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ABSTRACT

Background: Past studies suggest that inadequate energy intake (EI) is directly related to menstrual dysfunction (MD) in active females. Inadequate EI causes activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, disrupting the normal hormonal signaling of reproductive cycling and resulting in MD. However, studies have also demonstrated similar EI in athletes, but with different menstrual function. Traditionally, energy balance has been evaluated in 24-hour time periods. Recent research suggests there is benefit to analyzing energy balance at smaller intervals to better address physiologic response in real time. It is possible that women who meet their daily EI needs could still, therefore, experience MD if the majority of the day is spent in energy deficit.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine whether within-day energy balance is a factor in menstrual status in active adult females.

Methods: Twenty active females tracked hourly EI and energy expenditure over three days. A two-page survey was utilized to obtain information on training, health, and menstrual status. Participants were also asked to respond to several questions regarding eating habits and attitude towards food. Within-day energy balance was calculated and evaluated using NutriTiming™ software. Collected energy balance data were analyzed for associations with menstrual status.

Results: Participants spent more hours in a catabolic state (energy balance less than zero) than in an anabolic state (20.5 hrs vs 3.5 hrs) and averaged a caloric deficit of -504 kcal over 24-hours. Nine subjects (45%) had experienced loss of menses for greater than 3 months (LoM>3mos), indicative of amenorrhea. Hours spent in energy surplus >400 kcal was inversely correlated with LoM>3mos (r = -0.463; P = 0.04). The impact of number of miles run per week and menstrual dysfunction, based on a quartile stratification of miles run, were analyzed using an ANOVA with Tamhane non-parametric post hoc test. Significant differences were found between the upper two quartiles of distance run per week and LoM>3mos (P = 0.048). There was no relationship between end-of-day energy balance and Lom>3mos.

Conclusion: Researchers and healthcare professionals would do well to examine energy balance in an hour-by-hour manner as it is has implications for MD. This would help to clarify whether within-day energy balance is a factor in MD, and allow for the development of appropriate intervention strategies to improve health and athletic outcomes for active women.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Reproductive cycling is a hormonally-mediated, physiologic process that relies on a delicate interaction between the hypothalamus and pituitary gland (Golden & Carlson, 2008). In healthy adults, menstrual cycling occurs in a regular and predictable manner with the majority of cycles falling within a 26 to 32 day range (Redman & Loucks, 2005).

A number of environmental factors influence menstrual cyclicity. Low socioeconomic status, poor health, and inadequate nutritional intake have all been shown to have an inhibitory effect on menstruation (Anderson et al., 2003; Chumlea et al., 2003). Women who experience abnormally long cycles, or who fail to menstruate entirely, present with hormonal profiles that are associated with bone loss and infertility (Gambacciani et al., 2004). As bone density declines naturally with aging, this translates to a higher risk of premature osteoporosis and eventual fracture. The prevention of menstrual irregularity is therefore of considerable importance to all women, and particularly to competitive athletes for whom stress fractures are considered major sidelining injuries.
When energy intake is not sufficient, hormonal shifts slow basal metabolism and non-essential body processes, such as reproduction, are sacrificed to ensure that scarce nutrients are utilized in the interests of survival (Loucks, 2007; Zanker, 2006; Tomten & Hostmark, 2006; Manore, 2007; Loucks et al., 1998; Manore, 2004). Physical activity increases energy requirements that, if not met, impact the normalcy of reproductive cycling. Many athletes, especially those involved in energy costly endeavors, may find it difficult to consume food in adequate amounts (Loucks et al., 1998). In aesthetic and lean-build sports, individuals may purposefully choose to restrict intake in an attempt to modify weight and body composition (Ziegler et al., 1998). Both of these situations are common and athletes are frequently shown to have more incidences of menstrual disturbance than their sedentary counterparts.

Energy balance refers to the number of kilocalories (kcal) consumed within a given period of time relative to energy expended (Benardot, 2007). It has swift and direct endocrine effects. Energy balance is routinely studied in 24-hour segments yet the hormonal presentation within the body is highly dynamic and fluctuations occur in time increments much smaller than 24-hours. It is likely that analysis of energy balance in an hour-by-hour fashion would provide valuable insight into the nature of menstrual dysfunction in athletes. The purpose of this study is, therefore, to examine the prevalence of inadequate energy intake, measured in hours per day, and menstrual dysfunction in a small group of active females, and to describe the relationship between the two.
STUDY PURPOSE

The purpose of this study is to determine whether within-day energy balance is related to menstrual status in active adult females.

HYPOTHESES

This study assesses hourly energy intake and expenditure in order to determine if within-day energy balance is related to loss of menses (LoM) for greater than three consecutive months.

**Hypothesis 1:** Large shifts in within-day energy balance are associated with reproductive dysfunction (LoM >3 months) in active females.

Null Hypothesis 1: Large shifts in within-day energy balance are not associated with reproductive dysfunction (LoM >3 months) in active females.

**Hypothesis 2:** Small shifts in within-day energy balance are associated with normal reproductive function (no history of LoM >3 months) in active females.

Null Hypothesis 2: Small shifts in within-day energy balance are not associated with normal reproduction function (no history of LoM >3 months) in active females.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

INTRODUCTION
Female reproductive cycling is a process that is solidly based on normal endocrine function that is in turn affected by individual health. Active individuals who fail to adequately compensate for expended energy subject their bodies to additional stress. Consequently, endocrine function is altered and menstrual irregularities often result. The following is a review of current knowledge on the impact of energy deficiencies on menstrual function in the athletic population.

MENSTRUATION

Normal Menstrual Cycling
Estrogen (primarily circulated as estradiol) and progesterone are steroidal sex hormones that are produced in and released from the ovaries (Golden & Carlson, 2008). These act
upon the hypothalamus, triggering pulsatile emissions of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH). Secretd GnRH travels to the anterior pituitary via the hypophyseal portal system and initiates a corresponding release of luteinizing hormone (LH). Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) is produced in a similar fashion and both hormones are necessary for follicular maturation (Ashley et al., 2000; Golden & Carlson, 2008). This system as a whole is commonly referred to as the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis.

Each menstrual cycle consists of two predominant phases. The follicular phase is generally regarded at the ‘first phase’ of menstrual cycling. Menses, which involves shedding of the uterine lining, occurs at the beginning of the follicular phase (Burrows & Bird, 2000). As the follicular phase progresses, ovarian follicles develop into mature ovum. Rising levels of estrogen inhibit GnRH secretion and prepare the uterus for pregnancy (Ashley et al., 2000; Golden & Carlson, 2008; Burrows & Bird, 2000). Approximately halfway through a normal cycle, estrogen levels spike and its hormonal effect is suddenly promotional to the release of GnRH (Golden & Carlson, 2008). The subsequent LH surge stimulates ovulation, and the progesterone-secreting corpus luteum is formed in the empty follicle (Burrows & Bird, 2000; Ashley et al., 2000; Golden & Carlson, 2008). This begins the luteal phase. If fertilization does not occur, the corpus luteum breaks down, progesterone levels decline, and menses is initiated (Ashley et al., 2000; Golden & Carlson, 2008).
Menarche

The first menstrual period, termed *menarche*, is typically reached around age twelve. Age at menarche is highly dependent on genetic factors, but is also heavily influenced by nutrition, health, and socioeconomic status (Anderson et al., 2003; Chumlea et al., 2003). Over the past century, age at menarche has declined as standard of living has improved and, it is postulated, as a consequence of overweight and obesity rates (Zacharias & Wurtman, 1969; Anderson et al., 2003). However, these most recent data suggest that the current median menarcheal age in the U.S. is younger but not significantly younger than it was 30 years ago (Chumlea et al., 2003). In a national U.S. survey of 2510 females (ages 8-20 years) menarche was reached by 80% between the ages of 11.11 and 13.75 years, with 12.43 years being the median (Chumlea et al., 2003). Racial differences were noted with menarche tending to occur earlier in non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans. This association appears to be inherent as it has been shown to be independent of weight for height (Anderson et al., 2003). It is worthwhile to consider menarcheal age a vital health sign in young females as menarche that is delayed several years beyond the norm is cause for concern (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2006).

A Turkish survey of 1017 high school girls provides a comparative look at menarcheal age and related factors in another setting (Ersoy et al., 2005). Researchers attempted to tease out specific factors related to menarcheal age by grouping the sample by socioeconomic class (using the Hollingshead index), physical activity, and nutritional intake (using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire). These young girls were found to have reached menarche significantly earlier than their mothers (mean age 12.82
versus 13.6 years; \( P < 0.001 \) but menarcheal age was not related to socioeconomic or physical activity grouping. Obese girls were more likely to commence reproductive cycling sooner than their normal weight classmates \( (P = 0.039) \). This is in agreement with other studies that have demonstrated similar associations between BMI and onset of menses (Anderson et al., 2003).

Menarche generally occurs later among the athletic population. The mean menarcheal age of U.S. college athletes is 13.3 years (13.4 years in lean build sports) compared with the current U.S. average of 12.43 years (Beals & Hill, 2006; Chumlea et al., 2003). A recent survey of Croatian athletes underscored the effect of intense training on menarche. Researchers found that those who began sports participation prior to their first menstrual cycle achieved menarche significantly later than those who did not become competitive until after menarche (13.8 years versus 12.6 years; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Dušek, 2001). A like finding was noted among adolescent ballet dancers. Dancers who began training after menarche experienced onset of menses at 12.1 years compared with 12.6 years for those who were trained prior to menarche (Castelo-Branco et al., 2006). Additionally, ballet dancers have been shown to trend towards older age at menarche when compared to non-athletic controls (Stokić et al., 2005).

Although the mean menarcheal ages themselves are in close range of one another, and would not constitute cause for concern within an individual, the trend of delayed menarche in athletes is certainly present. Failure to reach menarche by age sixteen represents the ninety-eighth percentile of menarche and, in this instance, medical advice should be sought (Golden & Carlson, 2008). An individual with extremely delayed
menarche should be evaluated for nutrition adequacy, illness, excessive exercise, and overall stress, all of which can disrupt normal hormonal signaling of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis (Burrows & Bird, 2000). It is pertinent to note that while physical abnormalities of the genital tract are uncommon, a pelvic ultrasound may be warranted to rule out the possibility of an outflow disorder, such as a transverse septum, imperforate hymen, or other congenital malformations (Edmonds, 2006). In short, athletic activity is associated with, but is not a valid reason for, onset of menses outside of the normal age range.

**Abnormal Menstrual Cycling**

Excluding congenital abnormalities and pregnancy and lactation, menstrual irregularities occur when there is a disruption of normal endocrine signaling (Kalantaridou et al., 2004). The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is responsible for the stress response in humans. Prolonged or frequent activation of this axis interrupts function of the reproductively involved hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis. During periods of stress, survival is the biologic priority and it becomes useful to do away with the potentially energy costly processes (i.e. pregnancy and lactation) that fertility can incur (Chrousos et al., 1998; Kalantaridou et al., 2004). Multiple factors can induce a stress response and include, although are not limited to, psychological or emotional upset, over-exercise, and insufficient nutrition.

Leptin, a hormone released primarily from adipose tissue, interacts with the hypothalamus to communicate energy balance (Köpp et al., 1997; Fogeloo et al., 2004).
It is likely then that leptin has a role in menstrual regularity. When the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis becomes activated as a result of stress, corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) and arginine vasopressin (AVP) are released from the hypothalamus (Chrousos et al., 1998; Kalantaridou et al., 2004). These hormones travel to the pituitary where they promote the secretion of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) which in turn stimulates cortisol release from the adrenal cortex. CRH in particular has been shown to reduce GnRH secretion as well as lower the ability of the ovaries to secrete steroid hormones (Zangeneh, 2009). Glucocorticoids, such as cortisol, impact female reproduction in a similar fashion (Sapolsky et al., 2000). Again, GnRH release is inhibited and subsequently so is the secretion of LH and FSH from the pituitary. Gonadal sensitivity to steroidal sex hormones may also be decreased and as a result, reproductive potential is curbed (Ding et al., 1988; Sapolsky et al., 2000; Zangeneh, 2009).

In general, all forms of reproductive cycling are classifiable into three broad categories (Golden & Carlson, 2008; Warren & Chua, 2008; The Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2008):

- **Eumenorrhea** – Normal menstrual cycling; menarche is reached at an appropriate age and cycling occurs within a predictable and typical period of 26-35 days

- **Oligomenorrhea** – Abnormally long cycling; greater than 35 days between menses
· Amenorrhea – Absence of menses; perhaps the most severe form of menstrual dysfunction, amenorrhea may be further defined as:

  ○ Primary Amenorrhea – Failure to achieve menarche by age sixteen
  or,

  ○ Secondary Amenorrhea – Loss of previously established menses for greater than 90 days

Subclinical Menstrual Disorders

Menstrual disorders may also be present in a subclinical form. Subtle changes in ovarian and gonadotropin hormone levels can result in cycles that have alterations of the luteal phase or are anovulatory in nature (De Souza et al., 2010). Anovulatory cycles are characterized by less than normal levels of estrogen during the follicular phase and show minimal to no rise of LH and progesterone. Since measurement of gonadal hormone levels requires blood or urine analysis, it is unusual for athletes to be evaluated for subclinical menstrual disorders. Consequently, researchers have suggested that the full extent of menstrual disturbance within the athletic population is likely greatly underestimated (De Souza et al., 2010; Redman & Loucks, 2004).
Prevalence of Abnormal Menstrual Cycling in Athletes versus Non-Athletes

Prolonged loss of menses, outside of pregnancy, is relatively rare in the general population and has an incidence of approximately 2 to 5% (Warren & Chua, 2008; De Souza et al., 2010). However, among highly trained female athletes menstrual cycle disruptions are much more common (Manore, 2007; Beals & Hill, 2006; Nattiv et al., 2007). Several studies have demonstrated that athletes who chronically end each day in energy deficit are more likely to suffer from irregular menstruation (Manore, 2007; Redman & Loucks, 2005; Gibson et al., 2004; Tomten & Hostmark, 2006). It has been estimated that over half of all female distance runners experience amenorrhea and of those who maintain regular menstruation, as many as 78% have abnormal secretion of luteinizing hormone or fail to ovulate (Nattiv et al., 2007). Among generally active (non-competitive) women, menstrual disturbance is more common in those who exercise > 2 hours per week compared to sedentary controls (De Souza et al., 2010). This suggests that the problem of menstrual dysfunction is pervasive and inclusive of all exercising women, not just elite athletes.

A California survey of 589 female high school athletes found the prevalence of irregular or absent menstrual cycling to be 23.5% (Nichols et al., 2006). Since athlete age in this sample ranged from 13-18 years, it is possible that some of the reported menstrual irregularity was not related to athleticism but perhaps quite typical for females such a short distance from menarche. In Croatian athletes, prevalence of disordered menstrual cycling has been shown to be triple than of sedentary controls (Dušek, 2001). Menstrual dysfunction has a prevalence of 26% in the collegiate female population (Beals & Hill,
2006). Over half of the participants (56%) in a small French study of recreational runners had some form of menstrual disturbance. Among female boxers, oligomenorrhea was reported by 54.6% whereas prevalence within a control group of recreationally active females was ~6% (Trutschnigg et al., 2008).

Even if overt menstrual dysfunction is not present, subtle disruptions in hormonal signaling result in subclinical menstrual disorders characterized by short luteal phases or anovulation (De Souza et al., 2010; Loucks & Thurma, 2003; Welt et al., 2004). Anovulatory cycles are fairly common within the first two to three years following menarche but beyond that are considered atypical (Slap, 2003; Golden & Carlson, 2008; Warren & Chua, 2008). Recently De Souza et al. (2010) quantified ovarian steroid hormone excreted in the urine of exercising women over the course of one to three menstrual cycles. Anovulation was assumed if LH and estradiol levels failed to rise sufficiently to stimulate ovulation or if progesterone levels were abnormally low. Data collected revealed that only 47.9% of exercising women were consistently ovulatory compared with 95% of sedentary women. Training load has been implicated as a contributing factor to abnormal menstruation (Dušek, 2001) however one must bear in mind that with increased physical exertion come increased nutrient needs which many athletes fail to meet.
ENERGY BALANCE

Macronutrient Needs

A review by Manore (2004) suggests that a diet of 1800 kcal/day or less is not enough to provide adequate nutrition and can affect menstrual function. Calorie needs can reach 4000 kcal/day for female endurance athletes, although intakes in the range of 2300-2500 kcal are sufficient for most athletes. The American Dietetic Association (ADA), Dietitians of Canada (DC) and the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) (2009) have jointly issued specific dietary recommendations for athletes. Protein requirements vary from 1.4 to 1.7 g/kg body weight and can be met easily through a healthy varied diet, without the use of supplements. Athletes concerned about body composition may be more inclined to shy away from fat-containing foods. However, fat is a necessary nutrient and should comprise approximately 20 to 35% of the diet. Optimal carbohydrate (CHO) intake ranges from 6 to 10 g/kg of body weight per day. Manore’s 2001 review suggests that the majority of athletes fail to meet even the minimum requirements for carbohydrate intake, with most consuming <6 g/kg per day. Only three of the twelve studies examined by Manore reported adequate levels of carbohydrate intake. The ADA, DC and ACSM recommend that:

- Athletes consume a high carbohydrate pre-exercise meal or snack
- Athletes consume 30 to 60 g of CHO per hour during exercise
- Athletes consume 1 to 1.5 g CHO per kg body weight within 30 minutes post-exercise
Dietary approaches such as this would minimize large shifts in energy balance and maintain adequate levels of blood glucose.

**Micronutrient Needs**

There is evidence that micronutrient needs, particularly minerals, are increased in athletic individuals (Chinevere et al., 2007). In developed countries, vitamin deficiencies are rare in athletes and non-athletes alike, (Maughan, 1995) but those who train for long durations or in warm environments can incur substantial losses of copper, zinc and iron. Athletes who exercised for 30 minutes (50% VO$_{2peak}$) in a 23°C environment experienced iron loss through sweat at a rate of 0.33 mg/h (DeRuisseau et al., 2002). It is possible that the body employs conservation mechanisms to impede mineral sweat losses after 60 minutes of exercise as sweat concentrations of both iron and zinc have been shown to be lower beyond this time point (DeRuisseau et al., 2002; Montain et al., 2007). However, researchers are in disagreement as to whether this observation is independent of sweat rate or if a dilutional effect takes place (DeRuisseau et al., 2002; Montain et al., 2007). Given that the recommended iron intake for U.S. women (age 19-30 years) is 18 mg/day, losses of this amount represents an increased need of only about 1.8%. Over time, athletes who do not replace lost minerals (i.e. fail to consume a sufficient diet) or who exercise for longer periods, or in hot environments put themselves at greater risk of acquiring a performance impacting deficiency.
The Physiologic Response and Energy Balance

*Thermic Effect of Feeding*

Energy balance refers to the number of kilocalories consumed within a given period of time relative to energy expended (Benardot, 2007). Traditionally, energy balance is evaluated in 24-hour increments, where an individual in energy balance would spend and consume kilocalories in equal amounts. Recently, researchers have suggested that there is a benefit to analyzing energy balance and/or nutrient intake at smaller time intervals as it better addresses physiologic need in real time (Duetz et al., 2000; Benardot, 2007; Symons et al., 2009). Isocaloric diets that vary in macronutrient composition have strikingly different effects on the body’s thermogenic response (Labayen et al., 1999; Feinman & Fine, 2004). For example, the thermogenic cost of a high protein diet is greater than that of a calorically identical high carbohydrate diet and therefore is more conducive to weight management (Feinman & Fine, 2004). Similarly, the thermic effect of food may be more or less depending on the frequency and regularity of nutrition delivery (Farschi et al., 2004). In essence, the body’s response to energy intake is not a caloric calculation made each day at midnight but rather is dynamic and highly changeable.

The body will more efficiently process (i.e. the thermic effect will be lesser) 1000 kcal delivered in one meal than the same caloric amount delivered in four meals (LeBlanc & Diamond, 1986). This has been demonstrated in mongrel dogs confined to calometric
cages and fed either small or large meals at varying frequency. Following each meal, respiratory quotients and VO$_{2peak}$ were observed. Researchers noted that “postprandial energy expended was twice as large when meal frequency was increased” suggesting that small, frequent feedings are more energy costly and are therefore be more conducive to a lean body composition.

Another study, this time in adult humans, showed greater oxygen consumption (26% versus 18% increase) after ingestion of four small meals compared with ingestion of one, calorically identical large meal ($P < 0.05$) (LeBlanc et al., 1993). Additionally, carbohydrate utilization was shown to be greater with the frequent feeding pattern ($P = 0.04$), a potential boon to athletes. In a longitudinal population survey of 2375 adolescent females, consumption of three or more meals per day was strongly associated with lower BMI z-scores ($P = 0.0001$), furthering the likelihood that within-day energy balance affects the body’s utilization of ingested food (Franko et al., 2007).

Not all of the literature supports these conclusions (Tai et al., 1991). On two different days, seven healthy women (age 23-30 years) were assigned to receive either a 750 kcal liquid meal in a single quantity or split into six small portions given at 30-minute intervals. Contrary to the findings of LeBlanc et al., thermic effect of food was higher when the meal was ingested as a bolus ($P < 0.05$). A later review of available energy balance literature concluded that such studies were highly subject to a host of confounding factors making it difficult to ascertain whether meal frequency had any significant impact on total day energy expenditure (Bellisle et al., 1997).
Despite this, a more recent study of nationally competitive gymnasts and runners established that those who stayed within an energy balance of ±300 kcal tended to be to be leaner than those who spent more hours per day in energy deficits outside of this range \( r = 0.407; P = 0.001 \) (Duetz et al., 2000). Given the sheer volume of kilocalories required by an elite athlete in one day, the maintenance of such a narrow energy balance could only be achieved by ingestion of numerous meals. It should be noted that differences in body composition were seen despite the fact that all athletes met their energy needs at the 24-hour mark.

**Leptin Response**

Energy balance and meal patterning influence the amplitude and timing of leptin secretion, which in turn influence menstrual function (Köpp et al., 1997; Fogteloo et al., 2004; Bergendahl et al., 2000). Low leptin levels have been associated with loss of menses in energy restricted individuals; maintenance of normal leptin secretions may therefore improve menstrual functioning (Köpp et al., 1997). A small study of healthy females \( n = 8 \) demonstrated similar patterns of daily leptin release regardless of whether an individual was fed or fasted (Bergendahl et al., 2000). Despite maintenance of normal leptin release patterning, the concentration of serum leptin was significantly lower during a short duration fast of 2.5 days \( P = 0.0007 \). The potential exists that individuals who skip meals throughout the day (arguably another form of short duration fasting) could lower their leptin levels and subsequently see an alteration in menstrual function.
A Dutch study recently confirmed that meal patterning has effects on leptin secretion (Fogteloo et al., 2004). Increasing frequency of meals from three to eight times daily in two separate 4-day interventions reduced the amplitude of leptin secretion in five obese but otherwise healthy women. Although it is low leptin levels that are associated with loss of menses, this study clearly shows that leptin is affected by meal patterning. Given that the sample studied was obese, it is not likely that the leptin reduction was damaging as leptin levels are directly related to adiposity. Rather, it may actually reflect the beginnings of a favorable shift in body composition or simply a more stabilized leptin secretion in response to a healthier feeding pattern.

Prevalence of Sub-Optimal Energy Intake

Athletes frequently consume less than their required energy needs (Hassapidou & Manstrantoni, 2001; Leblanc et al., 2002; Beals & Hill, 2006; Tomten & Hostmark, 2006; Ziegler, 2006). Dietary analysis of elite Greek female volleyball players, middle distance runners, ballet dancers, and swimmers revealed that all groups, with the exception of volleyball players during their competitive season, were not meeting their daily energy needs (Hassapidou & Manstrantoni, 2001). This particular study was made up of thirty-five athletes and ten controls, all 18 to 26 years of age. In an effort to obtain the most accurate data, seven-day weighted food records were kept once during a competitive season and again during a non-competitive season. Energy intake was found to be similar in both athletes and controls; however athletes had significantly greater energy
expenditure and were more likely to present with total day negative energy balance. Furthermore, iron intake was found to be low for all teams, which puts athletes at risk of developing iron-deficiency anemia.

It is not definitively known why athletes under-consume energy, but it is possible that athletes find it difficult to take in food in such large amounts or do so in a purposeful attempt to modify body composition (Loucks et al., 1998). Indeed the latter may be the more likely scenario when one considers that approximately one-third of adolescent ballet dancers (Castelo-Branco, 2006) and U.S. collegiate athletes (Beals & Hill, 2006) report adhering to a weight control diet. The belief that an ideal weight is necessary for performance is widely held among ballet dancers (87% of a 2006 survey) which could explain the prevalence of restrictive eating behavior.

A survey assessment of eating behaviors and self-perception among national level U.S. junior figure skaters revealed that 80% of females viewed themselves as normal weight or underweight, yet 79% of that same sample wished to lower their weight (Ziegler et al., 1997). Four-day food records suggested that this group was actively trying to engage in weight loss as intake averaged a mere 1422 kcal per day. A later study by Ziegler et al. (2006) evaluated 3-day food records from 122 young, female synchronized skaters and found similar evidence of under nutrition. Based on dietary reference intakes (RDI) as established by the National Academy of Sciences, energy needs were estimated to be in the range of 2200 – 2500 kcal per day. They found that the skaters fell short of this by 544 – 844 kcal per day. Calcium and iron intake were also below the RDI with the athletes achieving only sixty-five and eighty-seven percent of the RDI for each mineral,
respectively. These two studies, although separated by almost a decade, present an interesting picture of U.S. skaters. Their self-perception is quite accurate, but the belief system seems to follow a flawed thought process that less food is synonymous with less weight, which is synonymous with better performance.

Beals and Hill (2006) surveyed collegiate athletes on eating habits and menstrual function over two competitive seasons. Participants were recruited from diving, cross-country, field hockey, softball, swimming, tennis, and track and field teams. Disordered eating behavior was found to be present in 28% of the sample, that is, the athletes self-reported diagnosis of an eating disorder, believed they had an eating disorder, or were dissatisfied with their weight and engaged in at least one pathogenic weight control behavior. Similar to the ballet dancers, 36% of the collegiate athletes restricted energy intake as a means of achieving a particular weight. A conservative estimate of actual daily needs would likely be in the range of 2300-2500 kcal (Manore, 2004), which is much higher than what is generally thought of as a calorie-restricted diet (1200-1800 kcal). These numbers are estimations and the dietary data presented here are qualitative in nature but this does highlight a seemingly pervasive notion that athletic performance is dependent on strict dietary control.

A three-year prospective study in France examined 180 adolescent male soccer players and reported inadequate energy intake for all subjects involved (LeBlanc et al., 2002). These athletes were younger, with ages ranging from 13 to 16 years. Once annually, anthropometric data were collected and players recorded five days worth of dietary intake. Calculated daily energy deficits ranged anywhere from 1467 – 1790 kcal. This
study looked only at males, but it seems to suggest that the problem of sub-optimal energy intake begins early and is a common feature of all athletic individuals.

Although dietary inadequacy is widespread among athletes, dietary supplements are frequently used. Sixty-seven percent of young German athletes and 40% of U.S. synchronized skaters report current use of dietary supplements (Ziegler & Jonnalagadda, 2006; Braun et al., 2009). Given that the primary reasons for supplement use include improved health, performance, and to ensure adequate dietary intake, it seems that athletes intuitively know they are not consuming enough (Ziegler & Jonnalagadda, 2006; Braun et al., 2009). Perhaps the placebo effect provided by a pill is far more enticing than food. Unfortunately, the failure to meet intake goals in the athletic population is particularly troublesome when one considers that energy intake is linked with micronutrient intake, and athletes who restrict their diet put themselves at greater risk of deficiencies.

Commonly Affected Sports

Classically, lean build sports are the ones thought to be at highest risk of eating disordered behavior and inadequate energy intake. In 2002 Hausenblas and Carron reviewed fourteen studies of eating behaviors in female athletes. Of those, nine studies confirmed and five studies negated the traditional view of elevated eating disorder risk in weight conscious sports (Hausenblas & Carron, 2002). A later survey by Williams et al. (2006) found no difference in indices of cognitive restraint regardless of whether an
athlete competed in an aesthetic, non-aesthetic, team, or individual sport. By contrast, a
survey that consisted of the total population of elite Norwegian athletes revealed that
significantly more lean-sport athletes reported menstrual dysfunction compared with non-
lean sport athletes and controls (Torstveit & Sundgot-Borgen, 2005). A similar survey of
seventy-two Croatian athletes and ninety-six non-athlete controls found a higher
prevalence of secondary amenorrhea in the athlete group (30% versus 13%) (Dušek,
2001). Additionally, secondary amenorrhea was more frequently seen in middle and long
distance runners (65%) than short distance runners (21%). Assuming the menstrual
dysfunction resulted from inadequate energy intake, this would be in line with the
traditionally accepted lean-sport, low-intake archetype. In endurance sports, which are
classified as lean, large caloric deficits may be more likely to occur simply because
energy demand is so great (Manore et al., 2007; Loucks, 2007). Regardless of sport,
inadequate energy intake is prevalent among all athletes and the potential for
improvement following dietary correction is high.

**Body Composition**

The body compensates for inadequate energy intake by lowering its basal metabolic rate,
which can result in underestimations of an athlete’s true energy needs (Loucks, 2007).
Lean body mass, the most metabolically active tissue, is used as an energy substrate in an
adaptive response to simultaneously satisfy kcal requirements and reduce baseline energy
needs (Shils et al., 2006). These metabolic adaptations make the body an extremely
efficient user of calories (Loucks, 2007). In other words, weight may be maintained or gained on fewer calories than would otherwise be expected. This can make it difficult for athletes to achieve optimal body composition while loss of menses puts the individual at risk of developing significant health problems, particularly loss of bone mineral density (BMD) (Beals & Hill, 2006; Manore et al., 2007; Wiksten-Almströmer et al., 2009).

The reasons for inadequate intake are diverse but often include disordered eating behaviors, insufficient biological drive to eat, and attempts to modify body composition. Interestingly, in the Deutz et al. study (2005) that within-day energy balance deficits of -300 kcals or more were associated with higher percent body fat in elite gymnasts and runners. Conversely, athletes who spent more time in energy surplus, tended to be leaner and have lower body fat percentages. Individuals who over restrict energy intake may actually worsen body composition as the body adjust basal metabolism downwards. In order maintain health and achieve optimal body composition, physically active women should be encouraged to know their nutritional needs and eat accordingly.

A study by Williams et al. (2006) examined the relationship between menstrual cycle length and attitude towards food. Study participants included 151 female Division I and club athletes from a variety of sports and 70 non-athlete controls. The Eating Disorder Inventory and survey questionnaires were used to obtain information on diet patterns, physical activity and menstrual status. Researchers found that irregularly cycling athletes were at increased risk of disordered eating and showed higher cognitive restraint than normally cycling athletes. Athletes were more likely to report having long menstrual cycles than non-athletes. The authors speculate that irregularly cycling athletes may be
Consciously ignoring bodily cues of hunger in order to restrict caloric intake. Caloric restriction could in turn lead to significant energy deficits and reproductive suppression (Manore, 2004).

ENERGY INADEQUACY AND MENSTRUAL FUNCTION

As previously discussed, menstrual cycle disruptions occur when there is abnormal hypothalamic secretion of GnRH (Warren & Chua, 2008). The ensuing domino effect is one of sporadic LH release, diminished stimulation of the ovaries, and a decline in estradiol and progesterone (Zanker, 2006; Warren & Chua, 2008). The hormonal mechanisms that trigger this cascade of events have yet to be certainly identified though it is plausible that declining leptin levels, a consequence of energy insufficiency and resultant fat loss, play a central role (Zanker, 2006; Welt et al., 2004; Misra M et al., 2004; Warren & Chua, 2008). Baseline circulating leptin levels are directly related to adiposity (Köpp et al., 1997; Fogteloo et al., 2004; Chrousos et al., 1998; Kalantaridou et al., 2004). Anything beyond this is related to eating patterns, and leptin levels will fluctuate with meals in much the same way insulin would. Thyroid hormone triiodothyronine (T3), involved in the regulation of metabolic rate, is understandably decreased in periods of energy depletion and is likely also involved in cessation of menses (Zanker, 2006; Welt et al., 2004; Misra M et al., 2004). In a study by Welt et al. (2004), T3 levels rose with leptin dosing, similar to the way both hormones would rise with increased caloric intake. The combined effect of in both hormones, which correspond positively with energy intake, is worth considering.
Increased physical activity alone does not have a negative effect on the female athlete’s reproductive cycle (Loucks & Thurma, 2003). Irregularities occur only when an energy deficit exists. A cohort of sedentary, eumenorrheic women were recruited to participate in trials, each several months apart, during which they expended 15 kcal/kg lean body mass (LBM) for five days during their follicular phase. One of the trials was performed in energy balance with women receiving 45 kcal/kg LBM. The other trial was performed in an induced energy deficient state. Women were provided with 30, 20, or 10 kcal/kg LBM. Following each trial period blood samples were collected to determine LH pulsatility. This was done in an in-patient setting at 10-minute intervals for 24-hours. The results of this tightly controlled study clearly demonstrated that LH secretion is disrupted when energy availability falls below 30 kcal/kg LBM. Specifically, LH pulse frequency was decreased while amplitude was increased. All subjects engaged in identical exercise strain during both periods of energy adequacy and energy deficiency. LH pulsatility was altered only when intake was drastically lower than needs thus furthering the likelihood that intake more so than training modifications should be employed as interventions to hypothalamic amenorrhea.

Despite significant evidence for inadequate intake as root cause of hypothalamic amenorrhea, other studies have found similar or only slight differences in daily intake of energy and macronutrients between regularly and irregularly menstruating women. A small French study had 21 runners track seven days of dietary intake and complete a questionnaire on training and menstrual status at four time points over one-year (Rosetta et al., 2001). No differences in training or energy intake were noted between women
grouped by menstrual status. In another study, 20 regularly and irregularly menstruating female runners were matched by age and performance (Tomten & Hostmark, 2006). To assess energy balance, energy expenditure during exercise was determined via indirect calorimetry and heart rate monitors were worn during one full week of training. A 3-day weighed food record was kept by each athlete. Serum measures of LH, FSH, cortisol, and estradiol were taken during follicular phase of eumenorrheic athletes and at random time points for amenorrheic athletes. No differences in cortisol levels were noted between the two groups and the amenorrheic women took in slightly, but not substantially, fewer calories. It should be noted that all of these studies looked at energy and macronutrient intake in 24-hour time blocks. None of the reviewed literature accounted for within-day differences, that is, hour-by-hour differences, in energy balance. Doing so would better consider real-time endocrine function and its effects on energy and substrate metabolism (Benardot, 2007). As the Deutz et al. study (2000) showed, individuals can end a day in near perfect energy balance and yet still present with strikingly different body composition profiles. The same may also be true of metabolic and endocrine profiles. The interests of science and research would be better served by energy analyses conducted in much smaller time blocks.
Health Implications of Abnormal Menstrual Cycling

Bone Health

Unmet energy needs can cause the body to conserve energy, bypassing any process not deemed absolutely necessary. Estrogen is an osteoprotective sex and is decreased in individuals who fail to meet their nutrient needs (Gambacciani et al., 2004). Low levels of circulating estrogen result in disinhibition of osteoblastic activity and a high rate of bone turnover. Compromised bone integrity may result. Significant bone mineral loss or failure to reach an appropriate level of peak bone mass by early adulthood increases the risk of premature osteoporosis and fracture in athletes (Nattiv et al., 2007).

Beals and Hill (2006) examined menstrual function and BMD 112 female collegiate athletes from seven different sports. Menstrual irregularities were found to be most common among athletes participating in lean-build sports, although no athlete was found to have BMD that would indicate osteoporosis as defined by the World Health Organization (T-score -2.5). The ACSM notes that “the fracture rate doubles for each reduction of one standard deviation in BMD [and] loss of BMD may not be fully reversible”(Nattiv et al., 2007). Based on this, the ACSM recommends more stringent values be used during health screening. Z-scores below -1.0 should indicate a high risk athlete and Z-scores under -2.0 should be viewed as low BMD. In the Beals and Hill study, two cross-country athletes were found to have spinal BMD below -2.0 and eleven athletes had BMD values below -1.0. This suggests that low BMD is common, and root cause needs to be addressed.
There is sufficient evidence to support a relationship between elevated cortisol levels, irregular menstruation and reduced bone density. Cortisol dips and peaks naturally over a 24-hour period and usually increases with endurance exercise (Dennison et al., 1999; Tabata et al., 1991). In a study of 71 active women, cortisol levels were highest, and BMD values were lowest, in the amenorrheic individuals (Ding et al., 1988). Other investigations have demonstrated that normal weight and normally menstruating women have favorable cortisol and BMD values, while individuals suffering from anorexia nervosa have significantly higher cortisol and lower BMD (Lawson et al., 2009).

Interestingly, amenorrheic women of normal weight present with cortisol and BMD measures that fit comfortably in between these two extremes (Lawson et al., 2009).

Cortisol has also been shown to be predictive of BMD in adult men (Çetin et al., 2001; Dennison et al., 1999). In elderly men, those with relatively shallow cortisol dips were more likely to experience bone loss over a four year period than those with deeper dips (Dennison et al., 1999). Elevated cortisol has roots in energy availability. This has been demonstrated in exercising athletes who experienced rising levels of plasma cortisol when made to exercise without carbohydrate supplementation compared with no change in plasma cortisol when supplementation was provided (Tabata et al., 1991). It would appear that most factors related to menstrual dysfunction in athletes, that is, low BMI, low body fat, and high cortisol levels, are consequences of inadequate energy intake.
Pharmacological Treatment

It is not uncommon for athletes to report use of hormonal medications with the intention of restoring menses and, it is assumed, protecting bone health (Torstveit & Sundgot-Borgen, 2005; Beals & Hill, 2006). The thought process is understandable but the literature is fairly mixed. A ten cycle oral contraceptive (OC) study on women with hypothalamic amenorrhea and baseline osteopenia showed significant improvements in lumbar spine BMD following treatment (Warren et al., 2005). On the other hand, amenorrheic ballet dancers who received OC medication for two years had no improvements in BMD compared with amenorrheic dancers who received a placebo, and both groups remained lower than a control group (Warren et al., 2003). In eating disordered individuals, six cycles of OC treatment resulted in a greater increase in lumbar spine BMD than that of controls (Strokosch et al., 2006). The initial increase in BMD was promising but unfortunately was not sustained and no difference was noted between groups at cycle thirteen (Strokosch et al., 2006). Another study found a small but significant increase in patients treated with recombinant human insulin growth factor-1 but not among those given OC or a placebo (Grinspoon et al., 2002). Even in healthy young women, the influence of OC on BMD is still not definitively known with researchers typically turning up negative effects (Prior et al., 2001; Shoepe & Snow, 2005) or no effects (Reed et al., 2003; Nappi et al., 2003).

Where estrogen therapy has proved inconclusive at best, leptin therapy may succeed. Three months of twice daily subcutaneous leptin administration improved indices of normal menstrual cyclicity and showed potential for improving bone density (Welt et al.,
Those who received the recombinant human leptin saw more instances of follicular growth and ovulation over three months than did a control group. Additionally, there appeared to be a dose dependent response as follicular development was more pronounced in those receiving higher doses of leptin. Osteocalcin, a protein generally associated with osteoblastic activity, was increased although overall bone density did not significantly change. Future studies would do well to examine the potential bone benefit that leptin supplementation may provide. Appetite decreases associated with leptin therapy should not be ignored as this could prove to be a disastrous side effect if this treatment is used in patients suffering from psychometric eating disorders.

**Effects of Weight Gain**

Increasing energy intake is by far the best treatment intervention for individuals with low bone density and hypothalamic amenorrhea. Bolton et al. (2004) found that for each unit of BMI recovered by anorexics there was a corresponding 26g increase in total BMD and 0.4% increase in lumbar spine BMD. Additionally, nutrition therapy in the form of simple nutrition counseling has been shown to produce improvements in spine, hip and total BMD in as little as two months (Dominguez et al., 2007). The prevalence of low energy intake in the athletic population suggests that it is not so much the result of a psychometric disorder as it is a knowledge deficit. Applying nutrition interventions in this population would be potentially less challenging and yet still do a great deal to reduce fracture risk and improve overall health.
Within-Day Energy Balance and Menstruation

Many female athletes who experience reproductive irregularities do not engage in disordered eating behaviors and, when energy balance is analyzed at the 24-hour mark, have dietary intakes similar to that of their eumenorrheic counterparts (Rosetta et al., 2001; Tomten & Hostmark, 2006). Findings related to 24-hour energy balance are therefore informative but fail to account for all cases of irregular menstruation as a multitude of factors contribute to maintenance of female reproductive health. Likewise, studies on body composition (lean mass versus fat mass) have revealed a correlation between low body fat and irregular menstruation (Stokić et al., 2005; Castelo-Branco et al., 2006) but have failed to produce a universally accepted value that can be applied to female athletes as a whole. Other studies have reported similar levels of body fat in regularly and irregularly cycling individuals leaving the validity of this association in question (Coiro et al., 2006). Inconsistencies within the literature suggest that we are missing an unknown factor. As of yet, no research has examined the relationship of within-day energy intake and expenditure to menstruation. It is possible that large fluctuations in caloric balance, such as front- or back-loading daily energy intake, also results in menstrual irregularities.
CHAPTER III

METHODS

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Individuals were eligible for inclusion only if they were active a minimum of 3-4 days per week (i.e., every other day) and 19-30 years of age. Participants agreed to complete a two-page health, demographic and training questionnaire, and recorded energy intake and expenditure for a total of three days. Procedures were approved by Georgia State University’s Institutional Review Board.

SUBJECTS

Subject recruitment was accomplished through contact with collegiate coaches, via mass e-mail, and by word-of-mouth. Twenty-nine eligible participants responded as interested. Twenty subjects completed the full study protocol. The study population consisted of ten recreationally active women, two amateur tri-athletes, one competitive marathon runner, and seven NCAA division I collegiate middle-distance runners. For the purposes of this study, menstrual dysfunction was defined as any of the following:

- Presence of primary amenorrhea
- Frequency of menses equal to zero
• Loss of menses >3 months

Given the age of the study sample (19-30 years) presence of primary amenorrhea is unlikely. This is, therefore, primarily an investigation of secondary amenorrhea.

STUDY PROCEDURE

Subjects were provided with either an electronic or hard copy version the necessary study forms. This was done based on subject preference. All subjects met with the principle investigator at least once and anthropometric data were collected at that time. At the conclusion of the study, completed study forms were returned to the investigator either in person via postal service in a stamped, preaddressed envelope (provided to participants who opted to receive hard copy study forms).

INSTRUMENTS

Participant height was measured in centimeters to the nearest tenth using a Martin-type portable anthropometer (stadiometer). Weight was measured in pounds to the nearest tenth using a Tanita scale (Arlington Heights, Illinois USA). This was later converted to kilograms.

A two-page survey consisting of open- and close-ended questions was used to obtain information on training, health, and current and past menstrual status (Appendix I).
Participants were also asked to respond to questions regarding eating habits and attitude towards food.

Hourly energy intake and expenditure was recorded on one highly active day, one moderately active day and one non-active day using the NutriTiming™ Data Entry Form (Appendix II). In order to accurately determine energy expenditure, participants were asked to assign an activity factor to all daily activities using a scale of 1 (resting, reclining) to 7 (exhaustive). Collected energy balance data were then entered and evaluated using NutriTiming™ software.

All participants signed letters of informed consent and retained a copy of the consent form for their records.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Dietary intake data were analyzed on each subject using NutriTiming™ software (nutrient analysis based on USDA Version 21 Standard Nutrient Database) to assess end-of-day and within-day (hourly) energy balance (see Appendix III for sample output). Within-day energy balance was evaluated based on each subject’s greatest caloric surplus, greatest caloric deficit, hours in energy surplus >400 kcals, hours in energy deficit <400 kcals, hours anabolic (energy balance greater than zero) and hours catabolic (energy balance less than zero).
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 12.0. Based on the small sample size (n = 20), abnormal distribution of the data were assumed and analyses were, therefore, conducted using non-parametric statistical methods. The menstrual cycle characteristics between oral contraceptive users versus non-users were evaluated via independent samples Student’s t-test. The Spearman’s rho test assessed for potentially significant correlations within the data. The impact of number of miles run per week and menstrual dysfunction, based on a quartile stratification of miles run, were analyzed using a non-parametric post-hoc analysis of variance (ANOVA). In all cases, statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

Of the 29 individuals who indicated initial interest, 20 completed the study in full.

The subject population was comprised of 20 recreationally active and athletically competitive women. Ten subjects were active but non-competitive, 2 were tri-athletes, 1 was a competitive marathon runner, and 7 were collegiate cross-country runners. The mean age of study participants was 23.35 years (±3.13). Mean height, weight and body mass index (BMI) were found to be 166.59 cm (±7.96), 60.73 kg (±6.86) and 21.83 kg/m² (±1.85), respectively. Running activity was performed by participants 0-7 days per week with the sample averaging 4.18 days per week (± 2.16). On average the sample engaged in strength training 1.88 days per week (± 0.65).

All subjects had previously achieved menarche and average age of occurrence was 12.89 years (± 1.94). Two subjects reported occurrence of menarche at > 15 years of age, indicating a history of primary amenorrhea. Nine subjects (45%) had experienced LoM >3 months and two subjects (10.5%) indicated a previous medical diagnosis of
amenorrhea. Twelve subjects (60%) reported current use of oral contraceptives and seven subjects (35%) reported a history of OC use as a means of inducing or regulating menses. Three participants (15%) reported having past diagnosis of an eating disorder. There were no significant anthropometric differences between the total sample and subjects with a history of LoM >3 months, diagnosis of ED or diagnosis of amenorrhea. Subject characteristics are presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: Subject Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI(kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength Training (days/week)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running (miles/week)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at Menarche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoM (days)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEALTH BELIEFS AND ATTITUDES

Participants were asked about performance and health beliefs with regard to regular menstrual patterns (RMP) and eating habits. Nineteen responses were obtained to the question “do you feel that getting regular periods impacts performance?” (Table II). Of these, six individuals (31.6%) indicated a belief that RMP was associated with a positive impact on performance, four individuals (21.1%) indicated a negative impact and nine individuals (47.4%) indicated no impact. When asked about RMP in relation to overall
health, twelve (60%) responded that RMP positively impacts health, seven (35%) indicated no impact, and only one (5%) indicated a negative impact (Table III). It is interesting to note that although well over half of those surveyed associated RMP with good health, 68.5% of respondents believed RMP had either no impact on or was a detriment to performance. This seems to suggest an interesting paradox where active women associate RMP with optimal health but not with optimal athletic performance.

Using a 5-point Likert scale, participants were asked to indicate the importance of various factors as they relate to performance (1-2 = low importance; 3 = moderate importance; 4-5 = high importance). Factors listed included low body weight, low body fat, muscle mass, weight loss, weight gain, weight maintenance, low calorie diet, high protein diet and low fat intake. One-hundred percent indicated low body fat as being of moderate (35%) or high (65%) importance. Weight maintenance was the only other factor that was chosen by all as being of moderate (20%) or high (80%) importance. There was a positive correlation between the perceived import of low body weight for athletic performance and history of eating disorder diagnosis ($r = 0.462; P = 0.04$). Eleven participants (55%) responded that a low calorie diet was of low importance to athletic performance, eight (40%) indicated moderate importance and one (5%) felt it was of high importance. The perceived importance of a low calorie diet decreased with age ($r = -0.644; P = 0.002$). Younger women may be more likely to engage in restrictive behaviors, although it should be noted that the importance assigned to low calorie diets was relatively low overall (95% responded low to moderate). Given this response, it would seem that active women believe they are consuming adequate amounts despite
spending the majority of their day in an energy deficit >400 kcal. Future investigations might consider evaluating perceived hunger and utilization of a measured basal metabolic rate value to clarify this discrepancy.

| TABLE II: Perceived Impact of Regular Menstrual Patterns on Performance |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
|                        | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid                  |           |        |              |                    |
| Positive Impact        | 6         | 30.0   | 31.6        | 31.6               |
| Negative Impact        | 4         | 20.0   | 21.1        | 52.6               |
| No Impact              | 9         | 45.0   | 47.4        | 100.0              |
| Total                  | 19        | 95.0   | 100.0       |                    |
| Missing Data           | 1         | 5.0    |             |                    |
| Total                  | 20        | 100.0  |             |                    |

| TABLE III: Perceived Impact of Regular Menstrual Patterns on Overall Health |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
|                            | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid                      |           |        |              |                    |
| Positive Impact            | 12       | 60.0   | 60.0        | 60.0               |
| Negative Impact            | 1        | 5.0    | 5.0         | 65.0               |
| No Impact                  | 7        | 35.0   | 35.0        | 100.0              |
| Total                      | 20       | 100.0  | 100.0       |                    |

ENERGY BALANCE

Over a 24-hour period, the mean energy intake for all subjects was found to be 2,094 kcal (±668). This is markedly less than the average predicted energy expenditure of 2,598 kcal.
kcal (±400), resulting in the sample as a whole averaging a caloric deficit of -504 kcal over 24 hours. In general, participants tended to spend more hours in energy deficit > 400 kcal than in an energy surplus > 400 kcal (15.2 hrs ± 9.8 versus 1.7 hrs ± 5.4).

Similarly, hours spent in a catabolic state (energy balance less than zero) were more frequently observed than hours spent in an anabolic state (20.5 hrs ± 6.8 versus 3.5 hrs ± 6.8). The largest recorded energy deficit for each subject averaged -1034 kcal (±779).

Only two participants were in perfect energy balance (within ±400 kcal of zero) for the full twenty-four hours. Neither participant reported a previous diagnosis of amenorrhea, although one had a history of LoM > 3 months. Detailed dietary intake and energy balance data is listed under Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV: Dietary Intake and Energy Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Energy Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcals In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcals Out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Intake (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Intake (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate Intake (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Intake (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Intake (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate Intake (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Intake (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Deficit &gt;400 (hrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Surplus&gt;400 (hrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours Catabolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours Anabolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest Surplus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest Deficit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENERGY AND NUTRIENT INTAKE AND MENSTRUATION

An independent samples $t$-test showed no difference in history of LoM > 3 months between oral contraceptive users and non-users. A Mann-Whitney U test showed that FoM was lower among OC users compared to non-users. Spearman’s rho correlational tests were conducted to assess for correlations between LoM > 3 months, FoM, diagnosis of amenorrhea, ending energy balance, energy deficit > 400 kcal, energy surplus > 400 kcal, hours catabolic, hours anabolic, largest energy deficit, and largest energy surplus.

Analysis of the sample data revealed a statistically significant inverse relationship between LoM > 3 months and hours spent in an energy surplus of more than 400 kcal ($r = -0.463; P = 0.04$). Loss of menses for greater than three months was also inversely correlated with number of meals consumed per day ($r = -0.491; P = .028$), total kcal consumed ($r = -0.514; P = .02$), largest energy surplus ($r = -0.463; P = .04$), and grams of protein consumed ($r = -0.462; P = .04$). There was no relationship between ending energy balance and LoM > 3 months.

The impact of number of miles run per week and menstrual dysfunction, based on a quartile stratification of miles run, were analyzed using a non-parametric post hoc ANOVA with Tamhane. Significant differences were found between the upper two quartiles of distance run per week and LoM > 3 months ($P = 0.048$).

Three participants reported past diagnosis of an eating disorder. An independent samples $t$-test revealed that these individuals were active more days per week (7 versus 6.2 days;
$P = .008$) and consumed less protein as a percentage of total calories ($P = .001$) compared with those without a history of disordered eating.
CHAPTER V
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

PREVALENCE OF LOSS OF MENSES AND LOW ENERGY INTAKE

Forty-five percent of the active women in this study indicated they had experienced loss of menses for greater than three months. Although the present study did not contain a sedentary control group, this is much higher than 2 to 5% rate of irregular menstruation observed in the general population (Warren & Chua, 2008; De Souza et al., 2010). Additionally, mean menarcheal age for the study population was 12.89 years which is several months older than the present 12.43 years for the general U.S. population (Chumlea et al., 2003). Late onset of menses (occurrence of menarche beyond age fifteen) was reported by two subjects. A large majority of subjects (68.5%) responded that regular menses had either no impact on, or were detrimental to, performance. It is possible that many athletes are indifferent to LoM or view LoM as conducive to good performance. This is dangerously flawed judgment when one considers the necessity of steroidal sex hormones to bone health.
The participants in this study averaged a daily caloric deficit of -504 kcal which is in agreement with the findings of other researchers (Hassapidou & Manstrantoni, 2001; Leblanc et al., 2002; Beals & Hill, 2006; Tomten & Hostmark, 2006; Ziegler, 2006). Participants averaged more hours in a catabolic state (20.5 hrs) than in an anabolic state (3.5 hrs) and spent approximately 15 hrs per day in a deficit greater than 400 kcal. Energy balance patterning of this sort is not conductive to lean mass development. Athletes with a previous eating disorder diagnosis may be at greatest risk for lean mass catabolism as this study revealed that protein tended to account for a smaller proportion of consumed calories in these individuals. Correction of these trends carries with it the potential to enhance athletic performance.

Oligomenorrhea and amenorrhea have serious consequences that, when unresolved, increase an athlete’s risk of injury and have a lasting, negative impact on bone health (Gambacciani et al., 2004). In some instances, the use of hormonal medication to induce or regulate menses is warranted as it has been shown to have favorable, albeit short-term effects on bone metabolism (Rickenlund et al., 2010). Menstrual irregularity is not a disease in of and of itself but rather a symptom of an underlying problem. Successful and healthful resumption of menses requires identification and treatment of root cause with all other interventions regarded as temporary. The health of the athlete must always be considered of utmost importance, and any indication of poor health should be viewed as a hindrance to the pursuit of optimal performance.

Based on the results of this study, and the body literature reviewed, any efforts made to shift an amenorrheic or oligomenorrheic athlete in the direction of positive energy
balance would likely have positive implications. This is true even for athletes who meet their 24-hour energy balance needs but spend the majority of the day in a catabolic state, as it is hours in large energy surplus that are most strongly correlated with normal menses. For coaches and athletes alike, the recognition of food as necessary fuel source and extremely valuable ‘training tool’ can only serve to improve performance and health.

**FUTURE INVESTIGATIONS**

This study stands as a preliminary investigation into the relationship between energy balance and menstrual cycle disturbance in active women. Given that significant data were found within such a small sample size, the prospect of further investigation is exciting. Menstrual and energy balance relationships may be more or less pronounced in individuals of varying sport, athletic ability, and age. If the results of this study hold true for the adolescent population, the implications for osteoporosis and stress fracture prevention and elite athlete development would be far reaching.

Subject recruitment during this study was difficult and subject response was slow and gradual. Future investigations should strive to increase participation and response rate. The number of study participants could be increased if recruitment took place at local gyms and health classes. In this study, only one interested participant was recruited from e-mailed requests for subjects. Response rate may be improved if the subject-investigator relationship begins in person. Recruiting participants through local health and fitness classes may also help to bolster the legitimacy of the study as opposed to an e-mailed
request form an unknown investigator. Additionally, establishing a specific time line of participation upon initial contact with the subject could potentially improve the speed and rate of study completion.

Home remedies for the reestablishment of menstrual function were not examined in this investigation but a basic Internet search reveals that abortifacient herbs are touted as having the potential for inducing menstruation in amenorrheic women. Future studies may wish to evaluate the prevalence of use of these herbal remedies, which include sage, black cohosh, false unicorn root, lemon balm, sweet marjoram, pennyroyal and tansy, all of which claim to induce menstruation (August 2010, keywords “home remedies amenorrhea”). Dong quai (angelica sinensis) is used in traditional Chinese medicine to treat menstrual disorders and relieve menopausal symptoms however the efficacy and safety of such remedies are questionable at best (Yeung & Gubili, 2009).

Body composition was not analyzed in this study but has previously been shown to be related to within-day energy balance (Duetz et al., 2000). It is possible that body composition analysis in this sample of active females would have revealed similar association and provided further insight into the nature of the relationship between menstrual cycling and exercise.

CONCLUSIONS
Reproductive irregularity has been shown to occur frequently in athletes who end each day in energy deficit (Manore, 2007; Beals & Hill, 2006; Nattiv et al., 2007). Although
the women in this study exhibited a mean caloric deficit of 504 kcal, end-of-day energy balance was not related to loss of menses for greater than three months. When energy balance data were analyzed in an hourly fashion, there was a significant inverse relationship between the number of hours spent in an energy surplus > 400 kcal and the cessation of menses for more than three months. Researchers and healthcare professionals may benefit from the examination of energy balance in an hour-by-hour manner as it may help in identifying root cause of menstrual irregularity. This would in turn allow for the development of targeted intervention strategies, potentially improving health and athletic outcomes for active women.

This study had several limitations. It is possible that the study participants failed to report all instances of energy intake or improperly estimated portion size. Since underreporting of energy intake is a known limitation of all methods of dietary record taking, the findings of this study should be comparable in accuracy to other studies relying on similar diet recall instruments. The NutriTiming™ software is available as an application for Apple’s iPhone. Future use of this could decrease instances of under-reporting by allowing study subjects to input energy balance information in real time. Additionally, the survey used in this study had not been previously validated and was used for the first time in this population. Care was taken to ensure that the questions asked were clear, and clarification was provided to participants when requested.

※ ※ ※
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: Research Questionnaire

Research Questionnaire

1. Age: ___

2. 

3. Height: ___

4. 

5. Weight: ___

6. 

7. Number of days per week that you run: _______

8. 

9. Number of miles run per week: ______________

10. 

11. Number of days per week that you weight train: ___

12. Have you ever had a menstrual period?

13. Yes  No

   If yes, please indicate your age at menarche (first period):

   If no, please proceed to question #11
14. Please indicate how often (number of days) your menstrual cycles occur:

15. Have you ever been diagnosed by a physician as amenorrheic?
   16. Yes  No  Don’t Know

17.

18. Have you ever gone more than three months without a menstrual cycle?
   19. Yes  No

20.

21. Have you ever been diagnosed with an eating disorder?
   22. Yes  No

23. If no, please continue to question #13

24.

25. Please indicate type of disorder:
   26. [ ] Anorexia Nervosa
   27. [ ] Bulimia Nervosa
   28. [ ] Binge Eating Disorder
   29. [ ] Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified
   30. [ ] Don’t Know

31.

32. Are you currently taking oral contraceptives?
   33. Yes  No

       If yes, please list what kind: __________________________

34. Have you ever been prescribed hormonal medication (such as birth control pills) as a method of inducing or regulating menses (period)?
   35. Yes  No

36.
37. Do you feel that getting regular periods impacts performance?
38. Yes  No
39. If yes, how do you feel it impacts performance?
40. __________________________________________
    __________________________________________
    __________________________________________
    ___________
41.
42. Do you feel that getting regular periods impacts overall health?
43. Yes  No
44. If yes, how do you feel it impacts overall health?
45. __________________________________________
    __________________________________________
    __________________________________________
    ___________
46.
47. How many meals do you typically eat in a day:_____ 
48.
49. How many snacks do you typically eat in a day:_____ 
50.
51. Check all that apply:
52. I eat the way I do because of:
53. [ ] My schedule
54. [ ] The convenience
55. [ ] I am frequently hungry
56. [ ] I am not frequently hungry
57. [ ] I believe it to be healthy
58. [ ] Other: ________________________________
59.
60. Please indicate how important these factors are to your performance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Low Importance</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Body Weight</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Body Fat</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle Mass</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Loss</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Gain</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Maintenance</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Calorie Diet</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Protein Diet</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Fat Intake</td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX II: Data Entry Form

**NutriTiming™ Data Entry Form**

Name: ___________________________ Age: _______ Years  Gender: M or F
Height: ______ Feet ______ Inches  Weight: ______ Pounds  Date Analyzed ______

**Instructions:** Completing this form will help us understand whether the amount of energy (calories) you consume varies close to matching the energy (calories) you expend. This form provides a way of keeping up with your energy expenditure by using an "Activity Factor," and your energy consumed is being used as a description of the foods and drinks you ate. The information is entered by hourly units, so you don’t have to remember precisely the time you had an activity or ate some food. Rather, you are asked to enter when you had an activity, its intensity by using the activity factor scale, and how long you did it (example: 1 hour). You can add some activities you do not consume (example: Jumper, 5 laps, 15 red grapes, 1 large banana, etc.). A factor of 1 is considered normal daytime activity, and we will assume a factor of 2.5 if you are inactive or asleep. A factor of 3 is equal to sleep, and a factor greater than 3.5 suggests you are doing something more vigorous than normal daytime activity. Please enter a full 24 hours of all your activities and all the foods/drinks you consume. Use the example below to help you understand how to enter the information.

**NutriTiming Activity Factor Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Resting, reclining, sleeping, or sitting, standing, or time-off activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Light: Minimal movement, mainly with upper body. Equivalent to lying in bed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Very Light: More movement, mainly with upper body. Equivalent to lying, sitting, brushing teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Very Light: Working harder than 1.5. Equivalent to household chores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Light: Working harder than 3.0. Heart rate faster but can do this all day with effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Moderate: Walking briskly, etc. Heart rate faster, sweating lightly, etc., but comfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Moderate: Working harder than 4.0. Heart rate noticeably faster, breathing faster, sweating more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Vigorous: Breathing prominently faster and deeper, heart rate faster, must take occasional deep breath during sentence to carry on conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Vigorous +: Working harder than 5.0. Breathing markedly faster and deeper, and must breathe deeply more often to carry on conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Heavy +: Working harder than 6.0. You can barely talk or would prefer not to. This is about as hard as you can go, but not for long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Exhausted: Can’t continue this intensity long, as are on the verge of collapse and are gasping for air, and heart rate is pounding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Begin Example***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin Hour</th>
<th>End Hour</th>
<th>Activity Factor</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Food/Drink Description</th>
<th>Food/Drink Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12pm</td>
<td>7am</td>
<td>1.0 Sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7am</td>
<td>8am</td>
<td>1.5 Nothing Special</td>
<td>Whole Wheat Waffles (Frozen-Keebler)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maple Syrup</td>
<td>2 Tablespoons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1% Milk</td>
<td>1 Cup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange Juice (From concentrate)</td>
<td>1.5 Cups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>2 Cups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1% Milk for Coffee</td>
<td>2 Tablespoons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10am</td>
<td>11am</td>
<td>5.0 Jog 30 minutes</td>
<td>Gatorade</td>
<td>16Ounces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12noon</td>
<td>1pm</td>
<td>1.5 Nothing Special</td>
<td>Medium grilled sandwich with white</td>
<td>1 Sandwich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bread, mayonnaise, lettuce, and tomato</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>2 Cups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Artificial Coffee Creamer</td>
<td>2 Packets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apple Pie</td>
<td>1 Slice (small)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5pm</td>
<td>6pm</td>
<td>4.0 Walk 1 hour</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>16Ounces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm</td>
<td>8pm</td>
<td>1.3 Nothing Special</td>
<td>Lasagna with ground beef and cheese</td>
<td>Large Plate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lettuce Salad with Tomatoes and Sunflowers</td>
<td>Medium Size Salad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blue Cheese-Salad Dressing</td>
<td>1 Tablespoon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Red Wine</td>
<td>1 Medium Glass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10pm</td>
<td>11pm</td>
<td>1.5 Nothing Special</td>
<td>Popcorn (popcorn, no butter)</td>
<td>100 Calorie Pack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***End Example***
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin Hour</th>
<th>End Hour</th>
<th>Activity Factor</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Food/Drink Description</th>
<th>Food/Drink Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX III: NutriTiming™ Example

### Energy Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Energy Intake</th>
<th>Energy Expenditure</th>
<th>Energy Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 am</td>
<td>154 Kcal</td>
<td>150 Kcal</td>
<td>4 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 am</td>
<td>140 Kcal</td>
<td>120 Kcal</td>
<td>20 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 am</td>
<td>130 Kcal</td>
<td>130 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 am</td>
<td>120 Kcal</td>
<td>120 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 am</td>
<td>110 Kcal</td>
<td>110 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 am</td>
<td>100 Kcal</td>
<td>100 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 am</td>
<td>90 Kcal</td>
<td>90 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 am</td>
<td>80 Kcal</td>
<td>80 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 am</td>
<td>70 Kcal</td>
<td>70 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 am</td>
<td>60 Kcal</td>
<td>60 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 am</td>
<td>50 Kcal</td>
<td>50 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 am</td>
<td>40 Kcal</td>
<td>40 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 am</td>
<td>30 Kcal</td>
<td>30 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 pm</td>
<td>20 Kcal</td>
<td>20 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 pm</td>
<td>10 Kcal</td>
<td>10 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 pm</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
<td>0 Kcal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Macro Nutrients

#### Carbohydrate
- Amount: 320.9 g
- % of Rec: 55%

#### Protein
- Amount: 100.8 g
- % of Rec: 20%

#### Fat
- Amount: 70.5 g
- % of Rec: 15%

### Nutrients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Amount (g)</th>
<th>% of Rec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calories In (Kcal)</td>
<td>2226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Out (Kcal)</td>
<td>2607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Protein (Kcal)</td>
<td>482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Protein (%)</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Fat (Kcal)</td>
<td>542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Fat (%)</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Carb (Kcal)</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Carb (%)</td>
<td>55.49</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Sugar (Kcal)</td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories Sugar (%)</td>
<td>28.21</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>120.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/kg)</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>60.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/kg)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated Fat (g)</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated Fat (%)</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated Fat (g)</td>
<td>16.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated Fat (%)</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysaturated Fat (g)</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nutrients (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Amount (g)</th>
<th>% of Rec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta Carotene (µg)</td>
<td>8329.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (IU)</td>
<td>157.69</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (mg)</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin K (µg)</td>
<td>200.08</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B1 (mg)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B2 (mg)</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B3 (mg)</td>
<td>53.09</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B6 (mg)</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12 (µg)</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg)</td>
<td>170.85</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline Total (mg)</td>
<td>229.54</td>
<td>425.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic Acid (µg)</td>
<td>437.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate (µg)</td>
<td>1008.84</td>
<td>400.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate Total (µg)</td>
<td>705.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate Food (µg)</td>
<td>268.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate Food (µg)</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantothenic Acid (mg)</td>
<td>1240.04</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg)</td>
<td>194.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (µg)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg)</td>
<td>35.01</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg)</td>
<td>491.37</td>
<td>310.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (mg)</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg)</td>
<td>1891.48</td>
<td>700.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Client: ATsubject17**

**Age: 22 yrs.**

**Height: 5 feet, 5 in.**

**Weight: 137.0 pounds**

**Gender: Female**

**Average of 3 Days**