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Students
Enrollment, Fall 2013 14,513
  Undergraduate 7,836
  Graduate and Professional 6,677
Degrees Awarded (2012-2013) 4,239
  Undergraduate 2,186
  Graduate 2,053

Libraries
Staff (2012, excluding students)
Woodruff (including Business & MARBL) 162
Health Sciences 25
Law 19
Oxford College 10
Theology 16

Print and electronic volumes: More than 3.9 million
Serial subscriptions: Nearly 100,000, with more than 80,000 of those via electronic access
Assessment at Emory

• 2003-2004—Appointed new University President who initiated campus strategic planning efforts
• 2005—Appointed full time Assessment Librarian
• 2005-2006—Participated in ARL Making Library Assessment Work project
Assessment at Emory

• 2006—Appointed new Director from Los Alamos National Laboratory Library who had won awards there for organizational performance excellence based on the Malcolm Baldrige criteria
• 2007—Conducted first local user survey
• 2007--Developed first business plans that included customer focus elements
• 2008--Conducted focus groups with faculty, graduate students and undergraduates
Customer Focus

• Business planning process included multiple components, key among those: Customer segmentation matrix—identify and segment customers, their needs, motivations, quantity, distinguishing characteristics

• Although each library unit included customer segmentation matrix in its business plan, leadership felt there was still inadequate understanding of library customers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs &amp; Motivations</th>
<th>Undergrad Honors</th>
<th>Undergrad Upper-Level (3rd &amp; 4th)</th>
<th>Undergrad Lower-level (1st and 2nd)</th>
<th>Graduate</th>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Social Science</th>
<th>Humanities</th>
<th>Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grades</td>
<td>Grades</td>
<td>Grades</td>
<td>Support for Teaching</td>
<td>Tenure</td>
<td>Same for Graduate &amp; Faculty as a Whole</td>
<td>Same for Graduate &amp; Faculty as a Whole</td>
<td>Same for Graduate &amp; Faculty as a Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class Assignments</td>
<td>Class Assignments</td>
<td>Class Assignments</td>
<td>Dissertation Research Support Publications</td>
<td>Publications (Top Tier Journals)</td>
<td>Teaing Support</td>
<td>Attraction of Quality Graduates &amp; Faculty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple and Timely Access</td>
<td>Simple and Timely Access</td>
<td>Simple and Timely Access</td>
<td>Course Work</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Space</td>
<td>Study Space</td>
<td>Study Space</td>
<td>Passage of Qualifying Exams</td>
<td>Attraction of Quality Graduates &amp; Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specialized Research Assistance (including data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simple and Timely Access</td>
<td>Study Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attributes</strong></td>
<td>More advanced research skills</td>
<td>Specialized Short-term needs</td>
<td>Not Specialized Low level of research skills</td>
<td>Highly Specialized High Expectations</td>
<td>Collaborative and Individual Qualitative and Quantitative</td>
<td>Individual, long-term projects</td>
<td>Collaborative Research Electronic-focus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-Term Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extended study (&gt;5 years)</td>
<td>Highly Specialized High Expectations</td>
<td>Qualitative and Quantitative</td>
<td>Primary resources, print focus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pressed for time</td>
<td>Collaborative and Individual Qualitative and Quantitative</td>
<td>Individual, long-term projects</td>
<td>Collaborative Research Electronic-focus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>Social Science</td>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Assistance (Consultations)</td>
<td>69.09%/49.09%</td>
<td>65.56%/48.45%</td>
<td>65.19%/46.39%</td>
<td>83.22%/50.87%</td>
<td>72.86%/52.16%</td>
<td>56.05%/37.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Assistance (Instruction)</td>
<td>73.31%/46.34%</td>
<td>64.68%/44.02%</td>
<td>62.50%/40.91%</td>
<td>83.56%/51.22%</td>
<td>78.76%/46.44%</td>
<td>57.99%/35.19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>95.81%/89.96%</td>
<td>91.71%/76.79%</td>
<td>85.69%/52.92%</td>
<td>94.12%/80.48%</td>
<td>96.76%/79.28%</td>
<td>91.32%/73.70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>97.21%/83.46%</td>
<td>84.21%/56.68%</td>
<td>69.92%/34.64%</td>
<td>91.72%/68.55%</td>
<td>87.35%/53.31%</td>
<td>88.69%/62.42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Data Center</td>
<td>34.74%/30.02%</td>
<td>27.03%/23.76%</td>
<td>28.46%/28.85%</td>
<td>43.40%/37.55%</td>
<td>36.28%/26.95%</td>
<td>30.72%/24.29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARBL</td>
<td>84.77%/39.87%</td>
<td>73.07%/33.25%</td>
<td>79.27%/35.10%</td>
<td>87.37%/35.06%</td>
<td>97.63%/57.19%</td>
<td>71.30%/24.16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print Books and Journals</td>
<td>96.10%/76.83%</td>
<td>97.29%/77.75%</td>
<td>98.97%/81.89%</td>
<td>97.64%/81.15%</td>
<td>99.41%/94.43%</td>
<td>97.05%/71.17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Collections (eJournals)</td>
<td>92.71%/82.62%</td>
<td>97.40%/95.06%</td>
<td>98.72%/94.95%</td>
<td>98.64%/95.51%</td>
<td>97.34%/95.24%</td>
<td>98.81%/90.99%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlibrary Loan</td>
<td>85.26%/58.59%</td>
<td>89.09%/70.44%</td>
<td>92.76%/78.88%</td>
<td>93.13%/68.16%</td>
<td>97.94%/84.99%</td>
<td>89.92%/64.11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves Direct</td>
<td>93.74%/87.39%</td>
<td>75.47%/60.02%</td>
<td>61.70%/50.99%</td>
<td>98.30%/88.19%</td>
<td>97.64%/89.79%</td>
<td>67.56%/52/71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % of group aware of product or service, % of group that considers product or service to be very important or essential
** %’s are taken from the 2007 Emory University Libraries Survey
2010-2011

- Newly revised Strategic Plan for the Library included a “Voice of the Customer” strategic objective to improve understanding of customer needs
- Services Division leader was the champion of the initiative and brought together a 6-person team to work on the project
Initial Project Report

- Included Baldrige profile section
- Included synthesis of previously conducted customer focus groups, survey data
- Included personas
- Included VOC process maps
- Included recommendations
Leadership Response

• While recognizing the work done, leadership did not accept the report in its first iteration
• To the group: Utilize the FOCUS methodology from *Voices into Choices: Acting on the Voice of the Customer* by Brodie and Burchill; add consultant Jude Heimel to the project team; extend the work already done using this methodology and produce a scholarly research report that incorporates improved customer data and recommendations
FOCUS METHODOLOGY
Overview of the FOCUS methodology

1. An approach to using structured interviews (and observation) to document people’s statements of need relating to a product or service

2. A data analysis technique for translating need statements into priority requirements that can be used as a starting point for decisions about improving the product or service
Major steps in the process

• Frame the issue to explore and plan the project,
• Organize and balance scope, schedule, and resources
• Refine customer segments according to the issue or questions
• Review existing data sources, design and conduct interviews
• Analyze data in a way that minimizes subjectivity and abstracts customer needs, requirements, and opportunities for improvement
• Generate and select actions to address concerns or take advantage of opportunities
Benefits of the approach

• Presents techniques for clarifying goals and expectations

• Emphasizes the need to balance scope, schedule, and resources with stakeholder expectations

• Explains how to develop a useful interview guide

• Details affinity diagramming techniques for data analysis

• Presents a plan for producing actionable results focused on improving a product or service
Things the method doesn’t supply

• Experienced meeting facilitator and project manager

• Experienced interviewers

• Experienced note takers
Our issue

We’d like to know more about you as an academic researcher and professor. We’re particularly interested in how you work—what a typical project looks like, how you start it, how it develops, and how your work changes as it matures. Ultimately we want to adapt and improve library services so that they better support you in these activities.
Data analysis

• Identify statements of need, concerns, issues, problems, and solutions: What are our interviewees’ key concerns?

• Translate strongest statements into requirements: What requirements underlie their key concerns?

• Identify themes and prioritize requirements: What are the larger themes in our requirements, and which are the highest priority for our interviewees?
Listening & Learning Posts Available for VOC Project

- Focus Groups
- Structured Interviews
- Desktracker Data
- Listserv data
  - Ask a librarian
  - Service desk inquiries
  - Ejournals report
  - ReservesDirect
  - ILL
  - Purchase requests
- Suggestion Box
- Service Desk Comment Cards
- Personal Relationships
- Library Policy Committee
- Ad hoc Meetings on Special Topics
- Liaison Emails
- Marketing by Wandering Around
- Surveys
  - Annual Library Survey
  - Honors Survey
  - Instructional Survey
Focus Group & Survey Comments

I am an undergraduate...

I need access to my research and study materials.

I need to know what resources are available.

I need to know how to use resources.

I need physical computers, printers.

I need access to structure.

I need a place to study.

I need access to my research and study materials.
**Survey**

I need research assistance for teaching:
- Data
- Collaboration
- Research process/ues

Data center is invaluable. I'd like to see more resources put into this area of the library.

Our students need much more help regarding how to do research, including understanding intellectual property. I always integrate research librarians into my classes, but I think the first-year students probably need more orientation.

I appreciate the assistance offered by the new DISC Fellows, but I have generally found that it doesn't fulfill the expectations it raises among faculty. Better communication and more practical collaboration is needed. Before this program can be deemed successful...

I need library space:
- Usable
- Updated/Modern
- For research
- Open/Flexible
- Quiet

Individual studies could be updated, and better sound proofed, these provide critical quiet space for research and writing.

The movable shelving is a disgrace, contrary to the idea of the mind.

Sometimes the electronically mobile shelves in the stacks get stuck.

Please work on having Jazzman's cafe open during the summer, at least for 8-2 or something similar. It is very important to be able to recharge within the building.

Faculty Humanities

I have discovered EEUCLID!

I dislike the new format of EEUCLID. I still have often to check other libraries (most often Harvard HOLLIS) to make sure I don't miss important editions, and other sources. Still don't like the 2-layers (discoverE and Euclid!)

PLEASE RESTORE THE OLDER VERSION OF EEUCLID. THE NEW VERSION AND DISCOVERE ARE DISASTERS!

Faculty Humanities

I think I made myself clear about the new DiscoverE update, it's terrible, awful, is completely sucking productivity. Get rid of it and start anew.

Faculty Humanities

I don't understand DiscoverE and I am frustrated by the new EEUCLID interface.

DiscoverE & Euclid is an awful setup that leads me to second guess every search I perform. Pick one and stick.

Faculty Humanities

I want to know what you think of the ESOL use that leads me to second guess every search I perform. Pick one and stick.

DiscoverE. Rather than ask us what we want and ask us to preset the tools that we already know are important, I think you should ask us what the tools are they need. catalogue, what they need to know, and how they would like to see it. Then reconfigure to suit the users.

Faculty Humanities

My Chief unhappiness with the library is that the search tools do not work well. This was a bad selection by someone.

Faculty Humanities

It would be much better if we could put a whole long list of items on reserve through DiscoverE. It's possible through Euclid but services are much more complicated than it needs to be. Quick and easy. DVDs would be a great thing for the library to work on.

Faculty Humanities

I continue to have problems with ILL. Most of the time my request is cancelled because the library already owns the material, but it is not listed in Euclid.

Faculty Humanities
I need to recharge in house

- longer hours
- round the clock refreshments
- more choices
- polite / efficient / professional staff

Give us space *
I am an undergrad and I need

I need a place to study

I need access to my course materials
(research and study materials)

I need to know what resources are available from the library

I need to know how to use library resources

I need physical digital equipment: computers, printers, scanners

I need access to the physical library structure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Segment &amp; Process</th>
<th>Customer Product</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Additional Attributes</th>
<th>How the library provides this</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humanities Faculty</td>
<td>Book</td>
<td>I need access to collections</td>
<td>1. Comprehensive 2. Anywhere 3. Anytime 4. Historic and rare 5. Foreign language 6. Easy to find 7. Fast</td>
<td>• Complete records • Physically available • Findable • Time of need • Browsable</td>
<td>• Collections (e and print: journals, databases, books, manuscripts, rare books, archives) • Relevant collections (not comprehensive collections) • ILL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process: Research</td>
<td>Coedited volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter in book</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journal article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International and national conference presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tenure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I need search</td>
<td>1. Personal 2. Knowledgeable/expert 3. Specialized (Digitization, GIS Instructional Technology)</td>
<td>1. With</td>
<td>• Subject Liaisons • Data Center • Outreach-Education activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>I need access to collections</td>
<td>Precision 2. Reliable 3. Easy-to-understand 4. Integrated 5. Predictable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllabus</td>
<td>I need library space</td>
<td>1. Usable 2. Clean 3. Updated technology 4. Open when I need it to be 5. Quiet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advising graduate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course reading packets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images for Power point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videos/multimedia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I need a personal contact for research and teaching support</td>
<td>1. Personal 2. Knowledgeable/expert 3. Specialized (Digitization, GIS Instructional Technology, citation management, grant writing) 4. At time of need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Liaisons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music and Media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and Education program and services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Commons/DiSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browsable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections (e and print: journals, databases, books, manuscripts, rare books, archives)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant collections (not comprehensive collections)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another said of the change in systems, "I no longer do bibliographic work at Emory; I use the Library of Congress instead." She went on to say, "The new interface no longer emphasizes the call number, which is what is most important to my search." Yet another said, "And the old EUCLID was pretty reliable. There were some exceptions. Now I feel like it is always kind of like a game. Sometimes you’re lucky; sometimes you’re not."

3. Some humanities faculty said that when print materials are in storage they are inaccessible because the indexing and cataloging lacks detail. Speaking of the German system, one faculty member said, "If it is a storage system, then they have a perfect catalog, and I can find everything in the catalog. And here [at Emory] sometimes I have the feeling that books are lost in storage because they have no access through the catalog."

4. Humanities Faculty use technology to research and improve pedagogy. "Table of contents is a welcome enhancement," one said. Another commented on how technology changed how his students interact with other students’ writing: "The interesting thing about wikis is that when they’re reading one another’s papers, boy does their writing improve." Speaking of a DiSC funding grant, a third said, "Our goal is to get the digital stuff done. I’m teaching a class in the fall that involves undergraduates inputting data."

5. Humanities faculty place high demands on and have high expectations of library facilities. For example, they would like the library’s hours to align more with their personal work schedules. One faculty member asked for library hours not to shorten during semester breaks. "That is when we have time [to do our work]," he said. Another said, "Once I found a full cup of coffee open without a lid in a bookshelf. I was shocked." And another: "I can just see that one space after the other opens to new computers, as if the students wouldn’t have their own computers...so then it is just about getting space so they can talk and use computers."

6. Humanities faculty browse collections; they consider browsing a critical research process. "In Rome," a faculty member said, "I browse all of the time. I do browse a fair amount in Woodruff," and from another professor: "Browsing is an important way to do research," and from the teaching perspective: "...getting the students into the library and realizing an important aspect to research is actually going into the stacks. You never know what you are going to find by accident."
Sample Observations

• The importance of the library catalog, EUCLID was a consistent theme for the Humanities faculty.

• Robust collections of data and literature are essential to the research process of social scientists.

• The scientists expressed a need for readily available books and journals for looking up facts, confirming quotes, and verifying citations.
Cross Segment Themes and Discussion

• Faculty Research:
  High-level process across segments is similar
  How faculty talk about their work is different:
    Social Scientists and Scientists talk about data gathering;
    Humanists talk about reading, thinking, writing, researching.
    Social Scientists and Scientists are concerned with drawing
    conclusions, literature reviews, summarizing and validating
    results
    Humanists are concerned with creativity and deep thought.
• Faculty Teaching:
  – All faculty interviewed care about their students. They want their students engaged in and to find enjoyment in their classes.
  – All faculty interviewed spend time selecting course readings.
  – All faculty interviewed advise graduate students; how they do it varies by discipline.
    • **Humanists** see advising as service to their department; it is a separate activity from their research; **Humanists** work alone to get credit for their work.
    • **Social Scientists** and **Scientists** see advising intertwined with their research. Social Scientists and Scientists collaborate; Social Scientists collaborate with peers; Scientists collaborate with peers and graduate students. **Scientists** are training graduate students how to conduct scientific research in the labs.
• All faculty interviewed rely on library collections
• Humanities talked about using JSTOR, browsing print and electronic collections and using search and discovery tools; using collections in all formats.
• Social Scientists talked mostly about e-journals and databases.
• Scientists talked about e-journals and databases.
Findings

• Research-level collections that are accessible
• Library hours that cater to student and faculty schedule
• Dissatisfaction with search and discovery tools
• Interoperability of catalog, databases and other systems
• “One” Emory Library system
• More visibility of subject liaisons
• Library Spaces for all users and all uses
• VOC findings validate findings from other sources
Recommendations

1. Maintain and expand research-level collections.

2. Address concerns about the reliability of the catalog and usability of library search, discovery, and delivery tools.

3. Coordinate policies across Emory Libraries.

4. Address frustrations with the Library’s website.

5. Use customer input to develop library spaces.

6. Create a programmatic assessment and voice of the customer plan for the library.
Observations from afar
(time and space)

• VOC team was highly skilled in assessment and customer focused
• Results gave greater depth to what the VOC team already knew
• Power in seeing verbatims for VOC team and for others
• Deeper understanding of who the people we serve and the teaching and research processes
• Affirming to be listened to; can be used to build relationships and take down barriers
Observations...

- The FOCUS process requires a team with a variety of skills—qualitative research skills, facilitation skills, analytical skills, convergent and divergent thinking, and writing and editorial skills.
- Project scope was driven by the deadline which eliminated structured interviews of Undergraduate and Graduate Students.
- Qualitative process best for understanding obvious problems that then need to be drilled down on to understand how best to resolve.
- Report appeared at a time of a transition in leadership: departure of the Vice Provost and Director of Libraries; new library leadership bringing together Libraries and University Technology Services into a single division (LITS) under the Chief Information Officer of the University.
- Basecamp was a great project tool and has preserved institutional knowledge.
Project Complete!
Questions?

Susan Bailey  libsbb@emory.edu

Jon Bodnar  jon.bodnar@emory.edu

Frances Maloy  maloyf@union.edu