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INTRODUCTION

• Charter schools have autonomy from district and state regulations to make their own decisions about:
  • Who they hire;
  • How they structure the school day; and
  • How they spend their resources.

• In exchange for this autonomy charter schools are expected to exceed state performance on educational outcomes.

• Most charter schools operate alone from their district or with a consortium of schools that have adopted the same/ similar curriculum.

• Charter Systems
  • The state of Georgia permits school districts to apply for charter status.
  • Each school in the district is a charter school and each school makes decisions about its people, time and money.

• These unique systems have yet to be evaluated to determine if districts are, indeed, relinquishing autonomy to their schools and what impact new governance practices may have on district performance.
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Charter Systems are highlighted in red.

METHODS

• Descriptive statistics
  • This study uses descriptive statistics to illustrate the characteristics and performance of Georgia’s 19 charter systems compared to traditional public school districts.
  • It also documents the governance policies of charter systems to evaluate how many autonomy schools have over their people, time and money.

• Analysis
  • Tables and figures: report the characteristics and performance of charter systems relative to traditional districts in Georgia for each year in operation as a charter system.
  • Governance practices are described and assessed to determine if each district’s schools have low, medium, or high levels of autonomy.

• Data
  • Demographic data, test scores and governance practices come from the Governor’s Office of Student Achievement and the Georgia Department of Education.

OBJECTIVES

• Charter systems are evaluated to determine:
  • If they are relinquishing autonomy to the schools in their district; and
  • How they are performing on state standardized tests in elementary and middle school grades.
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RESULTS

Autonomy

• Each charter system makes the decision whether to give each school its district autonomy regarding the way the school allocates its people, time, and money.

• People
  • Low (< 25% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Evaluation of staff;
    • Annual employment contracts;
    • Certification requirements;
    • Compensation model of salary schedules; and
    • Pay scale, experience, and training for substitute teachers.
  • Medium (26%-74% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Selecting professional development resources;
    • Control over position types, budgets, and qualifications; and
    • Control over hiring decisions, human resources, and work schedules.
  • High (75%-100% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Professional development requirements for staff.

• Time and Curriculum
  • Low (< 25% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Setting course/credit requirements;
    • Setting student technology and physical education requirements;
    • Establishing curriculum maps and lesson plan requirements; and
    • Setting a staff/student ratio for non-class time.
  • Medium (26%-74% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Selecting curriculum and delivery methods;
    • Choice of textbooks, technology, instructional materials, and seat time establishment;
    • Career Pathway, dual enrollment, and credit recovery options;
    • Establishing additional mastery level requirements for performance; and
    • Selecting school calendars, daily/weekly class schedules, and co-curricular activities.
  • High (75%-100% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Selecting courses and programs offered.

• Finances and Operations
  • Low (< 25% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Managing fiscal and operational affairs independent of central office;
    • Managing transportation and food service decisions;
    • Selecting student and financial information systems; and
    • Establishing the school size and grade span.
  • Medium (26%-74% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Setting budget priorities with funds from state, local and federal funds;
    • Ensuring the school receives all per-pupil funds to which it’s entitled;
    • Maintaining a reserve fund;
    • Determining how the school uses its facility; and
    • Authorizing attendance policies.
  • High (75%-100% of districts) grant schools autonomy for:
    • Establishing school partnerships for school growth; and
    • Establishing student codes of conduct.
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CONCLUSIONS

• Autonomy
  • Most charter systems offer little autonomy regarding high-stakes decisions within schools in the district. This lack of autonomy may limit schools’ ability to innovate to improve performance.

• Districts report more governance authority on hiring and professional development decisions, selection of curriculum, and budgeting and maintaining funds not related to personnel.

• Performance
  • Most charter systems have not significantly increased performance on standardized test scores over the period of their charters and in relation to the state average.

• Most school districts that are granted charter status are high performing before becoming charter systems, however, they have improvements to make amongst their students in poverty.

Student Performance

• Elementary and middle school performance for reading is on par with the state average. The largest differences between charter systems and the state average are in math.
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