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ABSTRACT

As the demand for cheaper electronic devices has increased, the location of manufacturing foundries has changed to untrusted places outside of the United States. Some of these locations have limited oversight of the manufacturing of complicated and sensitive electronic components including integrated circuits (IC). IC, a key component in all current electronic devices, can be modified to be malicious or to monitor the functions of their applications. These malicious modifications are called Hardware Trojans. Hardware Trojans can be designed to quietly monitor, to actively send out unencrypted sensitive information, or to
actively destroy their host device. Our research demonstrates the ability of Hardware Trojans to infiltrate a sensor network that could be remotely deployed for various applications. This research is important due to the dearth of knowledge on the subject. Currently, software security is given great importance. Our research shows that the same level of importance must be given to hardware to ensure a trusted and secure environment.
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1.1 Wireless Sensor Network

In recent years, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are known all around the world. These sensor nodes are able to sense, measure, and gather information from the environment, then based on some local default parameters, they will submit the sensed data to the user. Due to the limitation in memory and types of deployment areas that are difficult-to-access, a radio is necessary for wireless communication to transfer the data to a desired base station. Most of the times there is no infrastructure for a WSN, a number of nodes that are deployed in the area working together to sense the data.

There are many potential applications for WSNs. In military applications, they are used for target tracking and surveillance so WSNs can be used for intrusion detection and identification [1, 2]. Other examples for use of WSNs is for natural disaster relief [3], biomedical health monitoring [4, 5], and hazardous environment exploration and seismic sensing [6]. In the case of natural disasters, one can use nodes to sense and detect the environment in order to forecast events before they happen. In biomedical applications, surgical implants are useful to watch and monitor a patients health. For seismic sensing, sensor are deployed in a random fashion around the volcano to detect earthquakes and eruptions. Figure 1.1 depicts the different applications of WSN.

The important features of the wireless sensor networks have enabled many researchers to work on various issues related to WSN. However, while the routing strategies and energy harvesting are getting much more attention [7], the hardware security needs more investigation. We discuss more in details in part 2.
1.2 Trojans

With the global economic pressures, factories for fabrication of the devices are pushed to be all around the world. This has led to a transfer in the IC supply chain location from high-cost locations to low-cost locations. With that change, foundries that had been trusted before are prone to attacks. That fact is that a typical foundry that now is out of US can be compromised so malicious circuits may have been inserted during different parts of the IC fabrication. That is a solid reasons that encourages the researchers to search for creative testing methods since the features of the inserted malicious HT are very different than the traditional functional errors.
Trojans are the malicious altering of hardware specification or implementation in such a way that its functionality can be modified under a set of conditions defined by the attacker [8]. Sources of HTs are numerous, some of them are untrusted foundries, tools for synthesis and verifications tools [9]. Attacks that are caused by an HT can have huge impact on the security of the IC.

The process of inserting a HT consist of a malicious change to an integrated circuit that causes some sort of interruption in chip functionality. Trojans are designed to be activated at some future point. Trojans are hidden cleverly, thus it is highly unlikely that they will be detected during the validation process.

There are several reasons why standard testing methods are almost useless in detecting hardware Trojans [10]:

1) Unanticipated behavior is not included in the fault list, structural pattern testing will likely not cover Trojan test vectors;

2) Additional functionality of genuine designs is difficult to predict without knowledge of the Trojan inserted by attackers. Hence, routine functional testing is unlikely to reveal harmful extra functions;

3) Exhaustive input patterns testing is impractical as chips become more complicated with a large number of primary inputs and inner gates.

In general hardware and software Trojans are in one category. Meaning that the purpose of both is to lead the user to think a piece of software or hardware is trustable. Then later, when software is launched or hardware is installed, malicious behaviour of the Trojan will start. As mentioned earlier, cheaper devices are desired, therefore electronic foundries are moved to outside of the US. We can not make sure that all of these factories are trustworthy. We just send them the schematics and plans and we simply trust them to deliver us the
desired product. In case of hardware Trojans, there some important features:

- First, it has to be placed physically somewhere on the hardware.

- Second, it has to be triggered to start the malicious behaviour. The triggering mechanism can be in form of light, sound, temperature or time.

- Finally, it will be engaged in some type of malicious behaviour such as leaking information, draining the battery or recording information.

It is worth mentioning that HT detection is a particularly difficult task in modern and pending deep submicron technologies due to intrinsic manufacturing variability [8]. Unfortunately, most of the researcher’s attention is focused on detecting software Trojans, therefore HT has not been addressed properly.

Moreover there is a lack of knowledge regarding the presence of HT in Wireless Sensor Networks. This thesis serves as a proof of concept and will demonstrates the ability of Hardware Trojans to infiltrate a sensor network that could be remotely deployed for various applications.

This research is important due to the dearth of knowledge on the subject. As mentioned earlier, currently, software security is given great importance. Our research shows that the same level of importance must be given to hardware security to ensure a trusted and secure environment.

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows: Part 2 discuss more details of the WSN with regards to this work. Part 3 presents the idea of the HT for this work which helps us in the design of practical attacks for the purpose of this thesis. Part 4 details the implementation and testing as the results and finally conclusions are drawn in Part 5.
PART 2

SENSOR NODES

In general, wireless sensor networks consist of small nodes also known as motes. A mote has a number of capabilities which are sensing, computation, and wireless communications. In most cases, there are hundreds to thousands motes in WSNs. Motes can communicate among each other or they can talk to a base station directly. These are done via radio communication. Figure 2.1 illustrates components of a typical mote. As shown in the picture, nodes are deployed in sensing area in an ad hoc manner. Sensor nodes coordinate among themselves to produce high-quality information about the physical environment [11].

Figure 2.1 The components of a sensor node.
2.1 WSN in Real World

Here we introduce some examples from real world where WSNs were deployed and adapted.

- **Animal population monitoring:**

UC Berkely has done a research in order to measure the population and movement pattern of the colonies on the Great Duck Island [12]. To do so, telosB motes has been deployed in underground burrows and plain-air nests. These sensors detect presence of the animals due to the change in receiving signals such as photosensing and acoustic ones. Information about the weather will be collected by humidity and pressure sensors. In this application telosB motes were deployed in the field and on the upper layer some gateway nodes perform the routing task. At the end point, the base station connected to web application will show the real time information. Figure 2.2 illustrates the tiered system architecture for this application.

![Figure 2.2 System architecture for habitat monitoring.](image-url)
• Intrusion detection on battlefields:

WSNs have a wide range of applications in US military, some of the most important cases are surveillance, monitoring and detection missions.

Purpose of A Line in The Sand [13] was to accurately detect hostile people and civilians breaching a certain perimeter. They wanted to classify the people in the groups of civilians, hostile soldiers and army vehicles. There was an interesting result, by using magnetic and radar sensor measurements one can distinguish an unarmed person from a military soldier. Moreover for the tracking purpose of the soldiers, the deployed model was able to perform the task based on influence field-based algorithms. Security in military fields is an important issue since the network should be protected and safe after failures. The key feature of the WSN in this scenario is easy deployment and the reliability with consideration low cost. A typical operation of the tracking in military application is shown in figure 2.3.

Figure 2.3 Event-triggered activity in the object tracking application.
2.2 TelosB Sensor

For this thesis our focus is on the TelosB sensor which has been actively used in many applications in WSN. Tmote Sky or TelosB is an ultra low power wireless module for use in sensor networks, monitoring applications, and rapid application prototyping [14]. In figure 2.4 different parts of the telosB are shown from back and front view.

Some of the most important features of telosB are:

- 250kbps 2.4GHz IEEE 802.15.4 Chipcon Wireless Transceiver
- 8MHz Texas Instruments MSP430 microcontroller (10k RAM, 48k Flash)
- Integrated Humidity, Temperature, and Light sensors
- Ultra low current consumption
- Programming and data collection via USB
2.3 Mote Characteristics

There are some features of motes that are needed to be discussed:

- **Limited resources:** Because of the small size, low cost and low power consumption, physical resources of the sensors are limited. This limitation is not going to change since the benefit of these features can not be ignored.

- **Reactive Concurrency:** Sensors in WSN have a number responsibilities such as information gathering, performing computations on the sampled data, transmitting data and routing data to the other sensors. Many of these tasks need real time handling, therefore a mechanism should be planed to decrease chance of the potential problems regarding the resources and timing issues.

- **Flexibility:** WSN has been used in many different scenarios in real world problems, there is a need for a flexible operating system that can adopt to the application-specific nature of the WSN. In addition, the OS should support fine-grain modularity and interpositioning to simplify reuse and innovation[15].

- **Low Power:** In design process of the sensors size and cost are the key goals. Moreover battery usage is another important challenge. One solution is energy harvesting but it can solve the continuous need of the sensors that must operate for a long time. So in the design of the new operating system for WSN low power operations should be considered and flexibility in power management should be offered as well.
2.4 TinyOS

TinyOS has been introduced as the new operating system that is designed for the sensor networks which is flexible and application-specific. TinyOS solves the main challenges of the WSNs, issues like severe memory, event based application and limited resources. TinyOS solution combines flexible, fine-grain components with an execution model that supports complex yet safe concurrent operations[15]. TinyOS has been implemented in nesC language which is an extension to C that is specifically designed for the structuring concepts and execution model of TinyOS.

Major concepts of the nesC are:

- Programs are made of components and "wiring" term is used for assembling the programs unit. There are two scopes for each component; one that contains the name of the interface instances and the other one for the component implementation.

- The function of the component is provided by its interfaces. Each interface can be provided or be used by the component. The provided ones are for representing the functionality of the component to its user and the used ones represent the functionality of that component must be performed as its job.

- Interfaces are used to link different components together, therefore at the run time efficiency will be increased. Moreover the design will be robust and static analysis of the program will be easier.

- For better code generation and analysis, in the design of the nesC code are generated by the whole program compilers.
2.5 Radio Communication

Radio communication is an integral component of any wireless distributed system. For each sensor, there is a low power radio on its board that enables the node to communicate with other sensors and transmit the sensed data to gateways in the area or directly to the base station.

On TelosB, the Chipcon CC2420 radio is embedded for wireless communications. With sensitivity exceeding the IEEE 802.15.4 specification and low power operation, the CC2420 provides reliable wireless communication [16]. So radio communication is done by the CC2420 which is controlled by the TI MSP430 microcontroller through the SPI port.

2.6 SPI Bus interface

Serial Peripheral Interface or simply SPI is a protocol for communication that was introduced by Motorola. SPI is a simple 4-wire serial bus interface that is used mainly by microcontroller to establish communication with peripheral devices. The SPI interface is a full duplex bus meaning that data can be sent in both directions at the same time. It is a synchronous data link and provides up to 1 megabaud or 10Mbps of speed. In SPI communication there is always a master and one or more slaves. Master is the one that starts the communication and controls the communication. Once the connection is established then data can transmitted on the both sides since the connection is full-duplex. As the peripherals or slaves there some examples we can consider like memory modules EEPROM and FLASH, temperature and pressure sensors and UART module. Figure 2.5 shows SPI protocol for single master and slave.

![Figure 2.5 SPI bus: single master and single slave](image-url)
• The standard SPI protocol has 4 signal wires:

1. Master Out Slave In (MOSI) - generated by Master, Slave is the receiver.

2. Master In Slave Out (MISO) - generated by Slave, Master is receiver.

3. Serial Clock (SCK) - Clock signal generated by the Master in order to synchronize data transfers during the full duplex communication.

4. Slave Select (SS)- most important signal sent by master to Chip Select (CS) pin of slave.

In case of the telosB that we have used in this project, CC2420 which is the radio on the TeolosB, uses SPI to communicate to the MSP430 microcontroller as a slave. This is depicted in figure 2.6 which the block diagram of the MSP430 and its connection to peripherals.

Figure 2.6 Block Diagram
PART 3

CC2420 RADIO

In this part we take a deeper look into CC2420 radio that is used on the TelosB. The CC2420 is a true single-chip 2.4 GHz IEEE 802.15.4 compliant RF transceiver designed for low power and low voltage wireless applications [17].

ZigBee is a keyword for a set of high level protocols that are used for communication in order to set up area networks that include low-power radios. ZigBee is based on an IEEE 802.15 standard. IEEE 802.15 is a standard for the physical layer and media access control for wireless networks that have lower rate. Although these radios are low-power but they can send data to longer distances by using multihop routing, consequently mesh networks will be created. Zigbee is appropriate for using in WSN applications in which lower data rate and longer longevity are desired.

In a typical system such as telosB, CC2420 will be connected to a microcontroller via SPI interface. This microcontroller programs CC2420 via the 4-wire SPI bus interface (SI, SO, SCLK and CSn) as shown in figure 3.1.

![Microcontroller interface example](image)

Figure 3.1 Microcontroller interface example.
As mentioned earlier, CC2420 is IEEE 802.15.4 compliant RF transceiver and on telosB communication between MSP430 microcontroller and CC2420 is implemented via SPI bus. There should be a protection mechanism for information that are transmitted via SPI bus, this is possible via encryption. By using encryption, information should be safe from reading by a unauthorized third party. This is more important in WSNs where sensors are deployed and left unattended. The security is built on a secret key. For IEEE 802.15, standard AES-128 (Advanced Encryption Standard) is used, which has a 128 bit key length encryption.

- **Bus Snooping**

In this section we investigate an interesting paper [18]. The mote that is used in this paper is telosB which has the CC2420 as the radio chip. They have explained in the SPI communication between CC2420 and MSP430 microcontroller AES-128 Keys are sent by SPI as cleartext. This is a security issue that an attacker can take advantage of the fact that security keys must be loaded over the SPI bus. Therefore by snooping the SPI bus and capturing SPI traffic live, an attacker can read the AES-128 key and later use it for malicious attacks. Figure 3.2 shows a closer look at CC2420 on the telosB mote.

![Figure 3.2 Telosb CC2420 Radio.](image)
In order to snoop the SPI bus, we have to tap one of the SPI pins of the CC2420 using oscilloscope probe. As explained earlier SPI has four pins: SCL, MOSI, MISO, and SS. The Serial Clock or SCL is used as an output from the master to synchronize communication with the slave. Data lines are MOSI and MISO. Slave Select or SS indicates the selection of a particular slave chip in this case CC2420. Since we use two probes on the oscilloscope, we just tap the clock pin SCL and one of the data lines. Tapping the data line is depicted in figure 3.3.

![Figure 3.3 Tapping the SPI data line.](image)

By finding and watching the clock and data lines on the oscilloscope, in order to sniff the SPI traffic a bus adaptor should be used. All that remains is to identify the key in use, or anything else sent over the bus, then we have the security key.
Up to this point we have explained the idea of WSN and Trojans. In part 3 we explained a security issue of the CC2420. TelosB is widely available and used. This mote can measure light and temperature. It communicates wirelessly with other sensors via its radio which is CC2420. In this work, we want to investigate the danger of Trojans in Wireless Sensor Networks. It is a proof of concept to show the ability of the Trojan to infiltrate a typical sensor network that could be remotely deployed for various applications.

The main challenge is that we do not have complicated and professional devices to test that our TelosB boards carry Trojans or not. But the bus snooping that was introduced in the last chapter inspired us to come up with a new idea.

We make an assumption, we simply suppose that our telosB sensor is carrying a Trojan. We take advantage of the security issue of the CC2420 by attacking the SPI bus. We try to inject fake signal via SPI bus in order disrupt radio communication between two telosBs that are transmitting data. So our challenge is to find a way to attack and interrupt the communications between the microcontroller and the radio on one of the motes. As soon as we can disable this communication on the desired motes the mote under attack will stop communicating with other mote.

The idea is to inject a fake signal to the SPI bus on the telosB to interrupt the communication between the MSP430 and CC2420.
4.1 Attacker

We need to set up a device to generate a fake signal. We call this device the **Attacker**. A PIR sensor set up on an Arduino board will be the attacker that we need to generate the fake signal for us.

4.1.1 PIR Sensor

PIR (Passive Infrared) sensors most of the times are used to detect a human target that has moved in or out of the sensor range. Every object emits some low level of radiation and the hotter something is, the more radiation is emitted. PIR sensor is able to detect levels of infrared radiation. Picture of a PIR sensor is shown in figure 4.1.

![Figure 4.1 PIR Sensor.](image)

Most of the PIR sensors have a 3-pin connection at the side or bottom. Two of them are ground and power and the final one is signal. When the PIR detects motion in its range, the output pin or signal will go “high”. We set up the PIR sensor on an Arduino Uno.
4.1.2 **Arduino Uno**

The Arduino Uno is a microcontroller board based on the ATmega328 [19]. It is designed with 14 pins as digital input/output. Each of these pins can be used either as input or output. This is possible via functions such as pinMode(), digitalWrite(), and digitalRead() functions. Arduino Uno is depicted in figure 4.2.

Some of the pins also provide special functions. For SPI communication with other devices via the SPI library:

- 10 (SS- Slave Select) - the master uses to enable/ disable Slave,
- 11 (MOSI- Master Out Slave In) - Sending data to the peripherals,
- 12 (MISO- Master In Slave Out) - Sending data to the master,
- 13 (SCK - Serial Clock) - Synchronize data transmission generated by the master.

Figure 4.2 Arduino Uno.
4.2 Experiment

We want to tap the SPI bus which is the communication interface between the radio and microcontroller on the TelosB. We set up an attacker to generate a fake signal so we can use it to tap the SPI bus on telosB. This attacker will be the Arduino along with the PIR sensor connected to that. As mentioned earlier, PIR sensor is used to detect movement in its range.

Two telosB motes are running "RadioCountToLeds" application, each mote will act as a 4Hz counter which broadcasts its counter value each time it gets updated. The other node that hears a counter as a packet, it then displays the bottom three bits on its LEDs. In this scenario, radios of the motes are actively involved and wireless communication between the motes is running via radio and it is visible by the LEDs on the sensor.

On the Arduino board, whenever the PIR sensor detects a motion, there will a high signal on its output pin. This is what we called fake signal. We want to use it to tap the SPI bus on the telosB.

As the first part of experiment, we tried to tap the bus using the fake signal directly. It was not successful and nothing happened after tapping the SPI bus(Motes were still communicating and LEDs were blinking). We realized that if we want to tap a SPI bus, a SPI interface should be set up between the Arduino and the telosB under attack.

Figure 4.3 is taken from telosB datasheet where we marked the pins that are needed for SPI interface. On the telosB three wires of the SPI 4-Wire protocol are accessed via U2 expansion header. These are SS, MOSI and SCL. The last SPI pin will be directly attached to MISO pin on the MCU. Next step is two connect the SPI pins on the mote and Arduino together as explained in figure 4.4 and figure 4.5.
Figure 4.3 Pins used for SPI interface on TelosB.

SS pin of the Arduino (pin 10) goes to the TelosB’s ADC1 pin, which is pin 5 on the U2 header.
MISO pin of the Arduino (pin 12) goes directly to the (Radio_SO) of the MSP430 of the TelosB board.
MOSI pin of the Arduino (pin 11) goes to the TelosB’s (I2C_Data) (I2C_SDA) pin, which is pin 8 on the U2 header,
SCL pin of the Arduino (pin 13) goes to the TelosB’s (I2C_Clock) (I2C_SCL) pin, which is pin 6 on the U2 header.

Figure 4.4 SPI Interface Configuration.
On the Arduino, we also use a red LED to visualize the output pin of the PIR sensor, whenever this pin will be high, LED turns on. Also it should be noted that there is no data on the MISO pin since we do not send any data from telosB as the slave to Arduino as the Master.

The most important pin in the SPI communication is SS pin as it tells the telosB that it has been selected as the Slave. But as soon as we tap the MOSI pin on the telosB the radio communication stops and the motes can not communicate to each other any more. Similar case is when we tapped the clock pin and radio communication stops as well but interesting point is that when we use the CLK pin for tapping, radio communication will resume after the interruption. This is the result that we were looking for.
PART 5

CONCLUSIONS

In recent years wireless sensor networks (WSN) have been used in many important applications such as remote environmental monitoring and target tracking. This has been enabled because important features of the sensors in WSN. They are cheap, small and intelligent. Moreover, they are equipped with wireless radio chips which they can use in order to communicate with each another in the network.

This work was a proof of concept. Our purpose was to show the ability of Hardware Trojans to infiltrate a sensor network that could be remotely deployed for various applications. We explained the security issue of the telosB mote that has been used widely in WSN. An attacker can participate in the network and makes some interruption by taking advantages of the SPI bus that is used for the radio communication on the telosB. We proved that Hardware Trojans should be taken into consideration as they are an important threat to the WSN, especially because motes will be left unattended in the field and it might be easy for the attackers to access them and by some malicious activities then will be able to participate in the network. This research is important due to the dearth of knowledge on the subject. Currently, software security is given great importance. Our research shows that the same level of importance must be given to hardware to ensure a trusted and secure environment.

As the future work, we would like to focus on injecting some malicious code or data to compromise the collected data by a sensor. In this way, we will create a malicious sensing component. This malicious node can later serve as an internal threat in a deployed WSN.
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