa Anhalt – The University of Arizona
   María Elena Rodríguez Pérez – Universidad de Guadalajara

25. Affinity through Mathematical Activity: Cultivating Democratic Learning Communities
   Tesha Sengupta-Irving – University of California, Irvine

26. Delegating Mathematical Authority as a Means to Strive Toward Equity
   Teresa K. Dunleavy – Vanderbilt University

27. “I Just Wouldn’t Want to Get as Deep Into It”: Preservice Teachers’ Beliefs about the Role of Controversial Topics in Mathematics Education
   Ksenija Simic-Muller – Pacific Lutheran University
   Anthony Fernandes – University of North Carolina at Charlotte
   Mathew D. Felton-Koestler – Ohio University
Part IV: Teacher Education

   Lidia Gonzalez – York College, CUNY

29. Math Links: Building Learning Communities in Urban Settings
   Jacqueline Leonard – Temple University
   Brian R. Evans – Pace University

30. Learning to Teach Mathematics in Urban High Schools: Untangling the Threads of Interwoven Narratives
   Haiwen Chu – Graduate Center of City University of New York
   Laurie H. Rubel – Brooklyn College, CUNY

   Megan E. Staples, Mary P. Truxaw – University of Connecticut

32. Collaborative Evaluative Inquiry: A Model for Improving Mathematics Instruction in Urban Elementary Schools
   Iman C. Chahine – Georgia State University
   Lesa M. Covington Clarkson – University of Minnesota

33. K–2 Teachers’ Attempts to Connect Out-of-School Experiences to In-School Mathematics Learning
   Allison W. McCulloch, Patricia L. Marshal – North Carolina State University

34. “Estoy acostumbrada hablar Ingés”: Latin@ Pre-service Teachers’ Struggles to Use Spanish in a Bilingual Afterschool Mathematics Program
   Eugenia Vomvoridi-Ivanović – University of South Florida

35. Recruiting Secondary Mathematics Teachers: Characteristics That Add Up for African American Students
   Tamra C. Ragland – Hamilton County Educational Service Center
   Shelley Sheats Harkness – University of Cincinnati

Part V: Student Learning and Identity

36. Social Identities and Opportunities to Learn: Student Perspectives on Group Work in an Urban Mathematics Classroom
   Indigo Esmonde, Kanjana Brodie, Lesley Dookie, Miwa Takeuchi – University of Toronto

37. Exploring the Nexus of African American Students’ Identity and Mathematics Achievement
   Francis M. Nzuki – The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey
38. How Do We Learn? African American Elementary Students Learning Reform Mathematics in Urban Classrooms
   Lanette R. Waddell – Vanderbilt University

39. (In)equitable Schooling and Mathematics of Marginalized Students: Through the Voices of Urban Latinas/os
   Maura Varely Gutiérrez – Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom Public Charter School
   Craig Willey – Indiana University Purdue University-Indianapolis
   Lena L. Khisty – University of Illinois at Chicago

40. High-Achieving Black Students, Biculturalism, and Out-of-School STEM Learning Experiences: Exploring Some Unintended Consequences
   Ebony O. McGee – Vanderbilt University

41. Urban Latina/o Undergraduate Students’ Negotiations of Identities and Participation in an Emerging Scholars Calculus I Workshop
   Sarah Oppland-Cordell – Northeaster Illinois University

42. Latina/o Youth’s Perspectives on Race, Language, and Learning Mathematics
   Maria del Rosario Zavala – San Francisco State University

43. Latinas and Problem Solving: What They Say and What They Do
   Paula Guerra, Woong Lim – Kennesaw State University

44. Black Male Students and The Algebra Project: Mathematics Identity as Participation
   Melva R. Grant, Helen Crompton, Deana J. Ford – Old Dominion University

Part VI: Policy

45. Racism, Assessment, and Instructional Practices: Implications for Mathematics Teachers of African American Students
   Julius Davis – Morgan State University
   Danny Bernard Martin – University of Illinois at Chicago

   Pamela L. Paek – University of Texas at Austin

47. An Examination of Mathematics Achievement and Growth in a Midwestern Urban School District: Implications for Teachers and Administrators
   Robert M. Capraro, Jamaal Rashad Young, Chance W. Lewis, Zeyner Ebrar Yetkiner, Melanie N. Woods – Texas A&M University

   Eduardo Mosqueda – University of California, Santa Cruz
49. Success after Failure: Academic Effects and Psychological Implications of Early Universal Algebra Policies
Keith E. Howard – Chapman University
Martin Romero – Santa Ana College
Allison Scott – Level Playing Field Institute
Derrick Saddler – University of South Florida

Part VII: International Perspectives

50. Learning Mathematics in a Borderland Position: Students’ Foregrounds and Intentionality in a Brazilian Favela
Ole Skovsmose – Aalborg University
Pedro Paulo Scandiužzi – University São Paulo States
Paola Valero – Aalborg University
Helle Alrø – Aalborg University

51. Transforming Mathematical Discourse: A Daunting Task for South Africa’s Townships
Roland G. Pourdavood – Cleveland State University
Nicole Carignan – University of Quebec at Montreal
Lonnie C. King – Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University

52. Forging Mathematical Relationships in Inquiry-Based Classrooms With Pasifika Students
Roberta Hunter, Glenda Anthony – Massey University

53. Mathematics as (Multi)cultural Practice: Irish Lessons From the Polish Weekend School
Stephen O’Brien, Fiachra Long – University College Cork

54. Reflecting Heritage Cultures in Mathematics Learning: The Views of Teachers and Students
Robin Averill – Victoria University of Wellington

55. Financial Literacy with Families: Opportunity and Hope
Lorraine M. Baron – University of Hawai’i at Mānoa