

Georgia State University

ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

ECON Publications

Department of Economics

2015

Toward Innovative, Cost-Effective, and Systemic Solutions to Improve Outcomes and Well-Being of Military Families Affected by Autism Spectrum Disorder

Ami Klin

Emory University, ami.klin@emory.edu

Amy M. Wetherby

Florida State University College of Medicine, amy.wetherby@med.fsu.edu

Juliann Woods

Florida State University, jwoods@fsu.edu

Celine Saulnier

Emory University, celine.saulnier@emory.edu

Jennifer L. Stapel-Wax

Emory University, jennifer.stapel-wax@emory.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/econ_facpub



Part of the [Economics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Klin, Ami et al. "Toward Innovative, Cost-Effective, and Systemic Solutions to Improve Outcomes and Well-Being of Military Families Affected by Autism Spectrum Disorder." *The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 88.1 (2015): 73–79.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Economics at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ECON Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

Authors

Ami Klin, Amy M. Wetherby, Juliann Woods, Celine Saulnier, Jennifer L. Stapel-Wax, Cheryl Klaiman, Warren Jones, Emily Rubin, Lawrence Scahill, Nathan Call, Karen Bearss, Chris Gunter, Charles Courtemanche, Anthony F. Lemieux, James C. Cox, David Mandell, James P. Van Decar, Ronald A. Miller, and Cherri L. Shireman

Toward Innovative, Cost-Effective, and Systemic Solutions to Improve Outcomes and Well-Being of Military Families Affected by Autism Spectrum Disorder

Ami Klin, PhD^{a,b,c*}; Amy M. Wetherby, PhD^{d,e}; Juliann Woods, PhD^{d,f}; Celine Saulnier, PhD^{a,b}; Jennifer Stapel-Wax, PsyD^{a,b}; Cheryl Klaiman, PhD^{a,b}; Warren Jones, PhD^{a,b,c}; Emily Rubin, MS, CCC-SLP^a; Lawrence Scahill, PhD^{a,b}; Nathan Call, PhD^{a,b}; Karen Bearss, PhD^{a,b}; Chris Gunter, PhD^{a,b}; Charles J. Courtemanche, PhD^{g,h}; Anthony Lemieux, PhD^{b,i}; James C. Cox, PhD^{g,j}; David S. Mandell, ScD^{k,l}; James P. Van Decar, MD^m; Ronald A. Miller, PhDⁿ; and Cherri L. Shireman, RN, MSN^o

^aMarcus Autism Center, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia; ^bDivision of Autism & Related Disabilities, Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; ^cEmory Center for Translational Social Neuroscience, Atlanta, Georgia; ^dAutism Institute, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida; ^eDepartment of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida; ^fSchool of Communication Science and Disorders, College of Communication and Information, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida; ^gDepartment of Economics, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia; ^hNational Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts; ⁱDepartment of Communication, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia; ^jExperimental Economics Center, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia; ^kCenter for Mental Health Policy and Services Research, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ^lCenter for Autism Research, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ^m96th Medical Group, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida; ⁿIMS Government Solutions, Fairfax, Virginia; ^o81st Medical Operations Squadron, Keesler Air Force Base, Biloxi, Mississippi

The burdens faced by military families who have a child with autism are unique. The usual challenges of securing diagnostic, treatment, and educational services are compounded by life circumstances that include the anxieties of war, frequent relocation and separation, and a demand structure that emphasizes mission readiness and service. Recently established military autism-specific health care benefits set the stage for community-viable and cost-effective solutions that can achieve better outcomes for children and greater well-being for families. Here we argue for implementation of evidence-based solutions focused on reducing age of diagnosis and improving access to early intervention, as well as establishment of a tiered menu of services, individualized to the child and family, that fit with the military ethos and system of health care. Absence of this new model of care could compromise the utility and sustainability of the autism-specific benefit.

INTRODUCTION

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD†) affects as many as 1 in 68 individuals (1:42 boys), or more than 4.0 million Americans [1]. Autism is a neurodevelopmental condition of genetic origins that results in lifelong disability varying in severity but is typically associated with significant impairments in social and communication func-

tioning, learning, and independent living skills, as well as potentially devastating behavioral challenges [2]. The lifetime societal cost of autism per affected individual is estimated to be between \$1.4 and \$3.6 million, depending on the person's level of disability and on the methodology used to make these projections [3,4]. The enormity of this public health challenge has been highlighted by

*To whom all correspondence should be addressed: Ami Klin PhD, Marcus Autism Center, 1920 Briarcliff Rd NE, Atlanta, GA 30329; Tele: 404-785-5762; Fax: 404-785-9043; Email: ami.klin@emory.edu.

†Abbreviations: ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ECHO, Extended Care Health Option, TRICARE's autism-related benefit; IDEA, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act; NDAA, National Defense Authorization Act; PCM, patient care manager; TRICARE, U.S. Department of Defense Military Health System

Keywords: autism, autism spectrum disorder, military, early diagnosis, early intervention, health care system, implementation science

the U.S. Congress in the Combating Autism Act of 2006 and 2011 and the Autism Collaboration, Accountability, Research, Education and Support Act of 2014. Autism has been prioritized for investments to accelerate the pace of clinically meaningful research via the creation of the U.S. Health & Human Services Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee [5]. Military families with a child with autism encounter a series of burdens including challenges in attaining diagnostic and evidence-based treatment services [6] and locating family-centered care and supports [7]. These families also face increased stress [8], financial strain [3], loss of revenue reflecting unfavorable spousal employment status [8], a higher rate of divorce, and lower quality of life [9].

Although the prevalence of autism in the military is not well-established, it has been estimated that more than 13,000 military dependents (most of whom are children) have a diagnosis of autism [10]. This may be an underestimate, given findings from a report that counted 8,500 cases of autism in the Army alone (the Army corresponds to only about 37 percent of U.S. military personnel) [11]. A recent report to the U.S. Congress associated with the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) [12] indicated that the annual number of active duty family members receiving care for autism under TRICARE (the U.S. Department of Defense military health system) nearly tripled between 2009 and 2012, from 2,292 to 6,550 users, with costs also tripling from \$31.0 to \$107.7 million yearly. Although the difficulties of raising a child with autism in military families have not been studied systematically [13], it is likely that they face the same challenges as civilian families. These usual challenges are compounded by the realities of military service: war, extended family separation, frequent moves, varying access to specialized health care, reduced spousal income, compounded financial burdens, and other challenges [14-17]. The intersection of stressors associated with military life and the additional burden of having a child with autism is easily illustrated. Frequent changes of duty stations undermine the family's ability to secure stable school environments and advocate for adequate individualized services. Children with autism often have difficulty with transitions and change in routine. Frequent moves may worsen behavioral problems, and the child may be less able to make use of available services [6,10,13]. These circumstances may significantly compromise the well-being and mission readiness of military families affected by autism.

Efforts to address these challenges have yielded two important achievements. First, the recognition by the U.S. Congress and U.S. Department of Defense of the pressing public health problem posed by autism has led to an increase in the autism-related benefits offered by TRICARE. This increase in benefits has been accompanied by better coverage of diagnostic and treatment services, and pilot demonstration projects have also been carried out [12,18]. Second, comprehensive compilations of autism-related information and resources for military parents were created

in book and web-portal form [10], and shorter up-to-date guides for families provide directives for self-advocacy [19]. Although these resources represent important pre-conditions for improvements, a greater effort is warranted in order to organize available resources into systems of health care delivery specifically tailored to the military system. The organization of resources hinges on providing tools and support to patient care managers (PCMs), who coordinate and are responsible for the primary and specialty care for military families through TRICARE. Parents deserve well-delineated paths and guidance to navigate through a maze of resources to meet the needs of the individual child and family. Although the service landscape may differ by region, a sound foundation of services should be in place across military bases and regions of the country.

In light of these various challenges, we offer an overview of how the needs of military families affected by autism could be addressed in a cost-effective, sustainable fashion. Specifically, we advocate the implementation of community-viable, evidence-based solutions that are tailored to meet the unique challenges of managing children with autism in military families. This is likely to be an iterative process. The infrastructure for health care delivery in the military must be strengthened so that proposed improvements can be tested for feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness. Models of implementing evidence-based treatments across military bases need to be defined and evaluated. The evaluation of these implementation models will focus on quantitative outcomes at the family and child levels, as well as engagement by health care providers, service utilization patterns, and cost. The deployment of technologies such as telehealth, video conferencing for training, and mobile phone applications offer exciting possibilities to shrink distances and build the foundation of care across regions.

TOPICS

Key Challenges and Possible Solutions Part 1: Reducing Age of Diagnosis and Improving Access to Early Intervention

The distribution by age in 2012 of autism beneficiaries by the Extended Care Health Option (ECHO), TRICARE's autism benefit [12], reveal that nearly 90 percent of users are younger than 12; however, only 11.5 percent are children younger than 3. In the civilian system, only 20 percent of children receiving services are identified before the age of 3 via the birth-to-3 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Part C services [20]. Although these rates are not directly comparable, they do indicate that the vast majority of children with autism are not identified and, therefore, are not served, before the age of 3.

There is a confluence of evidence indicating that early identification and treatment are two of the most important factors for improving lifetime learning, language, and in-

dependent living skills [21-25]. Because symptoms of autism are already present by 18 to 24 months [23,26] and autism can be reliably diagnosed at this age [27], the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends universal screening for autism during well-child visits at 18 and 24 months [28]. However, only 7 percent of primary care providers routinely do so in their practices [29-31]. Time restrictions, under-performing screening methods, and doubt about availability and effectiveness of early treatments contribute to inadequate screening in primary care [29-32]. This “wait-and-see” approach delays diagnosis. Indeed, the median age of diagnosis in the United States remains around 5.5 years of age [33], despite the fact that the majority of parents suspect problems by 18 to 24 months [34-36]. By age 5, children with autism may have accumulated secondary, preventable burdens such as intellectual disability, language deficits, and serious behavioral problems [6]. The median age of diagnosis is later still for those lacking resources and access to expert clinicians, including lower income, minority, and rural families [37-39].

Intervention that begins during infancy or preschool has a much greater impact on outcomes for children and families than providing services at school age [21-23]. It is also much more cost-beneficial: Every dollar spent on early intervention can save \$8 in special education later and other costs [40,41]. Many school-age children with autism currently require special education at staggering cost to the educational system. For example, several years ago, the cost of educating a regular student was \$6,556 per year, whereas the cost of educating a student with autism was more than \$18,000 [42]. Currently, this estimate is about twice as much. Programs for children with autism requiring more specialized and intensive services for severe learning delays and behavioral problems cost several times this amount [3].

There is some evidence that services required for early identification and intervention are less available in the military than in civilian life [12]. The uniformity and cohesion provided by the military health care system, however, could foster advances in this pivotal area that remain underdeveloped in the highly fragmented civilian system. One positive element in TRICARE is the central role of PCMs. Engaging and training PCMs, combined with novel tools for early screening and implementation of community-viable early treatment approaches, could reduce the age of diagnosis of autism and improve access to care in the military.

Community-based screening tools for autism and related communication delays, such as the *Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT)* [43,44] and the *Infant-Toddler Checklist* [45,46], have been extensively researched, are now becoming available in tablet and online format, and dissemination is increasing [47]. Yet obstacles in the implementation of regular screening in the community remain, including low levels of acceptance among primary care providers and lack of clear direction for families on how to follow-up with the necessary ac-

tion after a positive screen, particularly the pursuit of diagnostic assessment and appropriate treatment [47].

These challenges are more likely to be addressed in the more uniform service setting of TRICARE than in the civilian system. Screening for autism-related delays in toddlers is conducted through primary care providers. Some screening instruments allow for the identification first of a larger number of children with language and communication delays and then move seamlessly to autism-specific screens [45]; others show that toddlers who receive a screen positive for ASD but do not have the condition almost invariably exhibit developmental delays that warrant intervention [43-44]. If systematically applied, these measures could identify a larger number of children who could benefit from early intervention than simply the population of toddlers with ASD [47].

The understandable concern of primary care providers about the unavailability of services to children who are identified stands in contrast to an emerging body of data supporting the effectiveness of community-viable, parent-mediated intervention for toddlers with autism and their families [25]. If disseminated, these intervention models could reduce costs because expert interventionists coach and support parents rather than delivering the intervention themselves. These parent-mediated interventions build on routine activities to promote maximal parent-toddler interaction [25]. Efforts are currently under way to connect education and training programs for primary care providers, early intervention providers, and families. These efforts may provide a common source of reference for information on the early signs of autism, evidence-based screening tools, and parental advocacy, as well as implementation of best practice for community practitioners.

Key Challenges and Possible Solutions Part 2: Promoting School Readiness for Older Children

Although successful implementation of universal early screening and intervention will eventually result in children with autism being better prepared for entry into preschool, the vast majority of children with autism in military families in need of care now are older than 4 years of age (modal and median ages are 5 and 7 years, respectively) [12]. This distribution signifies that most resources are necessarily devoted to managing more severe and costly learning difficulties and behavioral problems. Current benefits for children with autism under TRICARE provide \$36,000 per child annually. Although reimbursable services include behavioral, medical, and habilitative services (speech therapy and occupational therapy), there is no support for PCMs. In the absence of these support services, families may not be able to take full advantage of these benefits. Thus, placing well-trained and supported PCMs as the point-of-access and guidance to families could optimize utilization of available resources. Some military bases might be close enough to non-military service providers to develop close relationships with health care providers and school systems. However, ac-

cess to such services can be limited. Other military bases are too remote, requiring more innovative approaches to service delivery such as telehealth [21].

Central to the organization of services for children with autism is a tiered menu of interventions that ensures that services match the individual child, family, and community. One size does not fit all children. Some children with autism can manage in a regular school environment with supports. Other children need more intensive language or behavioral interventions to promote their readiness for learning and success in school [6]. This individual approach is consistent with recommendations of previous reports on the educational needs of children in the military [10,12,15]. In the absence of a full menu of services and full capacity of PCMs to assist military families in determining appropriate educational and treatment services, however, identifying and meeting individual needs of school-age children with autism will be limited.

Despite these considerable challenges, work on the seeds for possible solutions is already under way. The goal should be a comprehensive package distributed through an electronic communication system that could serve as an online virtual hub of information, support, and educational resources unique to children and families with autism that can be available anywhere, any time. This system would allow families to share information and communicate with one another even during deployment worldwide. Using PCMs as the point of contact and support to families, the first step could be mobilization of assessments to identify the needs of school-age children with autism in order to put in place the necessary developmental, educational, and behavioral services. For example, through an efficient triage process and guided conversations with families, it can be determined if the child meets inclusion criteria for services integrated with regular school environments or requires more intensive treatments for serious behavioral problems. Families stationed in foreign countries could be connected with available resources on base or in surrounding communities. The goal of the PCM is to build a one-stop shop for families once their child is identified with autism, coordinating referrals, advocacy, and monitoring. To make this a reality, a full menu of tiered services and development of an effective electronic infrastructure are required. The *electronic* infrastructure could include group telehealth meetings to train PCMs and practitioners in evidence-based interventions and to engage families not yet integrated into community practice in interventions.

Key Challenges and Possible Solutions Part 3: Deploying Evidence-Based Treatments for Behavioral Problems in Routine Practice

A substantial number of preschool and school-age children with autism display behavior problems such as tantrums, aggression, self-injury, noncompliance, hyperactivity, wandering, and running away, among others [48]. These behavior problems interfere with the child's ability to

benefit from educational efforts and often require the child to be placed in a more restrictive educational setting. Children with autism and behavior problems also display prominent adaptive skill deficits in functional language (some are only minimally verbal) and self-care (including dressing, feeding, and toileting). These behavior problems and adaptive skill deficits typically interact — the presence of one often amplifies the other — thus compounding the deleterious effects of each. Untreated or inadequately treated behavior problems interfere with adaptive skill development and educational achievement and hinder the child's readiness for independent living in the future. Although there is a growing body of empirical support for managing behavior problems in children with autism [48], application of these interventions in routine clinical care has been inadequate. The journey from efficacy (demonstrating that an intervention is beneficial in a randomized clinical trial) through effectiveness (demonstrating that benefits of an intervention show positive effects in clinical practice) to actual implementation of evidence-based treatments in the community [49-52] to assessment of cost-effectiveness of community implementation remains a major challenge.

There is growing evidence that behavior problems can be reduced with relatively low-cost interventions. As an extension of the PCMs, we consider the role of PCM teams. These teams could marshal appropriate assessments and follow-up treatment algorithms for optimal clinical management of children with autism who display developmental deficits, behavioral problems, or both. The first step would be to classify the severity of these impairments or behavior problems. For example, accumulating data suggest that parent training built on the principles of applied behavior analysis is effective in reducing serious behavioral problems in preschool and young school-age children with autism [53]. Using a "train the trainer" model via telehealth, parent training interventions could be implemented in military settings. However, more severe behavior challenges, such as severe aggression and self-injury, extreme food refusal, and severe skill deficits in language and learning, may require more intensive behavioral interventions and a consultation model through establishing access to experts. Still other children may require targeted psychopharmacological treatment before they can benefit from behavioral ones [54-57]. In such cases, consultation with experts may be warranted, or the experts may actually have to deliver the intervention. Development of telehealth models for direct service to children and families, training clinicians to provide services, and clinical consultation for complex cases is under way. These efforts can be aided by use of technologies such as iPad and *in situ* video captures.

Implementation Science and the Challenge of Improving Systems of Care in the Real World

Despite the growing body of evidence supporting screening, diagnostic, and treatment strategies for children with autism, these tools and interventions are rarely im-

plemented in community settings. Moreover, when evidence-based practices are implemented, they rarely yield the same results observed in university-based studies. The transition from findings from specialty clinics and carefully conducted clinical trials is complicated and largely uncharted in children with autism. The science of implementing evidence-based practice is relatively new in the autism field and warrants careful consideration. Demonstration of efficacy of an intervention may not be sufficient to change practice or guarantee third-party payment for that intervention. Specific efforts may be needed to implement evidence-based interventions into clinical practice [58]. Cost-effectiveness analysis may be needed to persuade insurance companies and policy makers to support a given evidenced-based intervention.

Implementation science researchers have developed organizational and practitioner-level strategies to increase the probability of successful application of evidence-based interventions in clinical practice. These strategies often begin with gathering information from administrators, clinicians, and parents about the barriers and facilitators of moving an evidence-based intervention into real-world practice. To build a bridge from evidence-based intervention to clinical practice, we may need to test implementation strategies to identify methods that increase the probability of success of the proposed solutions. This dilemma of implementation is present in many fields of medicine. In the field of autism, however, there is a pressing need for synergy between clinical investigators who build the evidence base and front-line clinicians who are called upon to embrace such solutions. Factors of importance include the realities of clinical practice, culture, and level of resources, as well as pressures and incentives extant in the targeted clinical environment.

These considerations are particularly pertinent in the case of the military system of health care delivery, where mission-readiness is such an important consideration. The experience of military families adjusting to the needs of their child with autism has not been systematically studied. This information is key to operationalize a system of supports that will immediately alleviate the burden, build on assets of the family and the system, and capitalize on opportunities for cost-effective improvements. In this context, specific measures need to be developed to enhance available options and the effectiveness of PCMs on military base levels in order to promote successful implementation.

Alongside considerations associated with implementation are those associated with cost-effectiveness, without which sustainability of any improvements would suffer. Such analyses are needed to demonstrate the incremental benefits of specific interventions compared to treatment as usual. They should consider direct costs of system implementation, the benefit that accrues to recipients, and subsequent cost savings, both in direct costs such as health care utilization and indirect costs such as parental time spent on care. Without evidence that a given solution is

sustainable, its future is limited. In this regard, the military system of care compares favorably with the civilian system of care. In the civilian care system, for example, increased investments by an agency such as the federal infant and toddler system (IDEA part C) may result in savings for the public educational system. Because investments and savings may accrue to different administrative cost centers, however, it may be difficult to demonstrate the costs and benefits of an implementation effort. In contrast, TRICARE provides services for as long as the family is eligible, meaning for as long as the child (adolescent or adult) meets criteria for ASD. This administrative continuity provides an opportunity to assess the value of early intervention in young children with autism and the effect of targeted interventions for school-age children.

Taking an implementation science and health economics approach requires collecting of a wide range of data as the system is deployed. Examples of costs include implementation and utilization of existing health care infrastructure, as well as additional health care costs for autism, especially in the short run, from either more diagnosed cases or more intensive treatment for those diagnosed. Examples of benefits include reduction in health care costs for autism in the long run due to earlier diagnosis and early intervention and reduction in costs of intensive special educational services following implementation of child-specific educational and clinical interventions for school-age children.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

For any family, having a child with autism presents many burdens. Military families face additional challenges due to relocation and variability of available services, periodic separation of caregivers leaving only one parent available to advocate and care for the child, and the anxieties associated with life-threatening deployment. And yet, viable and cost-effective solutions, reflecting evidence-based practices emerging in the screening, diagnosis, treatment, and implementation science research in autism, are now available. These emerging solutions can meaningfully address and, likely, considerably attenuate the pressures faced by military families in raising a child with autism. Priority should be given to reducing age of diagnosis and improving access to quality early intervention. Achievement of these objectives could promote better outcomes for children and families, but also the sustainability of the military health care system through cost savings over time. However, families of older children with autism cannot wait. The complementary priorities are solutions for achieving better supports and management and greater access to a tiered menu of child- and family-specific interventions that match level and intensity of services to the profile of challenges of each beneficiary. The solutions outlined in this perspective follow from knowledge gained from clinical practice, formal clinical trials, and emerging data from effectiveness studies. This body of research,

however, is still a work in progress. Thus, successful implementation of the proposed system of evidence-based practices in the military environment would also provide a model for the civilian care system.

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the U.S. Government, the Department of Defense, or the Department of the Air Force.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years — Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2010. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014;63(SS02):1-21.
- Volkmar F, Lord C, Bailey A, Schultz RT, Klin A. Autism and pervasive developmental disorders. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2004;45(1):135-70.
- Ganz ML. The lifetime distribution of the incremental societal costs of autism. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2007;161(4):343-9.
- Buescher AV, Cidav Z, Knapp M, Mandell DS. Costs of autism spectrum disorders in the United Kingdom and the United States of America. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2014;168(8):721-8.
- Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services [Internet]. Available from: <http://iacc.hhs.gov/index.shtml>.
- National Research Council. Educating Children with Autism (Institute of Medicine report of the Committee on Educational Interventions for Children with Autism). Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- Farmer JE, Clark MJ, Mayfield WA, Cheak-Zamora N, Marvin AR, Law JK, et al. The relationship between the medical home and unmet needs for children with autism spectrum disorders. *Matern Child Health J.* 2014;18(3):672-80.
- Cidav Z, Marcus SC, Mandell DS. Implications of childhood autism for parental employment and earnings. *Pediatrics.* 2012;129(4):617-23.
- Karst JS, Van Hecke AV. Parent and family impact of autism spectrum disorders: a review and proposed model for intervention evaluation. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev.* 2012;15(3):247-77.
- Operation Autism: A resource guide for military families. Operation Autism [Internet]. [cited 2010]. Available from: www.operationautismonline.org.
- Latest report indicates autism is on the rise. U.S. Army [Internet]. [cited 2013]. Available from: http://www.army.mil/article/100408/Latest_Report_Indicates_Autism_is_on_the_Rise/.
- Report to Congress. The Department of Defense Autism Pilot and Demonstration Projects Report to Congress. Report on efforts being conducted by the Department of Defense on autism services. Requested by: Section 577, National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2012. TRICARE [Internet]. [cited 2013]. Available from: <http://www.tricare.mil/TMA/congressionalinformation/downloads/Report%20on%20DoD%20Autism%20Pilot%20Projects.pdf>.
- Davis JM, Finke E. Military families and autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* Forthcoming.
- Hill J, Lawhome C, Philpott D. The military marriage manual: tactics for successful relationships. Lanham, MD: Government Institutes; 2010.
- Ohio State University Project Team. Education services for military dependent children with special needs: Phase 11. Military One Source [Internet]. [cited 2011]. Available from: www.militaryonesource.mil/12038/MOS/EFMP/DOD_ASD_Final_Report_PhaseII.pdf.
- Drummet AR, Coleman M, Cable S. Military families under stress: implications for family life education. *Family Relations.* 2003;42:279-87.
- Burrell LM, Adams GA, Durand DB, Castro CA. The impact of military lifestyle demands on well-being, Army, and family outcomes. *Armed Forces & Society.* 2006;33(43):43-58.
- TRICARE Plans. Autism. Humana Military [Internet]. [cited 2014]. Available from: <http://www.humana-military.com/south/bene/TRICAREPrograms/autism/autism.asp>.
- Rupe L. A guide for military families affected by autism. 2nd revision. Scribd.com [Internet]. [cited 2014]. Available from: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/227164729/A-Guide-for-Military-Families-Affected-by-Autism>.
- 30th Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 2008. U.S. Department of Education [Internet]. [cited 2011]. Available from: <http://www2.ed.gov/about/reports/annual/osep/2008/parts-b-c/30th-idea-arc.pdf>.
- Warren Z, McPheeters ML, Sathe N, Foss-Feig JH, Glasser A, Veenstra-Vanderweele J. A systematic review of early intensive intervention for autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics.* 2011;127(5):e1303-11.
- Dawson G, Rogers S, Munson J, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: the Early Start Denver Model. *Pediatrics.* 2010;125(1):e17-23.
- Zwaigenbaum L, Bryson S, Garon N. Early identification of autism spectrum disorders. *Behav Brain Res.* 2013;251:133-46.
- Dawson G, Jones E, Merkle K, et al. Early behavioral intervention is associated with normalized brain activity in young children with autism. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2012;51(11):1150-9.
- Wetherby AM, Guthrie W, Woods J, Schatschneider C, Holland RD, Morgan L, et al. Parent-implemented social intervention for toddlers with autism: An RCT. *Pediatrics.* 2014;134(6):1084-93.
- Jones W, Klin A. Attention to eyes is present but in decline in 2-6-month-old infants later diagnosed with autism. *Nature.* 2013;504(7480):427-31.
- Chawarska K, Klin A, Paul R, Volkmar F. Autism spectrum disorder in the second year: stability and change in syndrome expression. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2007;48(2):128-38.
- Johnson CP, Myers SM. Identification and evaluation of children with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics.* 2007;120(5):1183-215.
- Dosreis S, Weiner CL, Johnson L, Newschaffer CJ. Autism spectrum disorder screening and management practices among general pediatric providers. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 2006;27(2 Suppl):S88-94.
- Heidgerken AD, Geflik G, Modi A, Frakey L. A survey of autism knowledge in a health care setting. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2005;35(3):323-30.
- Honigfeld L, Chandhok L, Spiegelman K. Engaging pediatricians in developmental screening: the effectiveness of academic detailing. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2012;42(6):1175-82. doi:10.1007/s10803-011-1344-4.
- Al-Qabandi M, Gorter JW, Rosenbaum P. Early autism detection: are we ready for routine screening? *Pediatrics.* 2011;128(1):e211-7.
- Shattuck PT, Durkin M, Maenner M, et al. Timing of identification among children with an autism spectrum disorder: findings from a population-based surveillance study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2009;48(5):474-83.
- Chawarska K, Paul R, Klin A, Hannigen S, Dichtel LE, Volkmar F. Parental recognition of developmental problems in toddlers with autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2007;37(1):62-72.
- Young RL, Brewer N, Pattison C. Parental identification of early behavioural abnormalities in children with autistic disorder. *Autism.* 2003;7(2):125-43.
- Wetherby AM, Brosnan-Maddox S, Peace V, Newton L. Validation of the Infant-Toddler Checklist as a broadband screener for autism spectrum disorders from 9 to 24 months of age. *Autism.* 2008;12(5):487-511.

37. Mandell DS, Listerud J, Levy SE, Pinto-Martin JA. Race differences in the age at diagnosis among medicaid-eligible children with autism. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2002;41(12):1447-53.
38. Mandell DS, Novak MM, Zubritsky CD. Factors associated with age of diagnosis among children with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(6):1480-6.
39. Mandell DS, Wiggins LD, Carpenter LA, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in the identification of children with autism spectrum disorders. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(3):493-8.
40. Doyle O, Harmon CP, Heckman JJ, Tremblay RE. Investing in early human development: timing and economic efficiency. *Econ Hum Biol*. 2009;7(1):1-6.
41. Cunha F, Heckman J. The technology of skill formation. *American Economic Review*. 2007;97(2):31-47.
42. Special education: children with autism. United States Government Accountability Office [Internet]. [cited 2005]. Available from: www.gao.gov/new.items/d05220.pdf.
43. Chlebowski C, Robins DL, Barton ML, Fein D. Large-scale use of the modified checklist for autism in low-risk toddlers. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(4):e1121-7.
44. Robins DL, Casagrande K, Barton M, Chen CM, Dumont-Mathieu T, Fein D. Validation of the modified checklist for autism in toddlers, revised with follow-up (M-CHAT-R/F). *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(1):37-45.
45. Wetherby AM, Brosnan-Maddox S, Peace V, Newton L. Validation of the Infant-Toddler Checklist as a broadband screener for autism spectrum disorders from 9 to 24 months of age. *Autism*. 2008;12(5):487-511.
46. Pierce K, Carter C, Weinfeld M, Desmond J, Jazin R, Bjork R, et al. Detecting, studying, and treating autism early: the one-year well-baby check-up approach. *J Pediatr*. 2011;159(3):458-65.
47. Daniels AM, Halladay AK, Shih A, Elder LM, Dawson G. Approaches to enhancing the early detection of autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review of the literature. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014;53(2):141-52.
48. Lecavalier L. Behavioral and emotional problems in young people with pervasive developmental disorders: relative prevalence, effects of subject characteristics, and empirical classification. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2006;36(8):1101-14.
49. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci*. 2009;4:50.
50. Smith T, Scahill L, Dawson G, Guthrie D, Lord C, Odom S, et al. Designing research studies on psychological interventions in autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2007;37(2):354-66.
51. Dingfelder HE, Mandell DS. Bridging the research-to-practice gap in autism intervention: an application of diffusion of innovation theory. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011;41(5):597-609.
52. Beidas RS, Aarons G, Barg F, Evans A, Hadley T, Hoagwood K, et al. Policy to implementation: evidence-based practice in community mental health-study protocol. *Implement Sci*. 2013;8:38.
53. Bearss K, Lecavalier L, Minshawi N, Johnson C, Smith T, Handen B, et al. Toward an exportable parent training program for disruptive behaviors in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuropsychiatry*. 2013;3(2):169-80.
54. Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology (RUPP) Autism Network. Risperidone in children with autism for serious behavioral problems. *New Engl J Med*. 2002;347(5):314-21.
55. Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology (RUPP) Autism Network. Randomized, controlled, crossover trial of methylphenidate in pervasive developmental disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62:1266-74.
56. Aman MG, McDougle CJ, Scahill L, Handen B, Johnson C, Stigler KA, et al. Medication and parent training in children with pervasive developmental disorders and serious behavioral problems: Results from a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2009;48(12):1143-54.
57. Scahill L, McDougle CJ, Aman MG, Johnson C, Handen B, Bearss K, et al. Effects of risperidone and parent training on adaptive functioning in children with a pervasive developmental disorders and serious behavioral problems. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2012;51(2):136-46.
58. Curran JA, Grimshaw JM, Hayden JA, Campbell B. Knowledge translation research: the science of moving research into policy and practice. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 2011;31(3):174-80.