

Georgia State University

ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

Middle-Secondary Education and Instructional
Technology Faculty Publications

Department of Middle-Secondary Education and
Instructional Technology (no new uploads as of
Jan. 2015)

12-2011

Both the Journal and Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning

David W. Stinson

Georgia State University, dstinson@gsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/msit_facpub



Part of the [Elementary and Middle and Secondary Education Administration Commons](#), [Instructional Media Design Commons](#), [Junior High, Intermediate, Middle School Education and Teaching Commons](#), [Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Secondary Education and Teaching Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Stinson, D. (2011). Both the Journal and Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning. *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*, 4(2), 1-6. Available at: <http://ed-osprey.gsu.edu/ojs/index.php/JUME/article/view/156/96>

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Middle-Secondary Education and Instructional Technology (no new uploads as of Jan. 2015) at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Middle-Secondary Education and Instructional Technology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

EDITORIAL

Both the Journal and Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning

David W. Stinson
Georgia State University

Over the past three decades or so there has been a proliferation of the edited *Handbook* within the social sciences generally and education social science specifically (peruse your bookshelves). Disregarding the anthropomorphism, we could say that (some of) these handbooks certainly have taken on a life all their own. Rightly or not, the status and prestige awarded to (some of) these handbooks and their editor(s) and contributing authors are unmatched. These researchers and scholars are designated as “The” experts on a particular subject as “The Handbook” often becomes the “go to” resource—or more aptly, the régime of truth (Foucault, 1977/1980)—within its respective field.

The growing influence of The Handbook within the social sciences should not be underestimated; its “power” to produce and re-produce knowledge is substantial. Within the science of mathematics education, a Google Scholar search of the 29 chapters of the *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (Grows, 1992)—a National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) project—returns nearly 12,000 scholarly references and the wider Google web search returns about 114,000 hits. As a single resource, only the NCTM 1989 *Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics* (about 156,000 hits) and 2000 *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics* (about 101,000 hits) rival this web presence.¹ But for many, the latter two lack “scientific” rigor, leaving the Grows 1992 *Handbook* as the most referenced (therefore the most influential?) single resource book of research on mathematics teaching and learning. From a Foucauldian (cf. 1969/1972) perspective, The Handbook as a discursive formation is readily apparent; it determines (too often?) what discourses and in turn what discursive practices are both possible and impossible within a particular field of science in a particular moment of time (to subvert the impossible is always possible, however).

¹ Google Scholar and Google web searches were conducted in December 2011; clearly, Internet searches are not an exact science, only approximations of web presence.

DAVID W. STINSON is an associate professor of mathematics education in the Department of Middle-Secondary Education and Instructional Technology in the College of Education, at Georgia State University, P.O. Box 3978, Atlanta, GA, 30303; e-mail: dstinson@gsu.edu. His research interests include exploring socio-cultural, -historical, and -political aspects of mathematics and mathematics teaching and learning from a critical postmodern theoretical (and methodological) perspective. He is a co-founder and current editor-in-chief of the *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*.

Nevertheless, although dangerous,² I like handbooks. Handbooks have had and continue to have a significant influence on my development as a mathematics education researcher and teacher educator.³ Handbooks in part determine how I conduct my science and what readings I assign to my students. That is to say, as a readily accessible, single resource my published articles and course syllabi nearly always contain references and readings pulled from handbooks. To speak more generally, as previously shown, the Grouws 1992 *Handbook* has been undeniably influential in producing—and dangerously, re-producing—how the science of mathematics education and the teaching and learning of mathematics might be theorized and practiced. And the more recent *Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (Lester, 2007), also a NCTM project, appears to be destined to have a similar long-term impact on the field—taking on a life all its own (e.g., Google web hits about 1,220).

But who breathes life into The Handbook? Who “gives” it power? Well, *we* do! I was taught several years ago, and have been reminded continuously ever since, that the multiple decisions we make concerning whose research and scholarship we reference and which readings we assign are not neutral, apolitical acts (E. A. St. Pierre, personal communication, June 2001). But rather, acts of power (conscious or not) that hold uncertain possibilities for our own empowerment (or not) as well as the *self*-empowerment (or not) of our readers and students. Yes, I know, it’s most tempting here to deny our own power, to say that power is actually held in the surveilling gazes of academia, the disciplinary processes of peer review, or the asymmetrical decisions of professional organizations. But to do so, although tempting and perhaps somewhat warranted, leaves us powerless—which, we, indisputably, are not! Our scholarly and pedagogical decisions can be, if we so choose, powerful acts of *scholarly activism* (G. Ladson-Billings, personal communication, June 2010).

So with the concept of scholarly activism in mind, I purpose a re-envisioning of the research and pedagogical possibilities of the *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education (JUME)*. Might we envision *JUME* not only as “a peer-reviewed, open-access, academic journal published twice a year” (description found on the *JUME* homepage) but also as a bi-annually updated and revised *Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning*? This re-

² “My point is not that everything is bad, but that everything is dangerous, which is not exactly the same as bad. If everything is dangerous, then we always have something to do. So my position leads not to apathy but to hyper- and pessimistic activism” (Foucault, 1983/1997, p. 256).

³ For example, the first two editions of the *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (Denzin & Lincoln, 1994, 2000), the *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (Grouws, 1992), and the *Second International Handbook of Mathematics Education* (Bishop, Clements, Keitel, Kilpatrick, & Leung, 2003).

envisioning certainly is not intended to suggest that the peer-reviewed journal somehow plays a lesser role to the handbook in producing and re-producing knowledge in a particular field. Clearly, The Journal is king. But rather, the intent here is to somehow seize the collective power of both The Journal and The Handbook by envisioning *JUME* as a both-and rather than an either-or research and pedagogical resource: the *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education* is **both** a peer-reviewed journal **and** a *Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning*. To use *JUME* as a peer-reviewed journal, simply search its [archives](#). And to assist you in using *JUME* as a handbook, below is the Table of Contents of the most current “edition.” Just remember, rather than waiting 5, 10, or even 15 years for an updated, revised edition, this handbook is updated and revised twice a year. Enjoy and *use* (at no cost to you or your students) this new resource!

NOTE: All “chapters” are hyperlinked.

Handbook of Research on Urban Mathematics Teaching and Learning

Table of Contents

PART I: ISSUES

1. Putting the “Urban” in Mathematics Education Scholarship
William F. Tate – *Washington University in St. Louis*
2. The Common Core State Standards Initiative: A Critical Response
Eric (Rico) Gutstein – *University of Illinois at Chicago*
3. Mathematics as Gatekeeper: Power and Privilege in the Production of Knowledge
Danny Bernard Martin, Maisie L. Gholson – *University of Illinois at Chicago*
Jacqueline Leonard – *University of Colorado Denver*
 - 3.1 “Both And”—Equity and Mathematics: A Response to Martin, Gholson, and Leonard
Jere Confrey – *North Carolina State University*
 - 3.2 Engaging Students in Meaningful Mathematics Learning: Different Perspectives, Complementary Goals
Michael T. Battista – *The Ohio State University*
4. Changing Students’ Lives Through the De-tracking of Urban Mathematics Classrooms
Jo Boaler – *Stanford University*

5. Positive Possibilities of Rethinking (Urban) Mathematics Education Within a Postmodern Frame
Margaret Walshaw – *Massey University*

PART II: THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

6. A Metropolitan Perspective on Mathematics Education: Lessons Learned from a “Rural” School District
Celia Rousseau Anderson, Angiline Powell – *University of Memphis*
7. Mathematical Counterstory and African American Male Students: Urban Mathematics Education From a Critical Race Theory Perspective
Clarence L. Terry, Sr. – *Occidental College*
8. Caring, Race, Culture, and Power: A Research Synthesis Toward Supporting Mathematics Teachers in Caring With Awareness
Tonya Gau Bartell – *University of Delaware*

PART III: TEACHERS AND TEACHING

9. Comparing Teachers’ Conceptions of Mathematics Education and Student Diversity at Highly Effective and Typical Elementary Schools
Richard S. Kitchen – *University of New Mexico*
Francine Cabral Roy – *University of Rhode Island*
Okhee Lee, Walter G. Secada – *University of Miami*
10. Preservice Teachers’ Changing Conceptions About Teaching Mathematics in Urban Elementary Classrooms
Mindy Kalchman – *DePaul University*
11. Evolution of (Urban) Mathematics Teachers’ Identity
Mary Q. Foote – *Queens College, CUNY*
Beverly S. Smith, Laura M. Gillert – *The City College of New York, CUNY*
12. When Am I Going to Learn to be a Mathematics Teacher? A Case Study of a Novice New York City Teaching Fellow
Michael Meagher – *Brooklyn College, CUNY*
Andrew Brantlinger – *University of Maryland, College Park*

PART IV: TEACHER EDUCATION

13. Teaching Mathematics for Social Justice: Reflections on a Community of Practice for Urban High School Mathematics Teachers
Lidia Gonzalez – *York College, CUNY*
14. Math Links: Building Learning Communities in Urban Settings
Jacqueline Leonard – *Temple University*
Brian R. Evans – *Pace University*

15. Learning to Teach Mathematics in Urban High Schools: Untangling the Threads of Interwoven Narratives
Haiwen Chu – *Graduate Center of City University of New York*
Laurie H. Rubel – *Brooklyn College, CUNY*
16. The Mathematics Learning Discourse Project: Fostering Higher Order Thinking and Academic Language in Urban Mathematics Classrooms
Megan E. Staples, Mary P. Truxaw – *University of Connecticut*
17. Collaborative Evaluative Inquiry: A Model for Improving Mathematics Instruction in Urban Elementary Schools
Iman C. Chahine – *Georgia State University*
Lesla M. Covington Clarkson – *University of Minnesota*
18. K–2 Teachers’ Attempts to Connect Out-of-School Experiences to In-School Mathematics Learning
Allison W. McCulloch, Patricia L. Marshal – *North Carolina State University*

PART V: STUDENT LEARNING AND IDENTITY

19. Social Identities and Opportunities to Learn: Student Perspectives on Group Work in an Urban Mathematics Classroom
Indigo Esmonde, Kanjana Brodie, Lesley Dookie, Miwa Takeuchi – *University of Toronto*
20. Exploring the Nexus of African American Students’ Identity and Mathematics Achievement
Francis M. Nzuki – *The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey*
21. How Do We Learn? African American Elementary Students Learning Reform Mathematics in Urban Classrooms
Lanette R. Waddell – *Vanderbilt University*
22. (In)equitable Schooling and Mathematics of Marginalized Students: Through the Voices of Urban Latinas/os
Maura Varely Gutierrez – *Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom Public Charter School*
Craig Willey – *Indiana University Purdue University-Indianapolis*
Lena L. Khisty – *University of Illinois at Chicago*

PART VI: POLICY

23. Racism, Assessment, and Instructional Practices: Implications for Mathematics Teachers of African American Students
Julius Davis – *Morgan State University*
Danny Bernard Martin – *University of Illinois at Chicago*
24. Practices Worthy of Attention: A Search For Existence Proofs of Promising Practitioner Work in Secondary Mathematics
Pamela L. Paek – *University of Texas at Austin*

25. An Examination of Mathematics Achievement and Growth in a Midwestern Urban School District: Implications for Teachers and Administrators
Robert M. Capraro, Jamaal Rashad Young, Chance W. Lewis, Zeyner Ebrar Yetkiner, Melanie N. Woods – *Texas A&M University*
26. Compounding Inequalities: English Proficiency and Tracking and Their Relation to Mathematics Performance Among Latina/o Secondary School Youth
Eduardo Mosqueda – *University of California, Santa Cruz*

PART VII: INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

27. Learning Mathematics in a Borderland Position: Students' Foregrounds and Intentionality in a Brazilian Favela
Ole Skovsmose – *Aalborg University*
Pedro Paulo Scandiuzzi – *University São Paulo States*
Paola Valero – *Aalborg University*
Helle Alrø – *Aalborg University Bergen University College*
28. Transforming Mathematical Discourse: A Daunting Task for South Africa's Townships
Roland G. Pourdavood – *Cleveland State University*
Nicole Carignan – *University of Quebec at Montreal*
Lonnie C. King – *Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University*
29. Forging Mathematical Relationships in Inquiry-Based Classrooms With Pasifika Students
Roberta Hunter, Glenda Anthony – *Massey University*

References

- Bishop, A. J., Clements, J., Keitel, C., Kilpatrick, J., & Leung, F. K. S. (Eds.) (2003). *Second international handbook of mathematics education*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). *Handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2000). *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Foucault, M. (1972). *The archaeology of knowledge* (A. M. Sheridan Smith, Trans.). New York: Pantheon Books. (Original work published 1969)
- Foucault, M. (1980). Truth and power (C. Gordon, L. Marshall, J. Mepham & K. Soper, Trans.). In C. Gordon (Ed.), *Power/knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings, 1972–1977 by Michel Foucault* (pp. 109–133). New York: Pantheon Books. (Interview conducted 1977)
- Foucault, M. (1997). On the genealogy of ethics: An overview of work in progress. In P. Rabinow (Ed.), *The essential works of Michel Foucault, 1954–1984* (Vol. I, Ethics, pp. 253–280). New York: New Press. (Interview conducted 1983)
- Grouws, D. A. (Ed.). (1992). *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning*. New York: Macmillan.
- Lester, F. K. (Ed.) (2007). *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age.