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Abstract
For over a century, nebulizers have been commonly used to deliver aerosolized medications in the treatment of patients with pulmonary diseases. They are the aerosol device of choice when patients can not coordinate inhalation and actuation needed for the use of the pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs) or are not able to provide the necessary inspiratory flow required by the dry powder inhaler (DPI) for effective aerosol drug delivery. Three types of nebulizers exist: (1) jet nebulizers, (2) ultrasonic nebulizers, and (3) mesh nebulizers. The purpose of this paper is to explain the types of nebulizers available on the market and to evaluate their efficiencies in aerosol drug delivery while suggesting strategies for the optimal treatment of patients with pulmonary diseases.
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Delivery of aerosolized drugs was revolutionized in the 1950s with the development of nebulizers and pressurized metered-dose inhalers. Nebulizers transform liquid formulations and suspension into medical aerosol. In the past few years, there have been advances in the development of new nebulizers that hold the promise to improve aerosol drug delivery to patients with pulmonary diseases. Nebulizers are divided into three categories: (1) jet nebulizers, (2) ultrasonic nebulizers, and (3) mesh nebulizers. While jet nebulizers are commonly used for the treatment of patients with pulmonary diseases, they are bulky and require a power source. Due to aerosolized droplets and solvent vapor that saturates the outgoing air, jet nebulizers cool the drug solution in the nebulizer and increase solute concentration in the residual volume. Although ultrasonic nebulizers are more efficient and compact than jet nebulizers, they can not be used to deliver proteins or suspensions. With the development of mesh nebulizers that use lower-frequency waves, heating issues that denature proteins during aerosol therapy are eliminated. Also, it has been shown that mesh nebulizers are suitable for delivery of suspensions, liposomes, and nucleic acids (1-5). Since there is a large number of nebulizers in each category that have been introduced to the market, the purpose of this paper is to explain the types of nebulizers available and to evaluate their efficiencies in aerosol drug delivery. In addition, strategies for optimal inhalation treatment of patients with pulmonary diseases will be investigated.

JET NEBULIZERS
Traditionally, jet nebulizers have been used for the treatment of pulmonary diseases. These nebulizers require 2 to 10 L/min of pressurized gas to draw medication up through a capillary tube from the nebulizer reservoir in order to generate a wide range of particle sizes that are blasted into one or more baffles, which take larger particles out of suspension and return them to the reservoir.

Jet nebulizers are effective in delivering formulations that can not be delivered with pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs) and dry powder inhalers (DPIs). For instance, antibiotics, mucolytics, liposomal formulations, and recombinant products, such as Pulmozyme® Inhalation Solution, are some of the medications that can be delivered via jet nebulizers. On the other hand, jet nebulizers can be difficult to use because of their need for compressed gas and additional tubing. Also, several
Jet nebulizers are divided into four categories: (1) jet nebulizers with a corrugated tube, (2) jet nebulizers with a collection bag, (3) breath-enhanced jet nebulizers, and (4) breath-actuated jet nebulizers.

Jet Nebulizers with a Corrugated Tube
Jet nebulizers with a corrugated tube are conventional constant-output nebulizers that generate continuous aerosol during inspiration, expiration, and breath-hold. Although the corrugated tube attaches to the jet nebulizer acts as a reservoir, there is still significant drug loss during expiration with this type of nebulizer. Other disadvantages of these nebulizers include limited portability, requirements for compressed air/gas sources for operation, and variability between nebulizers (9-11). While jet nebulizers with a corrugated tube have several disadvantages, they are easy to use and have a good profile on patient compliance with treatment (12).

Breath-Enhanced Jet Nebulizers
A breath-enhanced jet nebulizer with a collection bag is considered a dosimetric nebulizer that releases aerosol only during inhalation. Aerosols generated during expiration are stored in the collection bag and given to the patient with the next inspiration through a one-way valve that is located between the mouthpiece and the collection bag. The Circulaire (Westmed INC, Tucson, AZ) is an example of this type of nebulizer. It has a better clinical profile than jet nebulizers with corrugated tubing, as it improves peak expiratory flow, heart rate, and respiratory rate in patients admitted to the emergency department due to bronchospasm (13). In addition, the Circulaire decreases the amount of drug escaping into the environment, providing less exposure to caregivers (14,15) while improving aerosol drug delivery to the patient’s lungs (14-16).

Breath-Actuated Jet Nebulizers
Breath-actuated jet nebulizers release more aerosol during inhalation through one-way valves in the mouthpiece. They generate aerosols using a negative pressure created by a patient’s inspiratory effort. PARI LC Plus, (PARI, Midlothian, VA) PARI LCD (PARI, Midlothian, VA), and NebuTech, (Salter Labs, Arvin, CA) are examples of breath-actuated jet nebulizers. Although the efficiency of breath-enhanced nebulizers is better than jet nebulizers with corrugated tubing (17,18), it must be noted that not all breath-enhanced nebulizers have the same efficiency (19), due to differences in residual volume and particle size.

Mesh Nebulizers
Mesh nebulizers demonstrate similar drug delivery in simulated ventilation apertures in a mesh or aperture plate in order to generate aerosol. They force liquid medications through multiple apertures in a mesh or aperture plate in order to generate aerosol. They force liquid medications through multiple apertures in a mesh or aperture plate in order to generate aerosol. They force liquid medications through multiple apertures in a mesh or aperture plate in order to generate aerosol. They force liquid medications through multiple apertures in a mesh or aperture plate in order to generate aerosol.
drugs and suspensions can clog the pores, and it can be difficult to determine from the output of the device. Also, cleaning of mesh nebulizers can be difficult. These nebulizers are also more expensive than jet nebulizers.

Mesh nebulizers can be classified into two categories: (1) active mesh nebulizers and (2) passive mesh nebulizers. Active mesh nebulizers use a piezo element that contracts and expands on application of an electric current and vibrates a precisely drilled mesh in contact with the medication in order to generate aerosol. Passive mesh nebulizers use a transducer horn that induces passive vibrations in the perforated plate with 6000 tapered holes to produce aerosol. Examples of active mesh nebulizers include the Aeroneb® (Aerogen, Galway, Ireland) and the eFlow® (PARI, Starnberg, Germany), while the Microair NE-U22® (Omron, Bannockburn, IL) is a passive mesh nebulizer. Each type of mesh nebulizer is explained in more detail below.

**Active Mesh Nebulizers**

**Aeroneb (Aerogen, Galway, Ireland):** Aeroneb nebulizers are used for both spontaneously breathing and ventilator-dependent patients. While the Aeroneb® Go is a portable compact handheld nebulizer, the Aeroneb® Solo is used for aerosol delivery via invasive and noninvasive ventilation. The Aeroneb® NIVO is used for aerosol delivery during noninvasive ventilation. All of them are assembled easily, have silent operation and short treatment duration, and are easy to clean. These features make it a more desirable nebulizer for patients and caregivers than jet nebulizers. Although the Aeroneb® is a very efficient nebulizer that can administer a variety of drug formulations, there are some limitations with their use. For instance, they are expensive nebulizers that have a finite operational life span due to their vibrating piezoelectric element. Precipitation and crystallization of drug particles can clog the apertures that lead to inefficiency in aerosol drug delivery to patients. Also, using detergents during cleaning can damage the nature of the nebulizer. While the Aeroneb® Solo and NIVO provide an airtight seal in the ventilator circuit as an in-line device, their controller units limit their portability, unlike the Aeroneb® Go.

**eFlow® (PARI, Starnberg, Germany):** The PARI eFlow is a battery-operated, compact, portable nebulizer using the ODEM TouchSpray atomizing head that consists of a membrane with 4,000 laser-drilled apertures surrounded by a piezoelectric actuator to generate aerosol. It is a highly efficient nebulizer that provides approximately 90% of the nominal dose in a short treatment duration. Recent studies showed that the eFlow can improve patient compliance due to short nebulization time (33). Also, the eFlow has a range of optimal fill volumes up to 4 ml and can be used with a variety of drug formulations, such as highly viscous fluids, proteins, peptides, suspensions, and surfactants. Nebulization with the eFlow is highly efficient at approximately 90% of the charge dose, with aerosol output at rates up to 1 ml/min, which leads to a short treatment duration. Mesh nebulizers, such as the e-Flow, should be repeatedly washed and disinfected in order to prevent possible microbiological contamination with cystic fibrosis patients. Previous studies showed that the performance of jet nebulizers was influenced by washing and disinfecting (34-36). However, no significant performance change in the e-Flow was found (37).

**Passive Mesh Nebulizers**

**Microair NE-U22® (Omron, Bannockburn, IL):** The Microair is a passive mesh nebulizer that employs mesh technology in order to provide efficient aerosol drug delivery with a predominantly fine-particle fraction. Just like other mesh nebulizers, it does not cause the denaturation or inactivation typically associated with the shear forces or reservoir heat generated with jet or ultrasonic nebulizers (12). However, there are potential problems with the MicroAir. It is an expensive nebulizer and hard to clean, as it has to be disassembled and cleaned after each use in order to prevent clogging of the mesh apertures. The treatment time may be shortened if concentrated solutions are used for therapy. Position of the Omron mesh nebulizer influences treatment time and variability in particle distribution (38). Although drug delivery with the Omron was greater in the horizontal position than the tilted position, its aerosol deposition was similar to a jet nebulizer (38).

**Smart Nebulizers**

Smart nebulizers employ adaptive aerosol delivery (AAD®) technology, which analyzes the patient’s breathing pattern in order to determine the timing of aerosol drug delivery during inhalation. They analyze pressure changes of the airflow during the first 3 breaths to determine the correct starting point for drug delivery. Then, the device continues to monitor the preceding 3 breaths throughout the treatment and adapts to the patient’s breathing pattern. This adaptation reduces not only losses of aerosol during expiration but also the variation in drug delivery during inhalation therapy while improving patient adherence to treatment (39-41). Smart nebulizers also provide the patient with feedback about their effectiveness in using the device during therapy. Once the preset dose has been delivered to the patient, the device turns off and a buzzer indicates completion of treatment. There are a variety of new formulations for pulmonary delivery available on the market, and the need for better control over delivered doses of expensive drugs becomes particularly important, because continuous jet nebulizers waste 60-70% of a dose during exhalation. Also, breathing patterns impact drug deposition in the lung. For instance, nebulization at the end of inspiration will most likely not reach the lung. Therefore, it is important to adapt aerosol drug delivery based on patients’ breathing patterns using smart nebulizers, such as the I-neb® (Philips Respironics, Newark, USA) and AKITA (Activaero, Gemunden/Wohra, Germany). Thus, more accurate and reproducible drug delivery to patients with pulmonary diseases may be achieved.

**I-neb® (Philips Respironics, Newark, USA):** The I-neb® adaptive aerosol delivery (AAD®) nebulizer (Philips Respironics, Newark, USA) is a small, lightweight, battery-powered, and silent smart nebulizer that combines mesh technology with AAD® technology in order to deliver a precise, reproducible dose. The I-neb® uses multiple-breath technology that is programmed with the inhalation dose. Through AAD technology, the timing of aerosol delivery is determined based on the patient’s breathing pattern in order to improve the precision and reproducibility of dosing. In other words, a computer that is used with these technologies learns how the patient is breathing and adapts to changes in this breathing pattern, averaged over a series of breaths. The I-neb monitors peak flow of a patient’s first three inhalations in order to determine the duration of aerosol production needed to target the beginning of a breath. Since the nebulizer produces aerosols only during the first half of inspiration, aerosols navigate the bronchial tree and reach the deep lung. Patients using these nebulizers receive feedback when the dose is delivered. Also, the device creates a data logger that acts as an electronic diary to help clinicians assess patient adherence. The dose-metering chamber has a low
residual volume and comes in various sizes to accommodate the dose requirements of different drug formulations.

The I-neb has two different breathing patterns: (1) the tidal breathing mode (TBM) and (2) the target inhalation mode (TIM). In the TBM, the device continuously monitors the patient’s breathing pattern and adapts any changes based on the average. Then, the device delivers aerosolized medication in the first 50% to 80% of inspiration in order to minimize the amount of drug wasted during exhalation. In the TIM mode, the device has no control over a patient’s breathing pattern. The patient decides how fast or slow she/he is going to breathe. However, in the TIM, the device guides patients to take a slow and deep breath through a tactile stimulus, coaching them to inhale very slowly based on their capability (41).

The I-neb AAD system improves inhaled alpha1-antitrypsin delivery through inspiration-only aerosol delivery and low residual volume. Slow, deep, and controlled inspirations using the I-neb AAD system is an efficient method to deliver inhaled alpha1-antitrypsin for treatment of cystic fibrosis to protect the lungs from excessive free elastase (42). Previous research indicates increased ease of use and more satisfaction with the I-neb AAD system than with other nebulizers available on the market, and it was also shown that the I-neb AAD system significantly improves dyspnea and fatigue in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease compared to other nebulizers (42). Aerosol deposition with slow and deep inhalation in the TIM was significantly superior to drug delivery achieved during tidal breathing in the TBM. However, the nebulization time in the TIM is shorter than in the TBM (43).

The AKITA (Activaero, Gemunden/Wohra, Germany): The AKITA is a breath-actuated nebulizer that has no aerosol production on exhalation. It can be combined with a standard jet or mesh nebulizer for pulmonary delivery. The AKITA nebulizer individualizes patient aerosol delivery using a computer algorithm and personal “Smart Cards” that calibrate the device and track patient adherence to therapy (44). Through controlled breathing, the AKITA provides appropriate dosing that results in high efficiency and low variability in aerosol drug delivery to patients with pulmonary diseases. Despite the advantages of the AKITA in aerosol drug delivery, it is important to note that the AKITA is a large, less portable nebulizer that has a long treatment time. Also, there is not enough evidence about its use in infants and children with pulmonary diseases.

**OPTIMUM USE OF NEBULIZERS IN CLINICAL PRACTICE**

There are many factors affecting aerosol drug delivery to patients with pulmonary delivery. Successful inhalation therapy is technique-dependent. Therefore, clinicians need to know the different types of nebulizers available for aerosol therapy, the optimum technique that needs to be used in clinical practice, and troubleshooting with each type of nebulizer. Table 1 explains the advantages and disadvantages of different types of nebulizers.

It must be noted that the gas flow and pressure used with jet nebulizers impact particle size and drug delivery. For instance, each jet nebulizer has a specific flow rate requirement, ranging from 2-10 L/min, that was determined by the manufacturer and listed on the device label. Failure to set the flow meter appropriately will produce large particles during aerosol therapy. Sometimes, clinicians prefer to use a compressor along with a jet nebulizer. However, it is important to know that jet nebulizers are designed to operate at 50 psi and that the use of a compressor producing 13 psi will increase particle size and decrease efficiency of the treatment. Therefore, jet nebulizers should either be used with compressors that match their intended designs or be operated with a flow rate that is recommended on the device label by the manufacturer.

While jet nebulizers are operated with either compressed air or oxygen, using a helium/oxygen mixture (heliox) with jet nebulizers has become popular in recent years, as delivering aerosol with heliox im-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebulizers</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jet nebulizers with corrugated tubing</td>
<td>• Cheap</td>
<td>• Inefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy to use</td>
<td>• Difficult to clean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Effective in delivering drugs that cannot be delivered with pMDIs and DPs</td>
<td>• Need compressed gas and additional tubing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath-actuated &amp; Breath-enhanced jet nebulizers</td>
<td>• Drug delivery only during inhalation</td>
<td>• Need sufficient flow to trigger drug delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy to use</td>
<td>• Takes longer to deliver drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Less medication wasted</td>
<td>• Not ventilator-enabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More efficient than JNs with tubing</td>
<td>• More expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic nebulizers</td>
<td>• Fast, quiet, portable</td>
<td>• Large residual volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Self-contained power source</td>
<td>• Inability to aerosolize viscous solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Optimize particle size for specific drugs</td>
<td>• Degradation of heat-sensitive materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More efficient than other nebulizers</td>
<td>• More expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy to use</td>
<td>• Cleaning can be difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh nebulizers</td>
<td>• Drug delivery only during inhalation</td>
<td>• Medication dosage must be adjusted in transition from JNs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fast, quiet, portable</td>
<td>• Not compatible with viscous liquids or those that crystallize on drying</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JNs: Jet nebulizers; pMDIs: pressurized metered-dose inhalers
proves drug delivery up to 50% (45-48). Using the right flow rate with heliox-driven aerosol therapy is essential for optimum aerosol drug delivery. For instance, if heliox is used at the same flow rate as with air or oxygen, the particle size and aerosol output delivered by the jet nebulizer will be reduced due to the low density of heliox compared to air and oxygen. Therefore, the flow with heliox should be increased by 1.5-2 times to optimize aerosol drug delivery in patients with pulmonary diseases (48,49).

Since jet nebulizers have large residual volumes of 0.5 to 2 mL and do not aerosolize below residual volume, they do not function well with small fill volumes, such as 2 mL or less. Therefore, clinicians should consider increasing the fill volume to improve the efficiency of jet nebulizers. Unless the nebulizer is specifically designed for a smaller fill volume, the use of a fill volume of 4-5 mL with jet nebulizers is recommended (6). Increasing the fill volume will dilute the medication and deliver a greater proportion of the dose. The only drawback of additional fill volume is the increase in treatment time with jet nebulizers.

Aerosolized drugs are administered using either a mouthpiece or a face mask. Although, the mouthpiece is the ideal interface to be used during aerosol therapy, it can not be used in infants, small children, and elderly who have cognitive problems. The face mask is the preferred interface in these cases, but use of a face mask increases the amount of aerosol deposited on the face, in the eyes, and into the nose. Also, it is important to achieve a good face mask seal for optimum drug delivery during aerosol therapy. Table 2 describes the optimum techniques that should be used with jet, mesh, and ultrasonic nebulizers for aerosol drug delivery to patients with pulmonary diseases. Also,
clinicians should be aware of potential problems that may occur with the use of each nebulizer during aerosol therapy. They should know what the underlying causes of each problem are and how to solve them. Table 3 explains the problems, causes, and solutions during aerosol drug delivery with jet, ultrasonic, and mesh nebulizers.

In conclusion, aerosol therapy via nebulizers is a well-established method for treatment of patients with pulmonary diseases. Recent advances in the development of nebulizers have made drug delivery more precise, less wasteful, and potentially much easier to use during inhalation therapy. Also, new types of nebulizers have yielded a number of improvements, such as compact design, portability, shorter treatment duration, and quiet operation, that are expected to improve patient adherence to therapy. However, despite developments in aerosol technologies, there is still a need to reduce the costs of these new nebulizers.
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