#### Georgia State University

### ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

#### **USI** Publications

**Urban Studies Institute** 

2018

# The Geography of Vacant Housing and Neighborhood Health Disparities After the U.S. Foreclosure Crisis

Daniel Immergluck Georgia State University, dimmergluck@gsu.edu

Kyungsoon Wang

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/urban\_studies\_institute

Part of the Urban Studies and Planning Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Wang, Kyungsoon, and Dan Immergluck. "The geography of vacant housing and neighborhood health disparities after the US foreclosure crisis." Cityscape 20, no. 2 (2018): 145-170.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Urban Studies Institute at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in USI Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

# The Geography of Vacant Housing and Neighborhood Health Disparities After the U.S. Foreclosure Crisis

**Kyungsoon Wang** Georgia Institute of Technology

#### Dan Immergluck

Georgia State University

### Abstract

- Objectives: We examined the impact of long-term (6 months or more) vacant housing and various durations of vacancy on a variety of health outcomes at the neighborhood level across three types of U.S. metropolitan areas (metros): (1) those that have experienced consistently strong growth, (2) those that have undergone weak growth, and (3) those hit hardest by the foreclosure crisis.
- Methods: We used hierarchical linear modeling with long-term vacant housing data derived from the U.S. Postal Service as well as data for health outcomes obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to examine the health effects of residents who resided in 19,243 neighborhoods (census tracts) in the 50 largest metropolitan areas during the housing recovery.
- Results: Neighborhood long-term vacancy is significantly associated with neighborhood health problems in adults, but the association between vacant housing and neighborhood health outcomes varies based on the growth trajectory of the metropolitan area. For most health outcome measures, long-term vacancies are more strongly associated with poor outcomes in strong-growth and hard-hit metros than in weak-growth metros, but the reverse is true for asthma and mental health. Our findings also suggest that very long-term (more than 3 years) vacant housing increased significantly after the housing crisis and was significantly associated with health problems in all three types of metros.
- Conclusions: The differences in the relationship between neighborhood-level longterm housing vacancy and health outcomes across the three types of metros should be considered when addressing community development strategies for decreasing vacancy rates aimed at improving health outcomes.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1444745.

# Introduction

The Great Recession, the most severe housing market crisis in the United States since the Great Depression, saw mortgage foreclosure of more than 5.5 million homes by the end of 2014 (Carlyle, 2015). In areas with high foreclosure rates, the resulting accumulation of vacant properties generated negative effects on neighborhoods, including decreased property values and increased crime rates (Apgar and Duda, 2005; Immergluck and Smith, 2006; Mallach, 2008; Raleigh and Galster, 2014; Schuetz, Been, and Ellen, 2008). High levels of neighborhood housing vacancies—especially lasting more than several months—have long been a concern to community developers and policymakers (Hollander, 2011; Sternlieb and Indik, 1969).

Although a number of researchers have examined the health effects of foreclosures, few have studied the relationship between vacant housing and health outcomes at the neighborhood (census tract) level within the context of metropolitan areas (metros). Studies of vacant housing and health outcomes are limited to case studies in particular cities or sets of cities (Cohen et al., 2003; Cohen et al., 2000). A need exists to study connections between vacant housing and health that allow for some generalization, yet recognize that these relationships may vary across different types of metros and housing markets. The effects of high levels of neighborhood vacancy on health outcomes may differ across types of metros. For example, vacancies created by new construction may be common in "strong-growth" metros, those induced by population loss may be common in "weak-growth" metros, and those resulting from foreclosure or eviction are prevalent in boom-bust "hard hit" metros affected by the foreclosure crisis of the late 2000s.

This study examines the trajectory of long-term vacant housing from 2011 to 2014<sup>1</sup> and investigates the association between long-term (6 months or more) vacant housing and neighborhood health outcomes in 2014 across three types of U.S. metros. Our definition of long-term vacancy follows Immergluck (2016), who defines it as a property vacant for 6 months or longer and so avoids most transitional vacancies for rent or sale. In this study, we sought to answer two research questions: First, is long-term vacant housing associated with neighborhood health outcomes, and if so does this association vary across different types of metropolitan areas? Second, does the length of vacancy, ranging from relatively shorter duration (6 to 12 months) to very long duration (3 years or longer) matter? That is, do vacancies of different lengths have different effects on health outcomes at the neighborhood level? An examination of the determinants of health outcomes in deteriorated physical environments such as those with boarded-up housing across different cities may help policymakers and planners design effective tools for improving neighborhood health outcomes and decreasing the health inequality associated with vacant housing.

# Background

After the foreclosure crisis, the U.S. housing market exhibited disparities in market recovery and neighborhood health outcomes. The national housing market recovery, examined by trajectories of national vacancy rates and housing values, exhibited geographic disparities, with some metros

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We examined the trajectory of long-term vacancy after 2011 because the discontinuity in the data source was in 2011. We also selected 2014, when both vacancy and health data were publicly available across the United States, which enabled us to construct a cross-sectional design for regression analyses. Details about the data will be discussed in the data section.

recovering relatively slowly or worsening whereas others recovered quickly and improved (Immergluck, 2016; Wang, 2016). Moreover, low-income and minority groups tended to experience more stress from debt and foreclosure, which worsened health disparities (Houle, 2014; Libman, Fields, and Saegert, 2012; Saegert, Fields, and Libman, 2011).

Uneven housing recovery and health disparities can be explained partly by a process of cumulative causation. Myrdal (1957) asserted that the process of cumulative causation with capital and labor flowing from lagging regions into developed regions tends to generate unbalanced regional growth and disparities. Likewise, the rise in the number of foreclosures led to a great number of vacant properties, which depressed the construction industry and businesses dependent on local consumer spending. As a result of high concentrations of vacant homes in neighborhoods, residents may move to other neighborhoods in pursuit of higher-quality services, schools, infrastructure, and jobs. At the same time, amenities and tax bases can deteriorate, leading to further disinvestment in these areas. This vicious cycle of special polarization can lead to greater health inequalities. Given the possibilities of cumulative pressures toward distress, external intervention may be critical to addressing housing and health disparities in some cities.

Neighborhood physical and socioeconomic conditions might lead to negative health outcomes by influencing health behaviors in various ways. For example, although the availability of affordable housing and convenient transportation may improve neighborhood health outcomes, physically deteriorated neighborhoods with substandard housing may erode residential health and well-being (Cohen et al., 2003; Cohen et al., 2000; Krieger and Higgins, 2002; Miles, Coutts, and Mohamadi, 2011; Ross and Mirowsky, 2010). Substandard housing including dampness and mold, deteriorating insulation, lead paint, the presence of rodents, and toxic chemicals can increase the incidence of allergies, headaches, vomiting, asthma, and other respiratory diseases; lung cancer; and mental health problems (Dales et al., 1991; Jacobs et al., 2002; Peat, Dickerson, and Li, 1998; Phipatanakul et al., 2000). In addition, neighborhood socioeconomic conditions are also associated with health outcomes. In general, residents with lower incomes, lower levels of education, and fewer economic opportunities are more likely to live in substandard homes and deteriorated neighborhoods, which result in multiple health problems that contribute to cumulative health disparities (Houle, 2014; Rugh, Albright, and Massey, 2015; Libman, Fields, and Saegert, 2012; Saegert, Fields, and Libman, 2011).

As many foreclosed homes became vacant in the late 2000s, even in areas that had not previously experienced vacancy problems, and because studies focusing on the relationship between vacant housing and health are scarce, we reviewed studies that explored the relationship between foreclosures and health. The studies provide evidence that a rise in the number of foreclosures has negative effects on residents and neighborhood conditions, including effects on home values, social capital, neighborhood stability, and crime rates (Ellen, Lacoe, and Sharygin, 2013; Immergluck and Smith, 2006; Li and Morrow-Jones, 2010; Ross and Squires, 2011; Schuetz, Been, and Ellen, 2008). Consequently, residents who experienced defaults and foreclosures during the Great Recession also experienced serious physical and mental health degradation (Cannuscio et al., 2012; Libman, Fields, and Saegert, 2012; Pollack and Lynch, 2009), and living in neighborhoods with high levels of such properties is associated with weight gain, hospital visits, and mental health problems such as depression and suicide (Arcaya et al., 2013; Currie and Tekin, 2015; Houle and Light, 2014).

The focus of this study is to examine the relationship between long-term vacant housing and neighborhood health outcomes. Similar to foreclosures, vacant properties are associated with decreases in home values and increases in crime, and the longer a home remains vacant in a neighborhood, the stronger are such effects (Cui and Walsh, 2015; Han, 2014). Although two studies found negative effects of vacant housing on health outcomes (Cohen et al., 2003; Cohen et al., 2000), none have revealed the effects of longer durations of vacancy on health outcomes, nor have any examined the relationship between vacant housing and neighborhood health problems across the United States following the Great Recession.

Based on the literature concerning vacant and foreclosed homes, we hypothesize that the association between long-term vacant housing and health outcomes will be amplified in neighborhoods with longer durations of vacant housing. During the mortgage crisis, the accumulation of foreclosed properties varied across different types of metros: traditionally weak markets had persistently higher levels of foreclosed properties, and boom-bust markets with initially lower levels of foreclosed homes experienced large declines in home values and large increases in foreclosed properties (Immergluck, 2010). Thus, we hypothesize that, in the aftermath of the foreclosure crisis, a change of vacancy that largely stemmed from foreclosures may affect health outcomes differently across different types of metros.

# **Data and Methods**

We used long-term vacant housing data collected by the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) and aggregated quarterly to the census tract level by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which provide information on residential vacancies with durations from 3 to 36 months or longer (HUD, 2016).<sup>2</sup> Health data were obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the 500 largest U.S. cities. The data consisted of 2014 estimates on health outcomes among adults at the census tract level: overall mental health, overall physical health, cancer, coronary heart disease (CHD), diabetes, asthma, arthritis, high blood pressure, stroke, high cholesterol, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, and missing all teeth. CDC released its 2014 health indicator data for the 500 largest cities, containing about 28,000 census tracts, in December 2016 through the CDC Chronic Data Portal. The primary data source was the CDC 2014 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, which surveyed adults older than 18 years (CDC, 2017). We pooled the health estimations only for the year 2014.<sup>3</sup> The CDC 500-city health indicator datasets and HUD-USPS periodic vacancy datasets enabled us to carry out comparative analyses across the United States. To examine associations between long-term

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The USPS identifies a vacant address as one to which mail has not been delivered for more than 3 months (GAO, 2011). HUD-USPS data, so named throughout the article, provide counts of "no stat" addresses that are viewed as long-term vacancies but not classified as vacant because they are not habitable. As HUD staff recommended, we excluded no-stat addresses because they could generate significant measurement error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The dataset includes 2013 and 2014 model-based small area estimates for 27 measures that are categorized as 5 unhealthy behaviors, 13 health outcomes, and 9 prevention practices. Data sources used in measurements of adults older than 18 include the Behavioral Risk Surveillance System data, U.S. Census Bureau 2010 data, and the American Community Survey (ACS) 2009–2013 and 2010–2014 5-year data (CDC, 2017).

vacant housing and neighborhood health outcomes in regression analyses, we used the data from the CDC and the HUD-USPS for the year 2014, when both vacancy and health data were available across the United States.

Exhibit 1 illustrates long-term vacancy rates in the second quarter of 2014 for the 50 largest metros and the geographical locations of the CDC 500-city boundaries. We merged the two datasets at the tract level: 2014 HUD-USPS vacancy data for the 50 largest U.S. metros and 2014 CDC health data for 500 cities. As a result, we were able to construct a dataset consisting of 19,243 tracts in 295 U.S. cities in the 50 largest metropolitan areas. Tracts for 205 U.S. cities were excluded from the dataset because they were not in the 50 largest metropolitan areas.

#### Exhibit 1

The Long-Term Vacancy Rate, 500-City Boundaries, and Three Types of MSAs in the 50 Largest MSAs



CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HUD-USPS = data from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the U.S. Postal Service. MSA = metropolitan statistical area. Note: As of the second quarter of 2014.

### A Simple Typology of the 50 Largest Metropolitan Areas

To measure the relationship between vacant homes and health outcomes across the different types of metros, we constructed a simple typology of large metropolitan areas. We used cluster analysis to categorize the three types of metros representing metropolitan growth and economic development during the recent housing crisis. The four clustering variables included changes in population, Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and home values from 2005 to 2014. The fourth variable was changes in the population for the short term, from 2011 to 2014, to assign more weight to population growth because shrinking cities are generally defined as those experiencing population decline over a relatively short term.<sup>4</sup> Using this approach, we classified the 50 largest metros into "strong-growth," "hard-hit," or "weak-growth" metros. Then we classified tracts according to the type of metro and found 7,552 tracts in strong-growth metros, 4,017 tracts in hard-hit metros, and 7,405 tracts in weak-growth metros.

Exhibit 1 presents three types of metropolitan areas. Strong-growth metros exhibit high population growth (a mean of 21 percent), strong economic growth (a mean of 9.85 percent in GDP per capita), and moderate levels of home appreciation (a mean of 6.5 percent) from 2005 to 2014, and high short-term population growth (a mean of 6.5 percent) from 2011 to 2014. These metros had lower neighborhood long-term vacancy rates (about 2.3 percent) in the second quarter of 2014. They are in the West (including San Francisco, California; Seattle, Washington; and Portland, Oregon); in the South (including Houston, Austin, and Dallas, Texas); and in the East (including New York City; Columbus, Ohio; Nashville, Tennessee; and Raleigh, North Carolina). Hard-hit metros include metros with moderate growth in population (16 percent), a decline in GDP per capita (9 percent), and a large deflation of home values (18 percent) from 2005 to 2014, and a moderate short-term growth of population (4.6 percent) from 2011 to 2014. These metros were the hardest hit by the most recent economic shock and had higher vacancy rates (about 2.8 percent) in 2014. They include most California and Florida metros, including Riverside, Sacramento, and San Diego, California, and Miami and Jacksonville, Florida. These hard-hit metros experienced relatively high levels of foreclosures during the mortgage crisis. Weak-growth metros typically experienced low levels of long-term population growth (5.4 percent), modest growth in GDP per capita (2.1 percent), and moderate home value increases (10.3 percent) from 2005 to 2014. They either saw no short-term population growth or lost population. These metros had the highest vacancy rates (about 3.4 percent) in the second quarter of 2014. Weak-growth metros are generally traditional Rust Belt metros, including Baltimore, Maryland; Buffalo, New York; Chicago, Illinois; Cleveland, Ohio; Detroit, Michigan; St. Louis, Missouri; and Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

### Long-Term Vacancy in 295 Cities Within the 50 Metropolitan Areas

We constructed variables measuring the number of long-term vacant residential units by various durations of vacancy, including 6 months to 1 year, 1 to 2 years, 2 to 3 years, and 3 years or more, and by year for 295 U.S. cities within the 50 largest metropolitan areas. We break down vacant units further into the three types of metros in appendix A.

Exhibit 2 illustrates the long-term vacant housing trajectories in 295 cities in the three types of metros after the foreclosure crisis. The top panel of exhibit 2 shows that cities experienced gradual decreases in long-term vacancy rates (0.6 percentage point in strong-growth, 1.2 in hard-hit, and 0.6 in weak-growth metros) from 2011 to 2014. The bottom panel of exhibit 2 shows that,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Data for the cluster analysis were obtained from ACS 2005 (1-year estimates), ACS 2011 (1-year estimates), ACS 2014 (1-year estimates), ACS 2010–2014 (5-year estimates), and the Bureau of Economic Analysis. The clusters are distinctive groups that show that the value of the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation is more than 0.5 and that analysis of variance results for the three clusters have significantly different means among the four clustering variables (Norusis, 2012).

# Changes in Vacancy From the Second Quarter of 2011 to the Second Quarter of 2014 in 295 U.S. Cities



Note: Denominators of both long-term (6 months or more) and very long-term (more than 3 years) are all residential addresses in each tract in the second quarter of each year.

although the number of housing units vacant for less than 3 years gradually declined from 2011 to 2014, vacancies of more than 3 years markedly increased in all three types of metros. The number of properties vacant for longer than 3 years increased by 94.3 percent (61,706 to 119,908 units) in hard-hit metros, by 66.1 percent (180,888 to 300,535 units) in weak-growth metros, and by 64.9 percent (106,877 to 176,197 units) in strong-growth metros. Cities in hard-hit metros exhibited a greater reduction in vacancies over 6 months but did not have higher rates during the 2011-to-2014 period. Cities in weak-growth metros showed the small reductions in vacancies during the housing recovery period, but they consistently had the highest vacancy rates and larger increases in vacancies lasting more than 3 years.

### **Multivariate Analysis**

We examined the association of long-term vacancies with neighborhood health outcomes in the three types of metropolitan areas using hierarchical linear modeling (HLM), which is commonly used to examine neighborhood characteristics and health outcomes (LeClere, Rogers, and Peters, 1998).

Although ordinary least squares regression assumes that all observations are not correlated, HLM allows for correlated observations when lower-level observations are clustered within higher-level groups. In this study, census tracts are used as proxies for neighborhoods clustered within metro areas.

We ran separate metro-tract HLM models in each of the three types of metropolitan areas. Our dependent variables included 13 health indicators. A key predictor variable in each model is the percentage of units that are long-term vacant (that is, those units vacant for 6 months or longer in 2014). This variable is the sum of long-term vacancies divided by the number of residential units in each census tract. Because of the positively skewed nature of long-term vacancy rates, we transformed these variables to logarithms. We also took the logarithm of the health indicators because this log-log form generated a good fit for these models. As all variables are in logarithmic form, the coefficients of the log-log models represent the elasticities of health indicators with respect to long-term vacancy (Wooldridge, 2009). That is, the coefficients represent the expected percentage change in the health outcome variable for each 1-percent increase in the vacancy rate.

We ran separate models using various durations of long-term vacancy in 2014, including 6 months to 1 year, 1 to 2 years, 2 to 3 years, and 3 years or more. Census tract-level neighborhood control variables, which were selected based on factors identified in previous research, include percent African-American, percent Hispanic, percent Asian, percent married households, median age, percent families below poverty, percent persons with less than a high school diploma, percent uninsured households, percent commuting more than 30 minutes, and Median Family Income (Cohen et al., 2003; Cohen et al., 2000; Houle, 2014; Pollack and Lynch, 2009; Ross and Mirowsky, 2001, 2010). Metropolitan-level control variables include changes in population and unemployment rates for the past decade (Cohen et al., 2003; Houle, 2014). To control for location affordability, we include HUD housing and transportation affordability indices of poverty-level households (HUD, 2017).

### **Results and Discussion**

Exhibit 3 provides descriptive statistics for the three types of metros. Generally, health problems in cities in weak-growth metros exhibit the highest means (the exceptions were cancer and chronic kidney disease), and the means of hard-hit and strong-growth metros were similar. In all three types of metros, the health problem that has the highest percentage of adults living in cities is high cholesterol, followed by high blood pressure and arthritis. Vacancy rates in the second quarter of 2014 were consistently high in cities in weak-growth metros (4.6 percent), followed by those in hard-hit metros (3.0 percent), and then those in strong-growth metros (2.1 percent) metros. On average, weak-growth metros, which often include cities with declining populations and older industries, contain a higher share of African-Americans than other metros. They also consist of the most disadvantaged populations with higher poverty rates and lower educational attainment, due in part to racial discrimination and segregation.

The results of regressing 13 adult health outcome variables (in logged form) on long-term vacancy rates (in logged form) and the control variables show that the results for the control variables are generally consistent with prior research across the three types of metros (see appendix B). Neighborhoods with lower-income households, more African-Americans, and less–educated, higher-poverty populations are disproportionately exposed to health problems.

#### Descriptive Statistics (1 of 2)

Veriable D		Strong	Growth	Hare	d Hit	Weak	Growth
variable D	escription	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Neighborhood-level de	pendent variable						
Health outcome (adults a	aged ≥18 years)ª						
MHLTH	% Mental health not good for days ≥14 days	11.909	3.400	12.530	3.445	13.484	3.893
PHLTH	% Physical health not	12.141	4.194	12.509	4.247	13.912	4.866
CANCER	% Cancer (excluding skin cancer)	5.133	1.566	5.692	2.248	5.367	1.654
CHD	% Coronary heart disease	5.281	1.759	5.758	2.294	6.085	2.095
DIABETES	% Diagnosed diabetes	10.307	3.846	10.092	3.678	11.744	4.728
CASTHMA	% Current asthma	9.357	1.840	9.227	1.535	10.296	2.404
ARTHRITIS	% Arthritis	20.860	5.181	21.892	6.084	23,838	7.087
BPHIGH	% High blood pressure	29 678	6 732	29 369	7 358	32 048	9 1 9 4
STROKE	% Stroke	2 821	1 185	2 940	1 275	3 421	1 700
TEETHLOST	% All teeth lost	15 074	7 835	13 944	7 144	17 645	9.511
HIGHCHOI	% High cholesterol	35 804	1 120	36 324	5 270	36 /05	1 508
	% Chronic obstructive	5 734	2 151	6 224	2 2 1 2	6 767	2 833
	pulmonary disease	5.754	2.151	0.224	2.242	0.707	2.000
KIDNEY	% Chronic kidney	2.556	0.697	2.945	0.907	2.853	0.889
	disease						
Neighborhood-level ind	lependent variables						
Demographic characteria	stics <sup>b</sup>						
BLACK	% Black	17.410	23.063	15.483	22.521	27.909	33.571
ASIAN	% Asian	11.160	14.962	6.012	7.845	7.143	10.299
HISPANIC	% Hispanic	26.752	23.738	28.736	24.831	22.885	26.625
MARRIED	% Married households	42.223	16.719	42.975	17.007	36.936	17.727
AGE	Median age	35.911	6.236	37.013	8.563	35.759	6.675
Socioeconomic characte	eristics <sup>b</sup>						
POVERTY	% Families below poverty level	14.429	12.599	14.472	12.777	18.206	15.034
INCOME	Median family income (\$10,000)	7.417	4.145	6.968	3.719	6.384	3.739
LOW_EDU	% Less than high school education	16.912	13.524	15.092	12.764	18.387	13.914
UNINSURED	% Uninsured households	15.405	10.040	15.866	9.609	14.722	8.884
COMMUTE	% Workers commuting > 30 minutes	47.133	19.041	37.567	12.282	41.014	15.001
Vacant housing <sup>c</sup>							
VACANCY_6MPLUS_14	<ul> <li>% Vacancy (6 months</li> <li>+) in 2Q 2014</li> </ul>	2.126	3.400	2.965	3.591	4.571	6.620
VACANCY_6M_1Y	% Vacancy (6 months–1 year) in 2Q 2014	0.216	0.653	0.351	0.634	0.421	0.903
VACANCY_1Y_2Y	% Vacancy (1–2 years) in 2Q 2014	0.335	0.584	0.634	1.006	0.653	1.214
VACANCY_2Y_3Y	% Vacancy (2–3 years) in 2Q 2014	0.263	0.567	0.500	1.160	0.626	1.151
VACANCY_3YPLUS	% Vacancy (3 years +) in 2Q 2014	1.327	2.619	1.488	2.157	2.882	4.716

Descriptive Statistics (2 of 2)

· ·	\ <i>\</i>						
Variable	Description	Strong	Growth	Har	d Hit	Weak	Growth
variable	Description	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Metropolitan-level ind Macro characteristics <sup>d</sup>	lependent variables						
POP_CH	% Change in population (2005– 2014)	13.954	5.936	12.635	2.644	3.615	3.329
UNEMP_CH	Change in unemployment rate (2005–2014)	22.616	9.479	40.447	10.100	30.071	14.177
HCOST	Housing costs as a percentage of incom (type2-poverty level)	115.380 e	20.821	105.773	13.052	106.728	15.859
TCOST	Transportation costs as a percentage of income (type2- poverty level)	50.425	5.137	55.262	4.082	51.193	4.351
N		7,552		4,017		7,405	

2Q = second quarter. SD = standard deviation.

Sources: <sup>a</sup> CDC (2014); <sup>b</sup> American Community Survey (ACS) 2011–2015; <sup>c</sup> 2014 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)-U.S. Postal Service vacancy data; <sup>d</sup> HUD Location Affordability Portal, ACS 2005–2009, ACS 2010–2014, Bureau of Economic Analysis

### Long-Term (6 Months or More) Vacant Housing and Neighborhood Health Outcomes

Exhibit 4 summarizes key results by reporting the coefficients for long-term vacancy. The significance and magnitude of long-term vacancy varies across the metro types. Long-term (6 months or more) vacancies are significantly and positively associated with 13 adult health problems in stronggrowth metros, 13 in hard-hit metros, and 12 in weak-growth metros. Heart-related diseases such as CHD and stroke were most prevalent in adults living in neighborhoods with high vacancy across three types of metros after the recent foreclosure crisis. Among health outcomes, CHD is the health problem most strongly associated with high vacancy rates in strong-growth and hard-hit metros. For example, every 1-percent increase in long-term vacancy rate was associated with 0.0318- and 0.0225-percent increases in the proportion of residents who had CHD in strong-growth and hardhit metros, respectively. In general, the magnitudes of the associations were high in strong-growth and hard-hit metros and lowest in weak-growth metros (the exceptions being mental health and asthma outcomes). For example, in our models, all else being equal, every 1-percent increase in the long-term vacancy rate was associated with 0.0136- and 0.0109-percent increases in the proportion of residents who had overall physical health problems in strong-growth and hard-hit metros, respectively, but only a 0.0082-percent increase in weak-growth metros. In addition, all else being equal, every 1-percent increase in the long-term vacancy rate was associated with 0.0171and 0.0163-percent increases in the proportion of residents who had cancer in strong-growth and hard-hit metros, respectively, but it had no significant association in weak-growth metros.

At first glance, these results may seem counterintuitive. We might have expected that high levels of long-term vacancies would have stronger effects on health outcomes in weak-growth metros.

Exh	ibit	4
-----	------	---

HLM for Long	g-Term Vacar	ncy (6 Mont	hs or Mo	re) and Hea	lth in 295	5 U.S. Cities	;
Dependent	Independent	Strong G	irowth	Hard	Hit	Weak G	rowth
Variable: log (% Health Outcome)	, Variables: log ° (% Long- Term Vacancy)	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value
% Mental health	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0034	2.330**	0.0050	3.310***	0.0053	2.410**
% Physical health	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0136	5.620***	0.0109	4.190***	0.0082	3.270***
% Cancer	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0171	4.120***	0.0163	3.920***	0.0039	1.060
% Coronary heart disease (CHD)	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0318	5.830***	0.0225	8.090***	0.0127	3.250***
% Diagnosed diabetes	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0197	5.610***	0.0147	3.890***	0.0110	4.930***
% Current asthma	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0027	2.610***	0.0027	3.580***	0.0031	3.020***
% Arthritis	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0160	4.930***	0.0141	3.400***	0.0088	5.270***
% High blood pressure	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0149	6.410***	0.0117	5.010***	0.0082	4.090***
% Stroke	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0284	5.740***	0.0213	8.440***	0.0138	4.140***
% All teeth lost	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0189	5.100***	0.0139	7.660***	0.0093	2.300**
% High cholesterol	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0107	5.280***	0.0074	3.860***	0.0059	5.900***
% Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0197	5.800***	0.0169	8.200***	0.0098	2.840***
% Chronic kidney disease	% Vacancy (6 months +), 2Q 2014	0.0163	5.720***	0.0127	7.500***	0.0078	3.630***

2Q = second quarter. HLM = hierarchical linear modeling.

\*\* p < 0.05. \*\*\* p < 0.01.

However, these metros already had higher levels of vacancy before the foreclosure crisis and have other regional stressors that may be more influential on health outcomes, such as higher unemployment rates. These results suggest that neighborhoods in metros with historically lower vacancy rates (strong-growth and hard-hit metros) may be more sensitive to vacancy shocks at the neighborhood level, at least in terms of most of the health outcome measured here.

Except for asthma and mental health, in weak-growth metros the association between neighborhoods with high levels of vacancy and health outcomes were weaker. Of course, asthma and mental health are not trivial health problems. Asthma, in particular, has been the most prevalent chronic disease in residents living in poor housing and deteriorated neighborhoods, currently affecting more than 24 million Americans (NCHS, 2015a, 2015b). In our models, all else being equal, every 1-percent increase in the long-term vacancy rate was associated with a 0.0031-percent increase in the proportion of residents who had asthma in weak-growth metros, but only 0.0027-percent increases in strong-growth and hard-hit metros. In addition, every 1-percent increase in the long-term vacancy rate was associated with a 0.0053-percent increase in the proportion of residents who had overall mental health problems in weak-growth metros, but 0.0034- and 0.0050-percent increases in strong-growth and hard-hit metros, respectively. One explanation for this finding is the possible cumulative factors affecting asthma and mental health that are present in weak-growth metros and that may interact with vacancies. These effects are generally small. Nonetheless it appears that longterm neighborhood vacancy in weak-growth metros is modestly associated with asthma and mental health problems.

Hard-hit metros in exhibit 4 also exhibited a significant association between long-term vacant housing and health outcomes. Vacancy is associated with health outcomes in a way that is somewhat similar to that in strong-growth metros, but the coefficients are smaller in magnitude. Two distinct economic variables are associated with neighborhood health outcomes—housing affordability and changes in the unemployment rate at the metropolitan level (see appendix B). Generally, increases in housing costs as a percentage of income at the metro level were negatively associated with neighborhood health problems, indicating that spending more on housing may improve housing conditions and create a healthier environment, particularly in hard-hit metros after the foreclosure crisis. However, extremely low-income households (that is, those below the poverty level) have little to spend on housing, which can have negative spillover effects on health outcomes. This finding provides further support for the notion that housing cost burdens can have negative spillover effects onto health outcomes. Another economic condition, rising unemployment at the metropolitan level, is associated with neighborhood health problems, particularly in hard-hit metros.

### Various Durations of Vacant Housing and Neighborhood Health Outcomes

Exhibit 5 provides estimation results for the relationship between various durations of long-term vacancy rates and health outcomes across the three types of metropolitan areas. Overall, our results show that very long-term vacant housing (more than 3 years) is significantly associated with health problems across all three types of metros. The coefficients of the very long-term vacancy rate are much larger than for any of the shorter durations, indicating that very long durations of vacancy have a particularly strong association with health problems. Generally, although health outcomes are associated with both shorter and longer durations of vacancy rates in strong-growth metros, health outcomes are also associated with very long durations of vacancy in weak-growth metros. In hard-hit metros, health problems more often occurred in the mid-duration of vacancy (from 1 to 2 years) and/or after very long durations. Because most vacancies in these metros were from recently foreclosed homes, foreclosure processes (that is, foreclosure notice, auction, and redemption or eviction) that lasted 1 to 2 years might have affected neighborhood health. Thus, when properties lie vacant for very long periods, they are strongly associated with health problems across all three types of metros.

HLM for Long-Term Vacant Housing in Various Duration and Health in Three Types of Top 50 Metropolitan Areas (1 of 4)

Dependent	Independent	Strong G	arowth	Hard	Hit	Weak G	rowth
Variable: log (% Health Outcome)	Variables: log (% Long- Term Vacancy)	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value
% Mental	% Vacancy	0.0022	2.220**	0.0009	0.720	0.0023	2.190**
health	(1/2–1 yr.),						
	2Q 2014	0.0014	1 170	0.0024	0 000***	0.0010	1 000
	% vacancy	0.0014	1.170	0.0034	2.990	0.0012	1.200
	(1-2 yrs.), 20 2014						
	% Vacancy	0.0026	2.280**	0.0015	1.130	0.0006	0.500
	(2–3 yrs.),						
	2Q 2014						. =
	% Vacancy (>	- 0.0002	- 0.120	0.0012	0.740	0.0031	1.720*
	3 yrs.), 2Q 2014						
% Physical	% Vacancy	0.0049	2.630***	0.0020	1.550	0.0026	1.850*
health	(1/2–1 yr.),						
	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy	0.0025	1.750*	0.0054	3.320***	0.0018	1.700*
	(1-2  yrs.), 20 2014						
	% Vacancy	0.0049	2.840***	0.0016	0.900	0.0014	0.990
	(2–3 yrs.),						
	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy (>	0.0076	3.400***	0.0058	1.730*	0.0067	3.420***
	3 yrs.), 2Q						
% Cancer	% Vacancy	0.0027	1.620	0.0043	2.110**	0.0023	1.430
	(1/2–1 yr.),						
	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy	0.0002	0.090	0.0059	1.850*	0.0016	0.670
	(1–2 yrs.),						
	2Q 2014 % Vacancy	0.0024	1.720*	0.0042	1.010	0.0012	0.540
	(2–3 yrs.),	01002 -		010012		0.00.1	
	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy (>	0.0016	1.430	0.0076	1.380	0.0029	1.590
	3 yrs.), 2Q						
% Coronary	2014 % Vacancy	0 0092	2 520**	0 0043	1 890*	0 0029	1 570
heart disease	(1/2–1 yr.),	0.0002	2.020	0.0040	1.000	0.0020	1.070
(CHD)	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy	0.0051	2.580***	0.0082	2.370**	0.0023	1.100
	(1–2 yrs.),						
	2Q 2014	0.0110	0 720***	0.0041	0 000	0.0025	0.070
	$(2-3 \text{ vrs}_{-3})$	0.0110	2.750	0.0041	0.990	0.0025	0.970
	2Q 2014						
	% Vacancy (>	0.0181	3.710***	0.0099	1.310	0.0102	3.670***
	3 yrs.), 2Q						
	2014						

HLM for Long-Term Vacant Housing in Various Duration and Health in Three Types of Top 50 Metropolitan Areas (2 of 4)

Dependent	Independent	Strong G	irowth	Hard	Hit	Weak G	rowth
Variable: log (% Health Outcome)	Variables: log (% Long- Term Vacancy)	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value
% Diagnosed diabetes	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.), 20 2014	0.0056	2.300**	0.0033	1.950*	0.0023	1.890*
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0019	0.920	0.0043	1.530	0.0001	0.040
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.),	0.0081	2.520**	0.0016	0.630	0.0022	1.170
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q	0.0132	3.740***	0.0099	2.050**	0.0094	5.370***
% Current asthma	2014 % Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.),	0.0018	2.320**	0.0003	0.410	0.0012	1.900*
	2Q 2014 % Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0007	0.690	0.0011	1.400	0.0009	1.450
	2Q 2014 % Vacancy (2–3 yrs.),	0.0008	0.910	0.0006	0.820	0.0004	0.610
	2Q 2014 % Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q	0.0011	0.960	0.0017	2.100**	0.0015	1.820*
% Arthritis	2014 % Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.),	0.0074	2.670***	0.0031	1.900*	0.0027	2.110**
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0038	2.120**	0.0061	2.240**	0.0034	2.580***
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.),	0.0061	2.120**	0.0036	1.090	0.0017	0.910
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q	0.0078	2.190**	0.0070	1.290	0.0043	2.840***
% High blood pressure	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.),	0.0036	1.730*	0.0021	1.470	0.0017	1.740*
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0020	1.370	0.0038	1.550	0.0015	1.210
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.),	0.0049	2.720***	0.0005	0.250	0.0018	1.050
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0104	4.480***	0.0078	2.130**	0.0059	3.460***

HLM for Long-Term Vacant Housing in Various Duration and Health in Three Types of Top 50 Metropolitan Areas (3 of 4)

Dependent	Independent	Strong G	irowth	Hard	Hit	Weak G	rowth
Variable: log (% Health Outcome)	Variables: log (% Long- Term Vacancy)	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value
% Stroke	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.), 20 2014	0.0086	2.730***	0.0042	1.840*	0.0026	1.370
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0045	2.070**	0.0058	1.910*	0.0024	1.410
	2Q 2014 % Vacancy (2-3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0091	2.620***	0.0031	1.010	0.0017	0.780
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0171	3.860***	0.0148	3.420***	0.0111	4.210***
% All teeth lost	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.),	0.0051	3.000***	0.0038	2.470**	0.0028	1.470
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.),	0.0028	1.720*	0.0055	2.690***	0.0009	0.460
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.),	0.0059	2.390**	0.0019	0.930	0.0007	0.360
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q	0.0099	3.330***	0.0064	2.960***	0.0079	2.340**
% High cholesterol	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.), 20 2014	0.0028	1.870*	0.0013	1.450	0.0009	1.180
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.), 20 2014	0.0023	2.350**	0.0018	1.140	0.0008	0.960
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.), 20 2014	0.0043	2.480**	0.0019	0.950	0.0004	0.320
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0056	2.560**	0.0046	1.600	0.0046	5.170***
% Chronic obstructive pulmonary	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.), 2Q 2014	0.0077	2.700***	0.0034	1.860*	0.0044	2.480**
disease	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.), 20 2014	0.0050	3.250***	0.0088	3.790***	0.0037	1.990**
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.), 20 2014	0.0072	2.820***	0.0033	1.070	0.0018	0.890
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0093	2.880***	0.0068	1.490	0.0060	2.320**

HLM for Long-Term Vacant Housing in Various Duration and Health in Three Types of Top 50 Metropolitan Areas (4 of 4)

Dependent	Independent	Strong G	arowth	Hard	Hit	Weak G	rowth
Variable: log (% Health Outcome)	Variables: log (% Long- Term Vacancy)	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value	Estimated Coefficient	t-Value
% Chronic kidney disease	% Vacancy (1/2–1 yr.), 20 2014	0.0056	2.740***	0.0026	1.860*	0.0014	1.320
	% Vacancy (1–2 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0015	1.250	0.0032	1.550	0.0005	0.440
	% Vacancy (2–3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0062	2.970***	0.0015	0.750	0.0013	0.850
	% Vacancy (> 3 yrs.), 2Q 2014	0.0097	3.890***	0.0087	2.640***	0.0070	4.120***

2Q = second quarter. HLM = hierarchical linear modeling.

\* p < 0.1. \*\* p < 0.05. \*\*\* p < 0.01.

# Limitations

Despite its contribution of providing evidence of an association between long-term vacancies and public health across housing markets, this study contains limitations that call for additional research. Because it relies on a cross-sectional design, we cannot conclude that long-term housing vacancy causes these health outcomes. Our study simply indicates an association between long-term vacancy and certain health conditions, controlling for important neighborhood and metropolitan characteristics. Further research should utilize expanded longitudinal data and causal inference methods.

A second limitation of this study is the use of census tracts as proxies for neighborhoods, which might generate biased results because smaller units of neighborhoods, such as block groups, provide more socioeconomically homogeneous data (McKenzie, 2013; Shuler et al., 1992). However, we used census tracts with about 4,000 residents because they are the smallest units in our datasets and because scholars generally agree that census tracts reflect reliable socioeconomic and housing data that are publicly available (Sawichi and Flynn, 1996).

Another limitation of this study is the possibility of omitted variable bias. Although our independent variables are generally guided by the existing literature, our access to data is limited. For example, we lack details on changes in the quality of the housing stock, which may have deteriorated more in strong-growth metros than in weak-growth metros. It may be that vacancy is not the proximate driver of the relationships found here, but rather something associated with vacancy that is not accounted for by the various control variables.

# **Conclusion and Implications**

In this study, we examined how living in areas with high levels of long-term vacant housing is associated with neighborhoods' health outcomes during the housing market recovery period across metropolitan areas (metros), and how it has disproportionately impacted some metros. For our first research question, our findings suggest that city neighborhoods with high long-term vacancy rates are significantly associated with adult health problems across the cities, but the relationship varies according to the growth trajectories of the metropolitan areas. Although neighborhoods in strong-growth and hard-hit metros are strongly associated with more health problems, those in weak-growth metros have a weaker association with health outcomes, except for mental health and asthma. A change in the vacancy rate in neighborhoods with initially lower levels of vacancies in strong and hard-hit metros may have experienced more shock and stress resulting in more health problems; however, neighborhoods with historically higher vacancy rates in weak-growth metros may have cumulative factors that contribute to asthma and mental health issues that interact with vacancy.

Our findings with regard to the second question suggest that very long-term (more than 3 years) vacant housing is more strongly associated with health problems across all types of metros. Although long-term (6 months or more) vacancy in strong-growth metros is associated with health problems, only the very long-term vacancy is associated with a broad set of health problems in weak-growth regions.

These findings suggest several implications for planners and policymakers attempting to cope with highly concentrated vacant properties in neighborhoods. Generally, cities in weak-growth metros had the highest levels of neighborhood vacancy from 2011 through 2014, but long-term vacancy in these cities, compared with that in cities in strong-growth and hard-hit neighborhoods, had a weaker association with most health problems. (Again, the important exceptions were asthma and mental health.) This finding indicates that historically high levels of vacancies in neighborhoods may be less-significant determinants of poor health and that health outcomes in these metros may be more strongly associated with other regional or neighborhood factors, such as regional economic conditions, neighborhood environmental conditions, housing quality, and other latent variables that may be more salient drivers of health outcomes in weak-growth metros.

At the same time, when looking only at very long-term vacant units, these properties were strongly associated with negative health outcomes in cities in all three types of metros. Moreover, the relationships between these very long-term vacancies and health outcomes were much stronger than for vacancies between 6 and 36 months.

From a public health perspective, these findings suggest that, in weak-growth metros, efforts to reduce vacant properties should focus on those units that have been vacant for more than 3 years. However, in strong-growth and hard-hit metros, it is with good reason that one can expect significant public health benefits from addressing vacancies of between 6 and 36 months. Although other reasons to reduce vacant units of shorter durations may be valid in cities in weak- growth metros, the health effects are not likely to be significant, except on asthma and mental health outcomes.

The second key implication for policy and planning is that very long-term vacancies have the greatest negative impacts on health outcomes across all types of metros, so, from a public health perspective, addressing these sorts of vacancies should be prioritized. Shorter-duration vacant housing may be more easily purchased and reused by investors or homeowners, whereas very long-term vacancy is a more challenging issue. However, health-focused efforts should generally aim first to reduce the number of very long-term vacancies. When the property may not be salvageable, this focus may entail targeted demolition. At the federal level, HUD and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services should consider efforts to address these very long-term vacancies. Moreover, local and state health planners should consider community development strategies for decreasing long-term vacancy rates to improve health outcomes.

# **Appendix A**

#### Exhibit A-1

Vacant Housing Units and Vacancy Duration in 295 U.S. Cities in Three Types of Metropolitan Areas (1 of 2)

Metro- politan Area Type	Year	Residential Address	Residen- tial Vacancy	Vacancy < 3 Months	Vacancy 3–6 Months	Vacancy 6–12 Months	Vacancy 1–2 Years	Vacancy 2–3 Years	Vacancy 3 + Years
Strong	2Q, 2011	13,899,145	390,470 (2.81%)	22,311 (0.16%)	37,574 (0.27%)	54,284 (0.39%)	121,380 (0.87%)	48,044 (0.35%)	106,877 (0.77%)
9.011	2Q, 2012	14,634,556	357,218	28,817	26,011	30,791	63,462 (0.43%)	82,041 (0.56%)	126,096
	2Q, 2013	14,729,662	364,170 (2.47%)	15,296	23,057	47,117	56,969 (0.39%)	46,355	175,376
	2Q, 2014	14,873,955	327,538	18,126	18,927	29,901	48,637	35,750	176,197
	% change (2Q 2011– 2Q 2014)	7.0%	- 16.1%	- 18.8%	- 49.6%	- 44.9%	- 59.9%	- 25.6%	64.9%
Hard hit	2Q, 2011	7,804,613	356,760 (4.57%)	31,283 (0.40%)	45,512 (0.58%)	66,888 (0.86%)	112,063 (1.44%)	39,308 (0.50%)	61,706 (0.79%)
	2Q, 2012	8,248,904	318,794 (3.86%)	34,413 (0.42%)	31,547 (0.38%)	40,310 (0.49%)	68,178 (0.83%)	68,940 (0.84%)	75,406
	2Q, 2013	8,281,888	316,347 (3.82%)	18,876	30,722 (0.37%)	46,097	62,273 (0,75%)	45,430	112,949
	2Q, 2014	8,333,812	277,465 (3.33%)	14,900	24,797	28,042	51,074 (0.61%)	38,744 (0.46%)	(119,908 (144%)
	% change (2Q 2011– 2Q 2014)	6.8%	- 22.2%	- 52.4%	- 45.5%	- 58.1%	- 54.4%	- 1.4%	94.3%

#### Exhibit A-1

Vacant Housing Units and Vacancy Duration in 295 U.S. Cities in Three Types of Metropolitan Areas (2 of 2)

Metro- politan Area Type	Year	Residential Address	Residen- tial Vacancy	Vacancy < 3 Months	Vacancy 3–6 Months	Vacancy 6–12 Months	Vacancy 1–2 Years	Vacancy 2–3 Years	Vacancy 3 + Years
Weak	2Q, 2011	11,718,195	572,529	31,504	46,551	81,443	170,896	61,247	180,888
growth	2Q, 2012	12,343,906	(4.89%) 548,121 (4.44%)	(0.27%) 34,722 (0.28%)	(0.40%) 39,358 (0.32%)	(0.70%) 50,814 (0.41%)	(1.40%) 98,003 (0.79%)	(0.32%) 123,098 (1.00%)	(1.54%) 202,126 (1.64%)
	2Q, 2013	12,388,877	(4.51%)	18,582 (0.15%)	33,094 (0.27%)	59,543 (0.48%)	91,705 (0.74%)	73,716	281,501 (2.27%)
	2Q, 2014	12,453,654	531,138 (4.26%)	20,817	27,270 (0.22%)	45,375 (0.36%)	71,191	65,950 (0.53%)	300,535
	Percent change (2Q 2011– 2O 2014)	6.3%	- 7.2%	- 33.9%	- 41.4%	- 44.3%	- 58.3%	7.7%	66.1%

2Q = second quarter.

# Appendix B

The tables on the following pages present the results of regressing 13 adult health outcome variables on long-term vacancy rates in cities in the three types of large U.S. metropolitan areas—strong growth (exhibit B-1), hard hit (exhibit B-2), and weak growth (exhibit B-3).

Exhibit B-1													
HLM for Long-	Term V	acant H	ousing ar	nd Health	n in 295 C	tities in To	p 50 U.S.	Metropol	itan Areas	s, Strong	-Growth N	letropolita	an Areas
Variables MH	ГТН	энстн	CANCER	CHD	DIABETES	CASTHMA	ARTHRITIS	BPHIGH	STROKE	TEETH- LOST	ніснсног	сорр	KIDNEY
Fixed effects to the firm of	act 337*** 1 3397*** 1 3397*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 337*** 1 316*** 1 352** 1 352** 1 352** 1 352** 1 352** 1 352** 1 352** 1 355**	5159** 0.0027*** 0.0027*** 0.0055*** 0.0121**** 0.0121**** 0.02722*** 0.0027**** 0.0027**** 0.0027**** 0.0121**** 0.0027*** 0.0027***	2.7506** - 0.0009** - 0.0071*** - 0.0024*** 0.0340*** 0.0022*** - 0.0022*** - 0.0022*** - 0.0021*** 0.0171*** - 0.0217*** - 0.0217*** - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192 - 5,192	3.008** 0.002*** - 0.001** 0.0037*** 0.0037*** 0.0035*** 0.0035*** 0.0035*** 0.0035*** 0.00378*** 0.0378*** 0.0378*** 0.0378*** 0.0378*** 15 7,552 15	3.4456** 0.0081*** 0.0030*** 0.0036*** 0.0036*** 0.0015* 0.0015* 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 15 7,552 7,552 15 0.01008** 0.0223**	1.6414*** 0.0024*** 0.00020*** 0.00020*** 0.00026*** 0.00050*** 0.00050*** 0.00042*** 0.00125*** 0.00127** 0.00127** 0.00127** 0.00027** 0.00127** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027** 0.00026** 0.00027**	4.2534*** 0.00224*** - 0.0014*** 0.0038*** 0.00279*** 0.00279*** 0.00160*** 0.0160*** 0.0160*** 0.0160*** 0.01123** 0.01123** 0.01123** 0.01123** 15 7,552 7,552 7,552 7,552	3.9024*** 0.0052*** 0.00029*** 0.00021*** 0.0021*** 0.00141*** 0.00141*** 0.00149*** 0.00149*** 0.00129*** 0.0028** 0.00127** 0.01127** 0.01127** 0.01127** 0.01127** 0.01169** 7,552	2.0102* 0.0074*** -0.0065*** 0.0010*** 0.0315*** 0.0315*** 0.00112*** 0.0112*** 0.0284*** 0.0284*** 0.0284*** 0.0173** 0.0173** -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -4,213 -15	2.4066* 0.0053*** - 0.0032*** - 0.0032*** 0.0057*** 0.0056*** 0.0164*** 0.0169*** 0.0189*** 0.0189*** 0.0189*** 0.0189*** 0.0189*** 0.01191*** 0.0075 0.0189*** 0.0075 0.00181*** 0.0075 0.00181*** 0.0075 0.00183*** 1.552 7,552	5.0162*** 0.0005*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0163*** 0.0163** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0018*** 0.0005	1.8152* 0.0010**** -0.0088**** 0.0194*** 0.0194*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0197*** 0.0154** 0.0154** 7,552 7,552	0.8482 0.0014*** 0.0011*** 0.0019*** 0.00137*** 0.0059*** 0.0145** 0.0163*** 0.0146*** 0.0146*** 0.0146*** 0.0163*** 10,150 10,129 15 15
Alter location to the second	not in hour	sing that is	vacant for m	ore than 6 m	nonths. All de	mendent varial	bles are in na	tural loa form.					

164 The Housing-Health Connection

Exhibit B	<b>5</b>												
HLM for L	ong-Term	Vacant F	Housing a	and Healt	th in 295	Cities in T	op 50 U.S	S. Metrop	olitan Are	eas, Hard	I-Hit Metro	opolitan A	reas
Variables	МНГТН	РНГТН	CANCER	СНD	DIABETES	CASTHMA	ARTHRITIS	BPHIGH	STROKE	TEETH- LOST	нівнсног	сорр	KIDNEY
Fixed effect. Level 1: cen: (Intercept) BLACK	sus tract 2.5717*** 0.0016***	1.3496 0.0026***-	0.3193 - 0.0019**	0.3078 0.0012	0.8605 0.0076***	1.8702*** 0.0024***	2.0309* 0.0016**	2.0676*** - 0.0048***	- 0.9168 0.0066***	2.8613*** 0.0045***	3.2004*** 0.0002	1.2358 0.0009	0.3986 0.0038***
ASIAN HISPANIC	- 0.0031***	- 0.0046*** 0.0000	- 0.0079*** - 0.0031***	- 0.0090***	- 0.0023 0.0038***	- 0.0030*** - - 0.0017*** -	- 0.0065*** - - 0.0019***	- 0.0033*** 0.0000 -	- 0.0072*** - - 0.0012*** -	- 0.0040*** - 0.0010*	- 0.0021***- - 0.0001 -	- 0.0085*** - - 0.0047***	0.0047*** 0.0016***
AGE POVERTY	- 0.0069*** - 0.0069***	0.0105***	0.0291***	0.0328***	0.0248***	- 0.0027*** - 0.0027***	0.0240***	0.0205***	0.0278***	0.0005	0.0138***	0.0169*** 0.0070***	0.0213*** 0.0213***
	- 0.0199*** 0.0081***	- 0.0256*** 0.0111***	- 0.0058**	- 0.0191 *** 0.0094 ***	- 0.0164*** 0.0084***	- 0.0084***-	- 0.0132*** - 0.0050***	- 0.0029* - 0.0032***	- 0.0242*** - 0.0114***	- 0.0487*** 0.0167***	0.0002 -	- 0.0334*** - 0.0122***	0.0123***
UNINSURED	0.0033***	0.0029***.	- 0.0034***	- 0.0007	0.0007	0.0005** -	- 0.0003 -	- 0.0004	- 0.0007	0.0048***-	- 0.0001	0.0022*** -	0.0017**
	0.0050***	0.0109***	0.0163***	- 0.0003 0.0225***	0.0147***	0.0027***	0.0141***	0.0117***	- 0.0003 - 0.0213***	- 0.0009 0.0139***	0.0074***	0.0169***	u.uuuu 0.0127***
6MPLUS	onolitan ara	q											
POP_CH	- 0.0103 -	- 0.0143	- 0.0274	- 0.0311	- 0.0229	0:0030	- 0.0193 -	- 0.0298** -	- 0.0195	0.0170	- 0.0151 -	- 0.0122 -	0.0035
UNEMP_CH HCOST	0.0113*** - 0.0026 -	0.0174*** - 0.0063*  -	0.0171*** - 0.0055	0.0207**	0.0162*** - 0.0068*	0.0031 - 0.0003 -	0.0187*** - 0.0083** -	0.0134*** - 0.0063*** -	0.0163** - 0.0057 -	0.0082* - 0.0089** -	0.0098** - 0.0047* -	0.0224*** - 0.0095* -	0.0149*** 0.0094***
TCOST Bandom Effe	0.0008	0.0119	0.0125	0.0112	0.0073	0.0048	0.0076	0.0156*	0.0165 -	- 0.0004	0.000	0.0027	0.0018
Level 1	ects 0.0055***	0.0105***	0.0318***	0.0404***	0.0218***	0.0018***	0.0214***	0.0132***	0.0334***	0.0169***	0.0065***	0.0220***	0.0150***
Level 2	0.0043**	0.0206**	0.0128*	0.0423*	0.0170**	0.0047**	0.0197**	0.0039	0.0304**	0.0150**	0.0115**	0.0339**	0.0083**
intercept Model fit													
AIC	- 9,202	- 6,572	- 2,179	- 1,204	- 3,648	- 13,580	- 3,709	- 5,705	- 1,968	- 4,682	- 8,480	- 3,612	- 5,165
BIC Number of c	- 9,187 bservations	- 6,558	- 2,165	- 1,191	- 3,634	- 13,500	- 3,695	- 5,691	- 1,954	- 4,669	- 8,400	9999	- 5,151
Level 1: censiis tract	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017	4,017
Level 2:	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
metropoli- tan area													
AIC = Akaike in	formation crite	srion. BIC = $E$	3aysian inform	nation criterio	n. HLM = hier	archical linear	modeling.						
* p < 0.1. ** p •	< 0.05. *** p <	0.01.											
Notes: Long-te	irm vacant is h	nousing that is	s vacant for m	nore than 6 m	nonths. All dep	oendent variab	vles are in natu	ıral log form.					

The Geography of Vacant Housing and Neighborhood Health Disparities After the U.S. Foreclosure Crisis

Cityscape 165

Exhibit B	ကု												
HLM for L	ong-Term	Vacant H	lousing ar	nd Health	i in 295 C	ities in Tol	o 50 U.S.	Metropoli	tan Areas	, Weak-O	arowth M∈	tropolitar	n Areas
Variables	МНГТН	РНГТН	CANCER	CHD	DIABETES	CASTHMA	ARTHRITIS	BPHIGH	STROKE	TEETH- LOST	нісног	сорр	KIDNEY
Fixed effect Level 1: cen Intercept) BLACK ASIAN HISPANIC MARRIED AGE POVERTY INCOME LOW-EDU UNINSUREC COMMUTE VACANCY EMPLUS EMPLUS 6MPLUS 6MPLUS 6MPLUS 6MPLUS 6MPLUS 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	sus tract sus tract 2.4380*** 0.0012*** - 0.0033*** 0.00025*** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00053** 0.00057*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048*** 0.00048***	2.4662*** 0.0026*** 0.0017*** 0.0017*** 0.0017*** 0.0017*** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 0.00189** 2.22 22 erion. BIC = E	0.0210 0.0035*** 0.0035*** 0.0036*** 0.0036*** 0.0034*** 0.0013*** 0.0013*** 0.0013*** 0.0013 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0019 0.0026 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,405 7,405 7,405 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,707 7,707 7,405 7,707 7,70	0.3059 0.0016*** - 0.0063*** 0.00297*** 0.00297*** 0.0103*** 0.0127*** 0.0127*** 0.0127*** 0.0127*** 0.0122*** 0.0122*** 0.0122*** 0.0122*** 0.0122*** 0.0122**	1.1275 0.0077*** 0.0012*** 0.0031*** 0.0028*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0110*** 0.0117** 0.0117** 0.0110*** 0.0117** 0.0117** 0.0117** 0.0110*** 0.0117** 0.0017**	2.2641*** 0.00224*** - 0.00224*** - 0.0017*** - 0.00224*** 0.000331*** 0.000331*** 0.00031 0.00031 0.00031 - 0.0035 0.00031 0.00031 - 24,215 7,405 7,405 7,405	1.2970 0.0022*** - 0.0017*** 0.0037*** 0.0035*** 0.0056*** 0.0056*** 0.0066*** 0.0056*** 0.0066*** 0.00219*** 0.00167*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.00167*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217*** 0.0217***	2.0924*** - 0.0019*** - 0.00181*** - 0.0036*** - 0.0036*** - 0.00357** - 0.00357** - 0.01177 - 0.01177 - 0.01110*** - 0.01110*** - 11,915 - 11,883 - 7,405 - 7,405 - 22	-0.0363 0.0067*** -0.0014*** 0.0016*** 0.0052*** 0.0054*** 0.0025** -0.0013** -0.013** 0.0138*** 0.0128*** 0.0025 -0.0049 0.0128*** 0.0285** 0.0285** 0.0120*** 7,405 7,405 22	1.8408* 0.0040*** - 0.0022*** - 0.00011** - 0.00022*** 0.0043*** 0.0161*** 0.0025 0.0041 - 0.0086* 0.0146*** 0.0146*** 0.0146*** 7,405 7,405	3.0563*** 0.0003*** 0.00017*** 0.00127*** 0.0023*** 0.0023*** 0.0023*** 0.0023*** 0.0023*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 0.00059*** 7,405 22	-0.2949 -0.0009*** -0.0078*** -0.00156*** 0.0156*** 0.0137*** 0.0137*** 0.0137*** 0.0137*** 0.0275 0.0275 0.0275 0.0275 0.0276*** 0.0275 0.0027 0.0027 0.00166*** 0.0027 0.0027 0.00275 0.00275 0.00275 0.00176*** 0.00176*** 0.00176***	-0.8639 0.0015** 0.0015** 0.0015** 0.0015** 0.0015** 0.00133** 0.00133** 0.00133** 0.00133** 0.00133** 0.00135** 0.01127** 0.0115** 0.0115** 0.0115** 0.0115**
Notes: Long-ti	erm vacant is .	housing that i,	s vacant for m	iore than 6 n	nonths. All de	oendent variat	oles are in nat	tural log form.					

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the editors and five anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

### Authors

Kyungsoon Wang is a researcher graduated from the School of City and Regional Planning at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

Dan Immergluck is a professor in the Urban Studies Institute at Georgia State University.

### References

Apgar, William C., and Mark Duda. 2005. *Collateral Damage: The Municipal Impact of Today's Mortgage Foreclosure Boom*. Minneapolis: Homeownership Preservation Foundation. http://www. communityprogress.net/filebin/pdf/nvpc\_trnsfr/Apgar\_Duda\_collateraldamage.pdf.

Arcaya, Mariana, M. Maria Glymour, Prabal Chakrabarti, Nicholas A. Christakis, Ichro Kawachi, and S.V. Subramanian. 2013. "Effects of Proximate Foreclosed Properties on Individuals' Weight Gain in Massachusetts, 1987–2008," *American Journal of Public Health* 103 (9): e50–e56.

Cannuscio, Carolyn C., Dawn E. Alley, Jose A. Pagán, Beth Soldo, Sarah Krasny, Michelle Shardell, David A. Asch, and Terri H. Lipman. 2012. "Housing Strain, Mortgage Foreclosure, and Health," *Nursing Outlook* 60 (3): 134–142.

Carlyle, Erin. 2015. "2014 Foreclosure Filings Hit Lowest Level Since 2006, RealtyTrac Says," *Forbes*, January 15. https://www.forbes.com/sites/erincarlyle/2015/01/15/foreclosure-filings-drop-by-18-in-2014-hit-lowest-level-since-2006-realtytrac-says/#462927c48e56.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2017. "500Cities: Local Data for Better Health." https://www.cdc.gov/500cities/.

Cohen, Deborah A., Karen Mason, Ariane Bedimo, Richard Scribner, Victoria Basolo, and Thomas A. Farley. 2003. "Neighborhood Physical Conditions and Health," *American Journal of Public Health* 93 (3): 467–471.

Cohen, Deborah A., Suzanne Spear, Richard Scribner, Patty Kissinger, Karen Mason, and John Wildgen. 2000. "Broken Windows and the Risk of Gonorrhea," *American Journal of Public Health* 90 (2): 230–236.

Cui, Lin, and Randall Walsh. 2015. "Foreclosure, Vacancy and Crime," *Journal of Urban Economics* 87: 72–84.

Currie, Janet, and Erdal Tekin. 2015. "Is There a Link Between Foreclosure and Health?" *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 7 (1): 63–94.

Dales, Robert E., Harry Zwanenburg, Richard Burnett, and Claire A. Franklin. 1991. "Respiratory Health Effects of Home Dampness and Molds Among Canadian Children," *American Journal of Epidemiology* 134: 196–203.

Ellen, Ingrid Gould, Johanna Lacoe, and Claudia Ayanna Sharygin. 2013. "Do Foreclosures Cause Crime?" *Journal of Urban Economics* 74 (C) 59–70.

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2011. Vacant Properties: Growing Number Increases Communities' Costs and Challenges. Washington, DC. https://www.gao.gov/assets/590/586089.pdf.

Han, Hye-Sung. 2014. "The Impact of Abandoned Properties on Nearby Housing Prices," *Housing Policy Debate* 24: 311–334.

Hollander, Justin B. 2011. Sunburnt Cities: The Great Recession, Depopulation and Urban Planning in the American Sunbelt. London: Routledge.

Houle, Jason N. 2014. "Mental Health in the Foreclosure Crisis," *Social Science & Medicine* 118C: 1–8.

Houle, Jason N., and Michael T. Light. 2014. "The Home Foreclosure Crisis and Rising Suicide Rates, 2005 to 2010," *American Journal of Public Health* 104 (6): 1073–1079.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). 2017. "Location Affordability Portal." http://www.locationaffordability.info/lai.aspx.

------. 2016. "HUD Aggregated USPS Administrative Data on Address Vacancies." huduser.gov/portal/datasets/usps.html.

Immergluck, Dan. 2016. "Examining Changes in Long-Term Neighborhood Housing Vacancy During the 2011 to 2014 U.S. National Recovery," *Journal of Urban Affairs* 38 (5): 607–622.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2010. "Neighborhoods in the Wake of the Debacle: Intrametropolitan Patterns of Foreclosed Properties," *Urban Affairs Review* 46: 3–36.

Immergluck, Dan, and Geoff Smith. 2006. "The External Costs of Foreclosure: The Impact of Single-Family Mortgage Foreclosures on Property Values," *Housing Policy Debate* 17 (1): 57–79.

Jacobs, David E., Robert P. Clickner, Joey Y. Zhou, Susan M. Viet, David A. Marker, John W. Rogers, Darryl C. Zeldin, Pamela Broene, and Warren Friedman. 2002. "The Prevalence of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in U.S. Housing," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 110 (10): A599–606.

Krieger, James, and Donna L. Higgins. 2002. "Housing and Health: Time Again for Public Health Action," *American Journal of Public Health*: 230–236.

LeClere, Felicia B., Richard G. Rogers, and Kimberley D. Peters. 1998. "Neighborhood Social Context and Racial Differences in Women's Heart Disease Mortality," *Journal of Health Social Behavior* 39 (2): 91–107.

Li, Yanmei, and Hazel A. Morrow-Jones. 2010. "The Impact of Residential Mortgage Foreclosure on Neighborhood Change and Succession," *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 30 (1): 22–39.

Libman, Kimberly, Desiree Fields, and Susan Saegert. 2012. "Housing and Health: A Social Ecological Perspective on the US Foreclosure Crisis," *Housing, Theory and Society* 29 (1): 1–24.

Mallach, Alan. 2008. How To Spend \$3.93 Billion: Stabilizing Neighborhoods by Addressing Foreclosed and Abandoned Properties. Discussion paper. Philadelphia: Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. https://www.philadelphiafed.org/-/media/community-development/publications/ discussion-papers/DiscussionPapers\_Mallach\_10\_08\_final.pdf.

McKenzie, Brian S. 2013. "Neighborhood Access to Transit by Race, Ethnicity, and Poverty in Portland, OR," *City & Community* 12 (2): 134–155.

Miles, Rebecca, Christopher Coutts, and Asal Mohamadi. 2011. "Neighborhood Urban Form, Social Environment, and Depression," *Journal of Urban Health* 89 (1): 1–18.

Myrdal, Gunner. 1957. Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Region. London: Duckworth.

National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). 2015a. "Summary Health Statistics for U.S. Adult: National Health Interview Survey, 2015." https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health\_Statistics/NCHS/NHIS/SHS/2015\_SHS\_Table\_A-2.pdf.

. 2015b. "Summary Health Statistics for U.S. Children: National Health Interview Survey, 2015." https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health\_Statistics/NCHS/NHIS/SHS/2015\_SHS\_Table\_C-1.pdf.

Norusis, Marija J. 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics 19 Guide to Data Analysis. Boston: Addison-Wesley.

Peat, Jennifer K., J. Dickerson, and Janet Li. 1998. "Effects of Damp and Mold in the Home on Respiratory Health: A Review of the Literature," *Allergy* 53: 120–128.

Phipatanakul, Wanda, Peyton A. Eggleston, E.C. Wright, and Robert A. Wood. 2000. "The Relationship of Mouse Allergen Exposure to Mouse Sensitization and Asthma Morbidity in Inner-City Children With Asthma," *Journal of Allergy Clinical Immunology* 106: 1075–1080.

Pollack, Craig Evan, and Julia Lynch. 2009. "Health Status of People Undergoing Foreclosure in the Philadelphia Region," *American Journal of Public Health* 99: 1833–1839.

Raleigh, Erica, and George Galster . 2014. "Neighborhood Disinvestment, Abandonment, and Crime Dynamics," *Journal of Urban Affairs* 37 (4): 367–396.

Ross, Catherine E., and John Mirowsky. 2010. "Gender and the Health Benefits of Education," *The Sociological Quarterly* 51 (1): 1–19.

———. 2001. "Neighborhood Disadvantage, Disorder, and Health," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 42 (3): 258–276.

Ross, Lauren M., and Gregory D. Squires. 2011. "The Personal Costs of Subprime Lending and the Foreclosure Crisis: A Matter of Trust, Insecurity, and Institutional Deception," *Social Science Quarterly* 92 (1): 140–163.

Rugh, Jacob S., Len Albright, and Douglas S. Massey. 2015. "Race, Space, and Cumulative Disad-vantage: A Case Study of the Subprime Lending Collapse," *Social Problems* 62 (2): 186–218.

Saegert, Susan, Desiree Fields, and Kimberly Libman. 2011. "Mortgage Foreclosure and Health Disparities: Serial Displacement as Asset Extraction in African American Populations," *Journal of Urban Health* 88 (3): 390–402.

Sawichi, David S., and Patrice Flynn. 1996. "Neighborhood Indicators: A Review of the Literature and an Assessment of Conceptual and Methodological Issues," *Journal of the American Planning Association* 62 (2): 165–184.

Schuetz, Jenny, Vicki Been, and Ingrid Gould Ellen. 2008. "Neighboring Effects of Concentrated Mortgage Foreclosures," *Journal of Housing Economics* 17 (4): 306–319.

Shuler, Jill R., Robert B. Kent, and Charles B. Monroe. 1992. "Neighborhood Gentrification: A Discriminant Analysis of a Historic District in Cleveland, Ohio," *Urban Geography* 13: 49–67.

Sternlieb, George, and Bernard Indik. 1969. "Housing Vacancy Analysis," *Land Economics* 45 (1): 117–121.

Wang, Kyungsoon. 2016. "The Characteristics of Resilient Neighborhood Housing Markets During and After the U.S. Housing Crisis." Ph.D. diss., School of City and Regional Planning, Georgia Institute of Technology.

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2009. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach. 4th ed. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.