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ABSTRACT

Nucleic acids are complex macromolecules that can store and transfer information for generations; they are an integral part of the central dogma of molecular biology. Structural determination of nucleic acids is crucial to learn about their characteristics and functions. However, there are two problems in determining the structure of a nucleic acid: the phasing problem and the crystallization problem. Selenium modification of nucleic acids is an ideal way to counter both issues. This thesis paper focuses on the synthesis of 2’-SeMe-arabino modification of uridine and cytidine as they both may enhance the crystallization capabilities of B-form DNA. Advances in these studies may lead to the discovery of new drugs and therapeutics designed to target diseases with minimum dosage and highest precision possible.
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1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Nucleic acid and central dogma

Nucleic acids are complex macromolecules that store information and transfers it from one generation to the next. Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) are composed of long strange of nucleotides, with one hydroxy group per sugar being the main difference between them. Each nucleotide is split into three groups: a nitrogenous base, a five-carbon sugar and a phosphate group. DNAs contain the phosphate-deoxyribose sugar backbone and any one of the nitrogenous bases adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C) and thymine (T) while RNAs contain the phosphate-ribose sugar backbone with any one of the same nitrogenous bases except thymine. RNA has the nitrogenous base uracil (U) instead of thymine (T).

The storage and transformation of genetic information can be explained via the central dogma. Put forth originally by Francis Crick, the central dogma has been and still is a subject of intense debate and scrutiny. However, some of the main factors behind the survival of the central dogma are how it gives a simplified explanation to the storage and transfer of genetic information from DNA to RNA to proteins,. The central dogma is not a chemical concept, but rather an informational one. The central dogma, like other scientific theories, is subject to modification once new discoveries are made. The central dogma postulates that there is no transfer of information from protein to nucleic acid. However, some exceptions have been discovered that may devalue the central dogma as an absolute principle. For example: screening of prions, agents of analog, protein conformation-based inheritance that can confer beneficial phenotypes to cells, has revealed that in eukaryotic organisms such as fungi, the flow of information is from proteins to the genome, a direct violation of the central dogma¹. Regardless, the central dogma does show the principal route of genetic information transfer.
Such is the importance of the central dogma that it has helped scientists and researchers understand the biology of living beings from birth to death. The central dogma also helped to understand how certain diseases affect human beings, e.g., various forms of cancer. Initially, drugs were used to target proteins in hopes of disease intervention. With advances in technology and medicine, the focus is gradually shifting from targeting proteins to targeting nucleic acid activities.

1.2 Challenges faced in the structure determination of nucleic acids

Structural determination of nucleic acids is an on-going field of research, which has led to the discovery of several nucleic-acid targeting disease-inhibiting drugs. Currently, the most successful method to determine the structure of a macromolecule is X-ray crystallography. However, there are two problems associated with the X-ray crystallography of nucleic acids: crystallization and phasing.

1.2.1 Crystallization

X-ray crystallography necessitates that the macromolecules to form crystals before the structure can be determined. Formation of good crystals is a time-consuming process. For a native nucleic acid, it can take weeks and sometimes months to form a crystal capable of generating good diffraction data. Crystallization can take many trials and before a crystal yields good data; this can involve months of trials and waiting. The surface of a nucleic acid is full of repetitive phosphate
groups with negative charges on each. Moreover, the buffer conditions for crystallography usually have a high concentration of salt. These buffer conditions favor A-form DNA duplexes much more than B-form DNA duplexes. B-form DNAs are more naturally available than A-form DNAs, which is why the crystallization studies of B-form DNAs are of high importance.

1.2.2 Phasing

Assuming the crystallography of nucleic acid molecules leads to the formation of a viable crystal, it is then analyzed for diffraction data. During that analysis, an electron density map is constructed. This is usually done with the application of the Fourier transformation. In the Fourier transformation, there are two major variables which are necessary to accurately calculate the electron density, the structure factor amplitude $F$ and phase of the reflection $\alpha$. The diffraction experiment can usually measure the amplitude. However, the phase of the reflection $\alpha$ is not directly available from that experiment. Phase is a descriptive term for electromagnetic waves, such as X-ray. When two waves of same or similar wavelengths add or cancel each other, interference occurs. The interference can either be constructive or destructive. There can be constructive or destructive interference among the diffracted X-ray beams by nucleic acid crystals. Due to the interference, the electron density map calculation does not generate the correct crystal structure. To address the issue, several strategies have been applied, as listed in Table 1.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct method</td>
<td>Short oligonucleotides and high-resolution data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular replacement</td>
<td>Good homolog model</td>
<td>Heavy metal cation soaking or co-crystallization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomorphous replacement</td>
<td>Heavy-atom derivatization</td>
<td>Bromine derivatization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAD/SAD phasing</td>
<td>Heavy-atom derivatization</td>
<td>Indirect selenium derivatization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Se-Met-U1A method)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct selenium derivatizations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the presence of a similar model, molecular replacement can be a simple method to solve the phase problem. However, the molecular replacement method is more difficult to apply on nucleic acids because most nucleic acids structures are novel and tightly stacked. Which is why, nucleic acids require a method that utilizes heavy atom derivatization. Isomorphous replacement, an ideal method for the phasing of protein molecules, caused random hydrolyzation of the phosphate backbone, breaking down the nucleic acid structure into several units. To create a complete data set, several isomorphous native crystals and heavy atom derivatives were necessary, making the isomorphous replacement method costly and time consuming. In this respect, multi-wavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD) phasing is simpler, because it only requires one native crystal, as opposed to several native crystals in the isomorphous replacement method.

1.3 Selenium derivatization of nucleic acids

There are several heavy atoms that can be used for MAD phasing. One of those atoms is selenium. Selenium has the K absorption edge (0.9795 Å). This wavelength is an ideal wavelength for X-ray diffraction experiments at most synchrotron radiation facilities. Moreover, nucleic acids derivatized with a selenium atom show minimal structural perturbation. Huang, Egli, first described the concept of a selenium modified nucleic acid, where selenium is substituted with oxygen at various key points within the molecule of a nucleic acid. Through repeated experimentation, it has been found that the selenium modification of nucleic acid, combined with multiple wavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD) phasing, addresses the crystallization and phasing problem of nucleic acid X-ray crystallography. Moreover, compared with the 5-halogen derivatized nucleic acid molecules, Se-derivatized molecules are comparatively unaffected by radiation damage, this is advantageous especially as selenium provides the same phasing power.
as bromine⁶. Moreover, as selenium and oxygen are both group VI-A elements, the use of selenium provides more options for derivatization sites compared to the halogen atoms, as showcased in figure 1.2. The literature shows that selenium facilitates the crystallization and structure determination of the derivatized nucleic acids without significant perturbations to the overall nucleic acid structure⁸⁻¹⁵.

![Diagram of selenium modification in nucleic acids](image)

*Figure 1.2: The different positions of selenium modification in NA²*

Studies have been done on nucleic acid molecules with selenium derivatization at the 2’ position on the sugar. These studies have shown that this specific modification has led to enhanced crystallization of the B-form DNA’s¹⁶. This is a theoretical study showcasing how the 2’-SeMe modification can facilitate crystal growth of A-form DNA by destabilizing the B-form helix. Due to steric hindrance with neighboring residues, the large methylseleno group cannot tolerate the B-helix geometry. The destabilization fits well in the minor groove of the A-form helix. The groove acts as the origin of the B-form to A-form conversion. This conversion facilitates crystallization.
Recent studies on the selenium derivatization at specific locations

As discussed in 1.3, selenium and oxygen are both group VI A elements. Due to functional similarities between the two, selenium derivatization provides more options for derivatization targets on the nucleic acid molecule compared to the traditional halogen derivatization.

1.4.1 Selenium modification on the sugar and phosphate

This study utilizes a protection-free one-pot triphosphate synthesis strategy\(^7\). Normally, the synthesis pathway for most selenium derivatization studies calls for the introduction of protecting groups so that the selenium modification can take place on a specific target on the nucleic acid. However, using the protection free strategy, Lin et al. successfully synthesized nucleoside 5’-(α-P-seleno)-triphosphates (NTPαSe) and incorporated them into RNAs by T7 RNA polymerase\(^17\). During the triphosphate synthesis, the phosphorylating reagent was generated in situ. No purification was required. The reaction was highly regioselective at the 5’-hydroxyl group of
nucleosides containing no protecting group on the sugar or the nucleobases. The selenium was introduced after being treated with the phosphitylating reagent by adding 3H-1,2-benzothaselenol-3-one (BTSe) at room temperature. Hydrolysis was the next step. Instead of traditional HPLC, the NTPnSe analogs were purified via the boronate affinity method.

![Figure 1.4: Synthesis scheme of the NTPnSe Analogs](image)

### 1.4.2 Selenium modifications on the nitrogenous base

In the year 2013, Salon et al. successfully synthesized the 6-Se-G phosphoramidite. It was used as a probe to study the RNA secondary structure. Compared to the duplex structure, the 6-Se modification fit better in the bulge and wobble structure. Salon’s group carried out crystallization of the 6-Se-G-modified-RNA/DNA/RNase H complex. Analysis revealed that the 6-Se modification significantly increased the quality of the generated crystals, even though the 6-Se modifications were removed naturally via hydrolysis. The native RNA complex with the DNA and RNase H gave resolution of 2.70 Å, while the 6-Se modified RNA complex with DNA and RNase H gave a resolution of 1.60 Å.

### 1.5 Selenium modified nucleic acids in drug design and therapeutics

Structure-based drug design (SBDD) has been very popular with proteins but less so with nucleic acids. SBDD requires the accurate structure of the macromolecule being used to be predetermined. By facilitating crystallization and improving the quality of crystals, selenium-modified nucleic acids can enhance structure based drug design of nucleic acids. As the 3D
structures become more detailed, researchers can better understand the drug target structure and the interactions between the drug targets and small molecule ligands.

The major oligonucleotides therapeutics containing antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), aptamers, ribozymes and siRNAs, were studied extensively for over 30 years. Unfortunately, there are only 6 nucleic acid-based drugs that are FDA approved since 2017^{19}. There are several barriers when it comes to structure-based drug design using nucleic acids including low affinity, poor delivery, vulnerability to nucleases and off-target effects. To overcome these barriers, various chemical modification of nucleic acids have been synthesized, including phosphorothioate (PS), 2’-O-methyl (2’-OMe), locked nucleic acids (LNAs), phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer (PMO)^{20} and so on. By altering the structure and charge of the oligonucleotides, these nucleic acid chemical modifications can increase affinity, nuclease resistance, delivery and reduce off-target effects. One study of Se-modified nucleic acids showed that the replacement of the non-bridging oxygens on the phosphate backbone could protect the oligonucleotide from nuclease degradation^{21}.\textsuperscript{22} Another study on 2’-SeMe modified oligonucleotides showed that the modification reduced multiple conformations by destabilizing unfavorable structures, potentially increasing the affinity and specificity of those selenium modified nucleic acids\textsuperscript{16}. Anticancer activity of selenium modified nucleotides was also reported.\textsuperscript{23}

These results tell us that studies to accurately determine the structure of nucleic acids is vitally important for nucleic acids to be used in structure-based drug design and therapeutics. The unique properties of selenium-modified nucleic acids show great potential in drug development and clinical therapeutics. However, selenium-modified nucleic acid studies are costly, a primary factor for the studies being limited. This may prevent the broader application of selenium-modified
nucleic acids. With time and progress in these studies, application of selenium-modified nucleic acids may be achieved in SBDD and clinical therapeutics.

1.6 Purpose of the study

Prior to the structure determination of any selenium-modified nucleic acid molecule, it is vitally important to design, develop and improve upon a synthetic scheme for the selenium modified nucleotide, around which the DNA oligonucleotide can be synthesized. The purpose of the synthetic pathway is the regioselective introduction of the selenium atom and introducing protecting groups at target points of the nucleotide to synthesize the target product during the oligonucleotide synthesis. It is also important to maximize the yield at each step of the synthesis scheme and to ensure optimum purity at each step.

This study primarily focused on the 2’-arabino modification of uridine and cytidine, where commercially available uridine is the starting material for both of the synthesis studies. Studies were done previously where the 2’-alpha modification was tested to enhance the crystallization capabilities of A-form DNA. Studies to test the 2’-arabino modification to enhance the crystallization capabilities of B-form DNAs have been done. One of these studies shall be briefly discussed in the upcoming sections. Those studies served as a valuable reference to develop the synthetic strategies for this study. This thesis studies the synthesis steps and eventual results of 2-SeMeANA-U and 2-SeMeANA-C.
2 SYNTHESIS OF 2’-SeMeANA-U

2.1 Introduction

To better understand how to proceed with the synthesis of the 2’-SeMeANA-U, previous studies were explored. The synthesis schemes established in those studies helped were used as the foundation to establish the final synthesis scheme for 2’-SeMeANA-U.

2.1.1 Synthesis of 2’SeMe-U and its oligonucleotides

There have been studies showcasing the successful addition of selenium on the uridine nucleotide, followed by the corresponding structure and function studies of its oligonucleotides. In the year 2002, Du and Teplova et al. carried out a study where selenium was introduced onto the 2’ position of the uridine nucleotide, followed by the synthesis, structure and function study of its DNA oligonucleotide\(^5,6\). The selenium at the 2’ position was introduced as an \(\alpha\) modification and it retained the 3’-endo sugar puckering of the A-form DNA and RNA molecules. Comparison of the crystal structures of the modified A-form oligonucleotide with the native oligonucleotide showed very little structural perturbation.

![Synthesis of the 2’-SeMe-U phosphoramidite](image)

*Figure 2.1: Synthesis of the 2’-SeMe-U phosphoramidite*\(^5\)

2.1.2 Synthesis of 2’-SeMeANA-dT

In 2018, Lingrui Zheng attempted the synthesis of 2’-SeMeANA-dT as part of his master’s thesis. The initial attempted synthesis scheme is shown in Figure (2.2)
Figure 2.2: Initial attempted synthesis of 2'-SeMeANA-dT

In the scheme, Zheng attempted to protect the 2’-OH group and then introduce Boc protection on the amino group at the base. Once the amino group was protected, the 2’ position was deprotected, and underwent mesylation to activate the 2’ position for subsequent introduction of the Se-methyl.

In the current work, the synthesis scheme was simplified to maximize the yield. In the simplified reaction scheme, the 2’ position was no longer protected while the protections on the 3’ and 5’ positions and the amino group on the base were introduced like before. Omitting the one protection step made no significant change in the overall purity of the reaction product while giving a higher yield. The final, simplified scheme is shown in figure 2.3.
This synthetic scheme (figure 2.3) was used as a reference to develop a final synthetic scheme for 2'-SeMeANA-U.

2.2 Results and Discussion

Commercially available uridine (compound 1) was treated with tetraisopropyldisilylene (TIPDS) to protect the 3’ and 5’ hydroxyl groups. Then the product (compound 2) was treated with benzylxoxymethyl acetal (BOM) to protect the 3-N amino group. To activate the 2’OH group the product (compound 3) was treated with methanesulfonyl chloride. To generate the Se-Me nucleophile, dimethyl diselenide was dissolved in anhydrous THF, treated with n-butyllithium solution at -78°C. This solution was introduced to the activated compound 4 at 60°C. After the incorporation of selenium, the BOM protecting group from compound 5 dissolved in anhydrous THF was deprotected at -78°C by treatment with BBBr₃. This reaction was quenched with a mixture
of triethylamine (TEA) and isopropanol in a 1:1 v/v ratio. It is important that the isopropanol is anhydrous to prevent protic solvents such as methanol or water from displacing the Se-Me from the 2’ position (Figure 2.5). **Compound 6** was treated with 3HF:TEA to remove the TIPDS group and generate **Compound 7**. The 5’ hydroxyl group on **compound 7** was selectively protected with the DMTr protecting group. Lastly, the 2’SeMeANA-U (**compound 8**) was converted to its phosphoramidite. This final step gave an overall 90% yield (Figure 2.4).

*Figure 2.4: Final synthesis scheme for 2’-SeMeANA-U*
NMR and MALDI-TOF analysis were performed at key steps of the novel synthesis scheme to ensure that the desired product was synthesized. In the $^1$H NMR spectra of compound 3, the tall peaks with a chemical shift between 1 ppm to 1.10 ppm indicated that the 3’ and 5’ hydroxy groups were protected by TIPDS. After BOM protection, multiple peaks with chemical shifts between 4.5 ppm to 5.5 ppm. These peaks corresponded to the hydrogen protons within the BOM protecting group. The hydrogen protons closer to the aromatic ring shifted more to the right (lower ppm) while the hydron protons closer to the base of the nucleotide shifted more to the left (higher ppm). There were also peaks in the aromatic region of the NMR spectra (7.27 ppm to 7.37 ppm), which came from the aromatic ring of the BOM protecting group. After the activation of the 2’-OH with methanesulfonyl chloride, there was a peak observed, which had a chemical shift of 3.28 ppm while changes in coupling patterns could be seen on adjacent peaks ($^1$H NMR, compound 4). After selenium addition at the 2’ position, a singlet was observed with a chemical shift of 2.08 ppm ($^1$H NMR, compound 5). Prior to the introduction of selenium, the
hydrogen proton at the 1’ position of the sugar had maintained singlet coupling with chemical shifts between 5.70 ppm to 5.75 ppm (1H NMR, compounds 3 and 4). After selenium addition, the 1’H proton coupling changed from singlet coupling to doublet coupling, with chemical shifts between 6.40 ppm to 6.55 ppm (1H NMR, compound 5). This confirmed that the selenium introduction was in the β position and not the α position. MS analysis after selenium introduction also showcased the predicted selenium isotopic distribution (Figure 2.6). BOM deprotection, followed by TIPDS deprotection removed the respective peaks from the NMR spectra whilst changing the coupling patterns of the peaks that were still present in the NMR. After the DMTr group was introduced to the 5’ position, a singlet was observed with chemical shifts at approximately 3.80 ppm corresponding to the hydrogen protons on the methoxy groups in the DMTr. The hydrogen protons in the DMTr aromatic rings had chemical shifts between 7.25 ppm to 7.46 ppm. MS analysis was done on the phosphoramidite to confirm the final product. The detailed characterization data can be found in the experimental section while the relevant NMR and MS spectra can be found in Appendix B.1.
Figure 2.6: Mass spectrum focusing on selenium isotopic distribution; [M+Na]$^+$: 707.2105 (calc. 707.2063).

It was observed that the reactions to generate the products prior to selenium incorporation were clean, did not form by-products. These synthesis steps are quite similar to the synthesis steps in previous studies for the 2′Se-modified guanosine$^8$ and 2′Se-modified adenosine$^9$. Since this study focused on the β-modification instead of the α, the protecting groups involved are different and the synthesis scheme is novel. Particularly with the introduction of the methyl selenium at the 2′ position and with the steps going forward, flash chromatography became vitally important. Because thin-layer chromatography (TLC) would sometimes show multiple spots, instead of one. If there are by-products formed during a reaction and they have UV-Absorption, it will show on the TLC plate, along with the target product. Another problem with these reactions would be that all the starting material would not react, and this would also show during the TLC. Silica gel chromatography would help to isolate the target product from the unreacted starting material and the unwanted by-products and the recovered product containing solvents would then be dried under pressure under vacuum to obtain the pure product.
Besides the selenium incorporation step, the BOM deprotection step and the TIPDS deprotection step presented a unique challenge in comparison with the rest of the synthesis scheme for 2'-SeMeANA-U. Deprotection of the protecting groups from the nitrogenous base and the 3’ and 5’ positions on the sugar made the compound increasingly polar and it was evidenced by TLC. It was during these two steps that moisture prevention from the reaction was vitally important and argon purging helped to prevent moisture from interacting with the reaction and forming by-products.

2.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, the final synthetic scheme was devised for the formation of 2'-SeMeANA-U (Figure 2.4). This synthetic scheme ensured the successful synthesis of the 2’-SeMe-arabino uridine phosphoramidite. The phosphoramidite product generated from the synthesis was utilized by Dr. Cen Chen to carry out the synthesis of several DNA oligonucleotides for structure and function studies. The synthetic scheme developed for the synthesis of the Se-modified uridine phosphoramidite can be used to synthesize several oligonucleotides to carry out structure and function studies. The results from these studies can help us understand the behavior of selenium modified arabino uridine oligonucleotides for possible applications in SBDD or even therapeutics.

2.4 Experimental section

2.4.1 General

Most solvents and reagents were purchased from Sigma, Fluka, or Aldrich (PA) and used without purification, unless otherwise specified. Solid reagents were dried under high vacuum when it was necessary to do so. Reactions with compounds sensitive to air or moisture were performed under argon purging. Solvent mixtures are indicated as volume/volume ratios. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck 60 F254 plates (0.25 mm thick). TLC spots
were visualized under UV light. Column purification was performed using Fluka silica gel 60 (mesh size 0.040-0.063 mm) using a silica gel and crude compound weight ratio of ca. 30:1. ¹H spectra were recorded using Bruker-300 or 400 (300 or 400 MHz). All chemical shifts (δ) are in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane and all coupling constants (J) are in Hz. High resolution (HR) MS were either obtained with electrospray ionization (ESI) on a Q-TOFTM Waters Micromass at Georgia State University.

2.4.2 Synthetic steps and characterization

2.4.2.1 Tetraisopropylsiloxanylidene (TIPDS) protection

![TIPDS protection at the 3’ and 5’ positions](image)

Figure 2.7: TIPDS protection at the 3’ and 5’ positions

3’,5’-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-uridine (compound 2): Compound 1 (11 g, 49.1 mmol) was dissolved in 250 mL anhydrous pyridine and the solution was purged with dry argon. The reaction was placed in an ice-bath. 1,3-dichloro-1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyl-disiloxane (12.8 mL, 58.9 mmol) was added dropwise. After the addition, the reaction was taken off the ice-bath and stirred at room temperature for 5 h. TLC was performed with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. 5 mL of methanol was added to quench the reaction. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Upon evaporation of the solvents, the product was dissolved in 300 mL methylene chloride. The organic solution was washed with 7 mL HCl (3 M), 75 mL
saturated sodium bicarbonate, 100 mL water and 100 mL brine. The aqueous layer was separated from the organic layer. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered to remove the MgSO₄ and then concentrated in vacuum. The final product was a dry, white solid. The product was used in the next step without further purification. \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) (ppm): 1.03-1.09 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi ), 3.28 (br, s, 1H, OH), 4.01 (dd, \(J_1\)=2.2 Hz, \(J_2\)=13.1 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 4.09 (d, \(J\)=8.4 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.18 (m, 2H, H-2’, H-5’), 4.38 (dd, \(J_1\)=4.7 Hz, \(J_2\)=8.6 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 5.67 (d, \(J\)=5.71 (d, \(J\)=8.1 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 7.66 (d, \(J\)=8.1 Hz 1H, H-6), 8.52 (br, s, 1H, NH). \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum is identical to the literature²⁴.

2.4.2.2 Benzyloxymethyl acetal (BOM) protection

\[
\text{Figure 2.8: BOM protection on the amino group}
\]

\(3',5'-O-(1,1,3,3\text{-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl})\text{-N3-(benzyloxymethylacetal)}\text{-uridine (compound 3): compound 2 (6.5 g, 13.4 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL THF. 1,8-diazabicyclo(5.4.0)undec-7-ene (DBU, 4 mL, 26.8 mmol) was added to the mixture. The mixture was treated with benzyl chloromethyl ether (2.8 mL, 20.1 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 2 h. TLC was done with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The dried product was then washed with 50 mL water and 70 mL brine. The organic layer was separated from the aqueous layer, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and then concentrated in vacuum. The residue was purified by silica gel}
chromatography (25 % ethyl acetate in hexane) to give about the desired product with 80% yield.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 1.02-1.10 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 2.90 (br, s, 1H, OH), 4.00 (dd, $J_1$=2.8 Hz, $J_2$=13.2 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 4.10 (dt, $J_1$=3.7 Hz, $J_2$=8.5 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.13 (d, $J$=4.9 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 4.21 (dd, $J_1$=1.4 Hz, $J_2$=13.3 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 4.34 (dd, $J_1$=4.9 Hz, $J_2$=8.8 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 4.72 (s, 2H, CH$_2$OCH$_2$Ph), 5.47 (d, $J$=2.4 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$OCH$_2$Ph), 5.71 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 5.73 (s, 1H, H-1’), 7.38-7.26 (m, 6H, aromatic, H-6), 7.64 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). HRMS (ESI): C$_{29}$H$_{46}$N$_2$O$_8$Si$_2$; [M+Na]$^+$: 629.1387 (calc. 629.2690)

2.4.2.3 Activation of the 2’ OH group

![Diagram of activation process]

Figure 2.9: Activating the 2’ OH group with methanesulfonyl chloride

2’-O-Mesyl-3’,5’-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropylidisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-N3-(benzyloxymethyl acetal)-uridine (compound 4): Compound 3 (12.5 g, 20.6 mmol) was dissolved in 150 mL THF. Triethylamine (11.5 mL, 82.4 mmol) was then added to the mixture. To this solution, methanesulfonyl chloride (3.2 mL, 41.2 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. TLC was performed with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The dried product was dissolved in 150 mL methylene chloride. The organic solution was washed with 50 mL water and 50 mL brine. After washing, the aqueous layer and organic layer were separated. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, filtered and then concentrated in vacuum. The derived residue was
purified by silica gel chromatography (15 % ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the desired product. This reaction had a 90% yield. $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 1.04-1.11 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 3.28 (s, 3H, O$_3$SCH$_3$), 3.99 (dd, $J_1$=2.3 Hz, $J_2$=13.7 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 4.10 (dd, $J_1$=1.9 Hz, $J_2$=9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.25-4.30 (m, 2H, H-3’, H-5’), 4.71 (s, 2H, CH$_2$OCH$_2$Ph), 4.97 (d, $J$=4.4 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 5.48 (m, 2H, CH$_2$OCH$_2$Ph), 5.72 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 5.79 (s, 1H, H-1’), 7.26-7.37 (m, 5H, aromatic), 7.74 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). HRMS (ESI): C$_{30}$H$_{48}$N$_2$O$_{10}$SSi$_2$; [M+H]$^+$: 685.1212 (calc. 685.2646).

2.4.2.4 Selenium introduction at the 2’ position

![Figure 2.10: Methyl selenium addition at the 2' position](image)

$^{3',5'}$-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-N3-(benzyloxymethylacetal)-2'-methylseleno-arabinouridine (compound 5): Dimethyl diselenide (Me$_2$Se$_2$, 2.4 mL, 25.3 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (25 mL). This reaction is very sensitive to moisture and so the reaction was purged under argon. This reaction is also sensitive to temperature and so the solution was cooled to -78°C by immersing the reaction flask in an acetone/dry ice bath. In the ice bath, the reaction was stirred for about 10-15 min to allow the temperature to lower. At this stage, n-butyllithium solution (5 mL, 2.5 M in hexane, 12.6 mmol) was added dropwise using a syringe. The reaction was warmed up to room temperature slowly. In a separate round-bottom flask, Compound 4 (4.3 g, 6.3 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL anhydrous THF. After the starting
material was dissolved, it was then transferred to the reaction flask containing the dimethyl diselenide using a syringe. The reaction mixture was heated up to 65°C and stirred overnight. To verify completion of the reaction, thin-layer chromatography was performed with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The reaction solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated solution was then re-dissolved in 100 mL ethyl acetate. The organic solution was washed with 30 mL water and 40 mL brine. The organic and aqueous layers were separated. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and then evaporated to dryness. The crude product was then purified by silica gel chromatography (10% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give desired product as a white solid. This reaction had a yield of 60%. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.03-1.11 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 2.08 (s, 3H, SeCH₃), 3.64 (dd, J₁=7.0 Hz, J₂=10.1 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 3.76 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.04 (dd, J₁=2.7 Hz, J₂=13.2 Hz, 1H, Ha-5’), 4.13 (dd, J₁=1.7 Hz, J₂=13.2 Hz, 1H, Hb-5’), 4.18 (dd, J₁=8.6 Hz, J₂=9.7 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 4.68 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂OCH₂Ph), 5.51 (s, 2H, CH₂OCH₂Ph), 5.75 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.40 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 7.28-7.37 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.58 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). HRMS (ESI): C₃₀H₄₈N₂O₇SeSi₂; [M+Na]⁺: 707.2105 (calc. 707.2063).

2.4.2.5 Benzyloxymethyl acetal (BOM) deprotection

![Figure 2.11: BOM deprotection from the amino group](image-url)
3',5'-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-2'-methylseleno-arabinouridine (compound 6): Compound 5 (1.7 g, 2.5 mmol) was placed in a three neck round bottom flask. The flask was purged with argon. The starting material was dissolved in 15 mL anhydrous toluene. The toluene was injected into the round bottom flask with a syringe. The solution was placed in a dry ice/acetone bath and stirred for 15 min. After the temperature of the solution reached -78°C, a solution of boron tribromide (3.8 mL, 1 M in hexane, 3.8 mmol) was injected. The reaction was stirred at -78°C for 1 h. Thin layer chromatography was done with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The reaction was quenched by adding a mixture of triethylamine and anhydrous isopropanol in a 1:1 volume by volume ratio (2 mL). The solution was removed from the dry-ice bath. After quenching the reaction, the reaction solution was stirred for 1 h to warm up to room temperature. The solvents were then evaporated under reduced pressure, until about 2 mL of the solution was left. The remainder of the solution was then diluted with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic solution was washed with 30 mL water. The water layer was then extracted with ethyl acetate 3 times. Each time, 10 mL of ethyl acetate was used. The combined organic layer was washed with 40 mL brine. The organic layer was then separated from the brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ filtered and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was then purified by silica gel chromatography (15% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the desired product in 70% yield. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.05-1.12 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 2.13 (s, 3H, SeCH₃), 3.64 (dd, \(J₁=7.0\), \(J₂=10.1\) Hz, 1H, H-2’), 3.77 (dt, \(J₁=2.4\), \(J₂=8.1\) Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.05 (dd, \(J₁=2.8\), \(J₂=13.2\) Hz, 1H, Ha-5’), 4.13 (dd, \(J₁=1.9\), \(J₂=13.2\) Hz, 1H, Hb-5’), 4.21 (dd, \(J₁=8.3\), \(J₂=10.0\) Hz, 1H, H-3’), 5.72 (dd, \(J₁=2.0\), \(J₂=8.1\) Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.39 (d, \(J=7.0\), 1H, H-1’), 7.59 (d, \(J=8.2\) 1H, H-6), 9.10 (br, 1H, NH). HRMS (ESI): C₂₂H₄₀N₂O₆SeSi₂; [M-H]⁻: 563.1525 (calc. 563.1512).
2.4.2.6 Tetraisopropyldisiloxanylidene (TIPDS) deprotection

![Diagram of TIPDS deprotection from the 3' and 5' positions](image)

*Figure 2.12: TIPDS deprotection from the 3' and 5' positions (U)*

5-Methyl-2'-methylseleno-arabinouridine (compound 7): Compound 6 (760 mg, 1.35 mmol) was first dissolved in 5 mL anhydrous THF. The solution was then treated with 0.22 mL of triethylamine trihydrofluoride (3HF·Et₃N). After addition of the 3HF·Et₃N the solution was heated to 40°C and stirred for 2 hours. TLC was done with 10% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was then subjected to silica gel chromatography with 5% methanol in dichloromethane to obtain the pure product. HRMS (ESI): C₁₀H₁₄N₂O₅Se; [M-H]: 320.9982 (calc. 320.9990).

2.4.2.7 Introduction of the dimethoxytrityl group (DMTr-) at the 5' position

![Diagram of introduction of the dimethoxytrityl group](image)

*Figure 2.13: Tritylation of the 5' OH group (U)*
5’-O-(4,4’-dimethoxytrityl)-5-methyl-2’-methylseleno-arabinouridine (compound 8): Compound 7 (450 mg, 1.40 mmol) was dried over high vacuum and co-evaporated with anhydrous pyridine (2 x 10 mL). Then the starting material was dissolved in 15 mL anhydrous pyridine. The reaction was then cooled in an ice-bath. While the reaction was cool, it was then treated with 522 mg (1.54 mmol) of the dimethoxytrityl chloride. The reaction was then taken off the ice bath and allowed to reach room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 2 h. Thin layer chromatography was done with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated product was dissolved in 30 mL methylene chloride, then washed with 20 mL water and 30 mL brine. The aqueous layer and organic layer were separated from each other. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and then evaporated to dryness. The crude product underwent silica gel chromatography with 3% MeOH in methylene chloride with 1% Et₃N as the eluent. The final product for this step was a white solid with 90% yield. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.13 (s, 3H, SeCH₃), 2.66 (d, J=4.1 Hz, 1H, 3’-OH), 3.48 (dd, J₁=3.8 Hz, J₂=10.7 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 3.57 (dd, J₁=3.3 Hz, J₂=10.8 Hz, 1H, H-5’), 3.61 (dd, J₁=7.3 Hz, J₂=8.3 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 3.81 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.88 (dt, J₁=3.6 Hz, J₂=6.1 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.30 (td, J₁=4.0 Hz, J₂=7.9 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 5.72 (dd, J₁=2.0, J₂=8.1 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.41 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 6.85-6.87 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.25-7.46 (m, 9H, Ph), 7.59 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H, H-6), 8.95 (br, 1H, NH). HRMS (ESI): C₃₁H₃₁N₂O₇Se; [M-H]: 623.1298 (calc. 623.1296).
2.4.2.8 Phosphoramidite conversion for solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis

Figure 2.14: Phosphoramidite synthesis in preparation for solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis (U)

3’-O-(2-Cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite)-5’-O-(4,4’-dimethoxytrityl)-5-methyl-2’-methylseleno-arabinouridine (compound 9): Compound 8 (680 mg, 1.1 mmol) was dried under high vacuum and dissolved in 5 mL anhydrous methylene chloride (CH$_2$Cl$_2$). To the solution, dimethylethanolamine (0.7 mL, 6.6 mmol) was injected. Lastly, the phosphoramidite reagent 2-cyanoethyl N,N-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite (0.2 mL, 0.8 mmol) was added to the reaction and stirred for about 1 h under dry argon. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated product was then dissolved in 2 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The reaction mixture was then precipitated under vigorous stirring in a 400 mL hexane solution (400 mL). After carefully decanting the hexane solution, the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (30% ethyl acetate in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ containing 1% dimethylethylamine). The purified product was precipitated again in the 400 mL hexane solution. The precipitate was then dissolved in 2 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The solvent was then evaporated. The derived product (659 mg, 80%) was a white foam. HRMS (ESI): C$_{40}$H$_{49}$N$_4$O$_8$PSe; [M+Na]$^+$: 847.2376 (calc. 847.2351).
3 SYNTHESIS OF 2’-SeMeANA-C

3.1 Introduction

Another synthesis scheme that was devised for this study was for the synthesis of 2’-SeMeANA-C. Up to a point in the synthesis scheme, the schemes for this compound and the modified uridine are similar. Initially, an independent synthesis scheme was designed for the modified synthesis. However, that was unsuccessful; the reasons for this were explored in this study.

3.1.1 Selenium modification on cytidine

In one study, 5-methylselenyl-cytidine and its corresponding DNA and RNA nucleotides were synthesized to study the effect of selenium modification on cytidine\textsuperscript{25}. The 5-SeMe modified cytidine nucleotides were similar to the native nucleotides in terms of the crystal structure. The results showcased that selenium modification in that manner did not cause structural perturbations.

In another study, the $\alpha$-modification of the 2’-SeMe-C was synthesized along with its corresponding oligonucleotides for structure and function studies\textsuperscript{26-28}. To synthesize the nucleotide prior to oligonucleotide synthesis, an indirect synthesis scheme was employed, where the cytidine was derived from the conversion of its corresponding uridine derivative. This route was adopted because the direct synthesis of 2’-SeMe-C gave a very low yield. After synthesis of the modified DNAs and RNAs, the structure was determined through X-ray diffraction with MAD phasing. The modified nucleotides exhibited the same 3’-endo sugar pucker as native DNA and the substitution had no effect on the stability of the duplexes in a UV melting study. This demonstrated that the 2’-selenium functionalities were suitable for RNA and A-DNA derivatization for X-ray crystallography.
3.1.2 Problems with direct derivatization of 2'-SeMeANA-C

As part of his dissertation, Dr. Cen Chen initially attempted to synthesize 2'-SeMeANA-C with a direct synthesis scheme shown in figure 3.2.

This reaction scheme was unsuccessful. A computational study by Dr. Chen revealed that the selenium incorporation step has a high energy barrier of 3.2 kcal/mol, significantly higher than...
the uridine derivative with the same leaving group. One of the two ways to ensure success of this synthesis scheme would be to conduct the reaction at high temperature with extremely stable protecting groups. However, this will create problems with deprotection in the subsequent steps. The other way to ensure success of this scheme is to use highly active leaving groups. However, that resulted in the formation of numerous side products. It was concluded that the synthesis scheme was not practical.

As an alternative, we explored the synthesis of 2’-SeMeANA-C indirectly by synthesizing its corresponding uridine derivative and converting it to cytidine after deprotection of the N3 amino group on the uridine base.

3.2 Results and Discussion

Commercially available uridine (compound 1) was treated with tetraisopropylidisilylene (TIPDS) to protect the 3’ and 5’-hydroxyl groups. The product (compound 2) was treated with benzyloxyacetal acetal (BOM) to protect the 3-N amino group. To activate the 2’OH group, the product (compound 3) was treated with mesylate. Dimethyl diselenide was dissolved in anhydrous THF, and treated with n-butyllithium solution at -78°C. This generated the Se-Me nucleophile. This solution was introduced to the activated compound 4 at 60°C. After the incorporation of selenium, the BOM protecting group from compound 5 dissolved in anhydrous THF was deprotected at -78°C by treatment with BBr3. This reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine (TEA) and isopropanol in a 1:1 v/v ratio. It is important that the isopropanol is anhydrous to prevent protic solvents such as methanol or water from displacing the Se-Me from the 2’ position (Figure 2.5). Compound 6 was then treated with phosphoryl chloride and triazole. This activated the 4-O on the base for the uridine to be converted to cytidine. The activated intermediate was then treated with ammonia to complete the conversion of uridine to cytidine.
This compound then went through acetylation to give compound 11. Compound 11 was treated with 3HF.TEA to remove the TIPDS group and generate compound 12. The 5’-hydroxyl group on compound 12 was selectively protected with the DMTr protecting group. Lastly, the 2’SeMeANA-U (compound 13) was converted to its phosphoramidite (compound 14) by treatment with N,N-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite in the presence of dimethylamine in dry CH₂Cl₂ (Figure 3.3).

Figure 3.3: Final synthesis scheme for 2’-SeMeANA-C

The first 5 steps of this synthetic scheme is the same as the synthetic scheme for the modified uridine. After conversion of the uridine to cytidine and protection of the 4-N amino group with acetic anhydride, NMR analysis revealed a singlet peak amongst the other peaks, with a chemical shift of 2.2 ppm corresponding to the hydrogen proton of the methyl group at the protecting group of the 4-N (¹H NMR, compound 11). TIPDS deprotection removed its respective peaks from the NMR spectra (¹H NMR, compound 12). After the DMTr group was
introduced to the 5’ position, a singlet was observed with chemical shifts at approximately 3.80 ppm corresponding to the hydrogen protons on the methoxy groups in the DMTr. The hydrogen protons in the DMTr aromatic rings had chemical shifts between 7.27 ppm to 7.36 ppm, and it could be seen in the NMR spectra (1H NMR, compound 13). MS analysis was done on the phosphoramidite to confirm the final product. The detailed characterization data can be found in the experimental section while the relevant NMR and MS spectra can be found in Appendix B.2.

As previously mentioned, a direct synthesis scheme was devised for the synthesis of 2’-SeMeANA-C. Due to calculated high energy barrier that synthesis scheme was pursued. Therefore, the final product was indirectly synthesized using the already devised synthesis scheme for 2’-SeMeANA-U. After BOM deprotection for the formation of Compound 6, uridine was converted to cytidine. Various protecting groups were tested in a minute scale reaction before proceeding with the reaction at a gram scale. If the reaction was conducted at a temperature that was too high, it would disintegrate the starting material before the formation of the final product, but if the temperature was not high enough, the reaction would not even take place. The reaction time was vitally important because if the reaction was prolonged, then many by-products would form.

The amino group at N4 in compound 10 is a strong nucleophile and it could hamper with the solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis after the phosphoramidite was synthesized. Therefore, it was necessary to subject compound 10 to acetylation to protect the N4 amino group. The subsequent steps were similar to the synthesis scheme showcased in figure 2.4.

3.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, a successful synthetic scheme was devised for the formation of 2’-SeMeANA-C (Figure 3.3). This scheme resulted in the successful synthesis of the 2’-SeM-arabino
cytidine phosphoramidite. The phosphoramidite product generated from the synthesis was utilized by Dr. Cen Chen to carry out the synthesis of several DNA oligonucleotides for structure and function studies. The synthetic schemes for the phosphoramidites of all the nucleotides, particularly cytidine and uridine, are very similar. However, structure and function studies of their respective oligonucleotides should reveal their uniqueness and set them apart from one another. Following the synthetic scheme in Figure 3.3, several oligonucleotides can be synthesized and each of them can be studied for potential application in SBDD and therapeutics.

### 3.4 Experimental section

#### 3.4.1 General

Most solvents and reagents were purchased from Sigma, Fluka, or Aldrich (PA) and used without purification, unless otherwise specified. Solid reagents were dried under high vacuum when it was necessary to do so. Reactions with compounds sensitive to air or moisture were performed under argon purging. Solvent mixtures are indicated as volume/volume ratios. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was done on Merck 60 F254 plates (0.25 mm thick). TLC spots were visualized under UV light. Column purification was performed using Fluka silica gel 60 (mesh size 0.040-0.063 mm) using a silica gel, crude compound weight ratio of ca. 30:1. 1H spectra were recorded using Bruker-300 or 400 (300 or 400 MHz). All chemical shifts (δ) are in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane and all coupling constants (J) are in Hz. High resolution (HR) MS were either obtained with electrospray ionization (ESI) on a Q-TOFTM Waters Micromass at Georgia State University.

#### 3.4.2 Synthetic steps and characterization

The first 5 steps for this reaction are very similar to the first 5 steps for the synthesis scheme of 2’-SeMeANA-U. Therefore, only the synthesis steps from step 6 have been shown here.
3.4.2.1 Conversion of uridine to cytidine

![Conversion of uridine to cytidine](image)

**Figure 3.4: Conversion of base from U to C**

3',5'-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-2'-methylseleno-arabinocytidine (compound 10): For this step, phosphorus oxychloride (1.2 mL, 13.2 mmol) was added to an argon purged solution of 1,2,4-triazole (3.6 g, 52.8 mmol) and anhydrous acetonitrile (30 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After 1 h, anhydrous triethylamine (15 mL, 105.6 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred for another hour. The reaction was then transferred into the round-bottomed flask containing the starting material compound 6 (2.5 g, 4.4 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile purged with argon. The reaction was then heated to 90°C and stirred overnight. The solution was cooled to 50°C and then treated with NH₃.H₂O (10 mL, 15 M). The solution was stirred for 2 h. TLC was done with 4% methanol in dichloromethane confirmed the completion of the reaction. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was evaporated reduced under pressure to approximately 15 mL. The crude product was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with 100 mL brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ before evaporation. The crude product was subjected to silica gel chromatography with 2% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent, which gave us the desired product (1.9 g, 75%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.01-1.11 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 2.03 (s, 3H, SeCH₃), 3.70 (dd, J₁=6.8 Hz, J₂=8.1 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 3.76 (dt, J₁=7.2 Hz, J₂=3.3 Hz, 1H, H-4'),
4.05 (m, 2H, H-5’), 4.25 (t, $J$=7.7 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 5.74 (d, $J$=7.4 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.44, (d, $J$=6.6 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 7.58 (d, $J$=7.4, 1H, H-6). HRMS (ESI): C$_{22}$H$_{41}$N$_3$O$_5$SeSi$_2$; [M+Na]$^+$: 586.2769 (calc. 586.1648).

3.4.2.2 Protection of the 4-N amino group with acetic anhydride

![Figure 3.5: Protection of the 4-N amino group on the cytidine base](image)

**N$^4$-Acetyl-3’,5’-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-2’-methylseleno-arabinocytidine (Compound 11):** The starting material **Compound 10** (1.3 g, 2.3 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL tetrahydrofuran in a pressure vessel. Then triethylamine (2.6 mL, 18.4 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was then treated with acetic anhydride (1.3 mL, 13.8 mmol) and N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 281 mg, 2.3 mmol). The reaction was then heated to 85°C and left to stir overnight. TLC was done with 4% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent. About 2 mL of MeOH was added and the mixture was stirred for 20 min to consume excess acetic anhydride. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was re-dissolved in 100 mL ethyl acetate. The organic solution was washed with 30 mL water and then 30 mL of brine. The organic layer and water layer were separated. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ before evaporation. The crude product underwent silica gel chromatography with 1% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent to give the desired product.
(1.3 g, 95%). $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 1.05-1.13 (m, 28H, 4×iPrSi), 2.07 (s, 3H, SeCH$_3$), 2.27 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.73 (dd, $J_1$=6.7 Hz, $J_2$=8.7 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 3.76 (dt, $J_1$=7.5 Hz, $J_2$=3.0 Hz, 1H, H-4’), 4.09 (d, $J$=3.0, 2H, H-5’), 4.24 (t, $J$=8.1 Hz, 1H, H-3’), 6.47, (d, $J$=6.6 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 7.45 (d, $J$=7.5 Hz, 1H, H-5), 7.97 (d, $J$=7.5, 1H, H-6), 10.27 (br, 1H, NH). HRMS (ESI): C$_{24}$H$_{43}$N$_3$O$_6$SeSi$_2$; [M+H]$^+$: 606.1866 (calc. 605.1934).

3.4.2.3 Tetraisopropyldisiloxanylidene (TIPDS) deprotection

![Figure 3.6: TIPDS deprotection from the 3’ and 5’ positions (C)](image)

N$^4$-Acetyl-3’,5’-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-2’-methylseleno-arabinocytidine (compound 12): Compound 11 (1 g, 1.7 mmol) was first dissolved in 10 mL anhydrous THF. The solution was then treated with 0.26 mL (1.7 mmol) of triethylamine trihydrofluoride (3HF·Et$_3$N). After addition of the 3HF·Et$_3$N the solution was heated to 40°C and stirred for 2 hours. TLC was done with 10% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was then subjected to silica gel chromatography with 5% methanol in dichloromethane to obtain the pure product as a white solid (609 mg, 99% yield).
3.4.2.4 Introduction of the dimethoxytrityl group (DMTr-) at the 5’ position

![Chemical structure](image)

**Figure 3.7: Tritylation of the 5’ OH group (C)**

N^4-Acetyl-5’-O-(4,4’-dimethoxytrityl)-2’-methylseleno-arabinoxylidine (compound 13): Compound 12 (420 mg, 1.2 mmol) was dried over high vacuum and co-evaporated with anhydrous pyridine (2 x 10 mL). Then the starting material was dissolved in 15 mL anhydrous pyridine. The reaction was then cooled in an ice-bath. While the reaction was cool, it was then treated with 432 mg (1.3 mmol) of the dimethoxytrityl chloride. The reaction was then taken off the ice bath and allowed to reach room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 2 h. Thin layer chromatography was done with 50% ethyl acetate in hexane as the eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated product was dissolved in 30 mL methylene chloride, then washed with 20 mL water and 30 mL brine. The aqueous layer and organic layer were separated from each other. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and then evaporated to dryness. The crude product underwent silica gel chromatography with 3% MeOH in methylene chloride with 1% Et₃N as the eluent. The final product for this step was a white solid with 90% yield (718mg). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.97 (s, 3H, SeCH₃), 2.25 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.50 (dd, J₁=4.4 Hz, J₂=10.7 Hz, 1H, Ha-5’), 3.57 (dd, J₁=3.4 Hz, J₂=10.7 Hz, 1H, Hb-5’), 3.83 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.94 (t, J=6.4 Hz, 1H, H-2’), 4.02-4.05 (m, 1H, H-4’), 4.28 (t, J=6.2, 1H, H-3’), 6.54, (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H, H-1’), 6.88 (d, J=8.8, 4H, Ph), 7.27-7.36 (m, 8H, Ph, H-
5), 7.45 (d, J=7.4 Hz, 2H, Ph), 8.16 (d, J=7.5, 1H, H-6), 9.32 (br, 1H, NH). HRMS (ESI): C_{33}H_{35}N_{3}O_{7}Se; [M+Na]^+: 688.1511 (calc. 688.1538).

### 3.4.2.5 Phosphoramidite addition for solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis

![Phosphoramidite addition for solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis](image)

**Figure 3.8: Phosphoramidite synthesis in preparation for solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis (C)**

N^4-Acetyl-3’-O-(2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite)-5’-O-(4,4’-dimethoxytrityl)-2’-methylseleno-arabinocytidine (compound 14): Compound 13 (240 mg, 0.4 mmol) was dried under high vacuum and dissolved in 2 mL anhydrous methylene chloride (CH_2Cl_2). To the solution, dimethylethanolamine (0.2 mL, 2.4 mmol) was injected. Lastly, the phosphoramidite reagent 2-cyanoehtyl N,N-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite (80 μL, 0.4 mmol) was added to the reaction and stirred for about 1 h under dry argon. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated product was then dissolved in 2 mL CH_2Cl_2. The reaction mixture was then precipitated under vigorous stirring in a 400 mL hexane solution (400 mL). After carefully decanting the hexane solution, the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (30% ethyl acetate in CH_2Cl_2 containing 1% dimethylethylamine). The purified product was precipitated again in the 400 mL hexane solution. The precipitate was then
dissolved in 2 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The solvent was then evaporated. The derived product (260 mg, 75%) was a white foam. HRMS (ESI): $\text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{52}\text{N}_5\text{O}_8\text{PSe}$; $[\text{M+Na}]^+$: 887.8305 (calc. 887.8285).
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Appendix A. Mechanism for Key Reactions

a. 3’, 5’ – TIPDS protection:

b. BOM protection:

c. 5’-DMTr protection:

d. 2’ – MeSe introduction:
Appendix B. Characterization data for key compounds

Appendix B.1. NMR and MS Spectra for 2’-SeMeANA-U
$3\text{N-BOM-3',5'-TIPDS-2'-beta-MeSe-U}$

NMR
3',5'-TIPPS-2'-ara-NeSa-U
C6D13
COSY

[CEN_2SE_1_ACCUMASS_ESINEG_HUANG_10022018_1 34 (0.634) AM (Cen, 4, 80.00, Ht, 5000.0, 588.90, 0.80); Cm (28:37)]

TOF MS ES- 588.8971
563.1525
561.1479
560.1501
555.3250
564.1619
565.1575
566.1547
588.6266
589.9096
590.9039
DMTr\textsubscript{O}H\textsubscript{O}N\textsubscript{HO}DMTr\textsubscript{O}Se

- Mass spectrum showing peaks at m/z values: 605.9352, 612.9287, 609.5662, 609.1417, 611.0880, 614.0174, 618.7787, 617.1422, 615.1036, 622.1409, 624.1395, 628.9308, 625.1391, 626.1429, 626.8910, 628.7220, 634.9261, 629.9354, 632.9321, 630.8997, 632.3939, 639.1206, 637.1568, 635.9882, 641.1254.
Appendix B.2. NMR and MS Spectra for 2'-SeMeANA-C
3',5'-TIPDS-4-N-Ac-2'-MeSe-arabinocytidine
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