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I have described a decision support tool that may facilitate local decisions regarding the provision and billing of clinical services. I created a 2 by 2 matrix of health professional shortage and Medicaid expansion availability as of July 2015. I found that health departments in 93% of US counties may still need to provide clinical services despite the institution of the Affordable Care Act. Local context and market conditions should guide health departments’ decision to act as safety net providers. (Am J Public Health. 2016; 106:271–272. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302949)

Because more individuals have health insurance coverage as a result of the Affordable Care Act, health departments grapple with the question of whether to continue to provide clinical services such as maternal and child health, oral health, and HIV/AIDS treatment and, if so, whether to seek reimbursement from third party payers.1

In fact, a 2012 Institute of Medicine report states,

As clinical care provision in a community no longer requires financing by public health departments, public health departments should work with other public and private providers to develop adequate alternative capacity in a community’s clinical care delivery.2

The decision to provide clinical services and pursue reimbursement is complex,3 and that complexity will likely increase as reimbursement moves to new models such as accountable care organizations. Health departments must decide whether it makes sense to provide clinical services on the basis of local context and, if so, whether to seek reimbursement.4 As of 2013, a minority of local health departments provided clinical services such as maternal and child health, oral health, and HIV/AIDS treatment,5 although a 2014 report showed that, of those who do, the majority bill some form of third party payment.6 I tested a simple decision support tool that might be used to facilitate local decision-making.

METHODS

I treated the decision of whether to provide clinical services and seek reimbursement as a supply and demand analysis using a 2 by 2 matrix. When qualitative judgments must be made and visual plotting can aid decision-making, 2 by 2 matrices are particularly useful.7 I plotted each county health department in 1 of 4 quadrants on the basis of whether the state in which it is located is expanding Medicaid (demand) and the county is a designated primary care health professional shortage area (supply).

I obtained the roster of US counties from the US Census Bureau,8 health professional shortage area designation data from the Health Resources and Services Administration Bureau of Health Professions,9 and state Medicaid Expansion No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No HPSA/no Medicaid expansion</th>
<th>Adequate providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No increase in demand from Medicaid members</td>
<td>Likely low safety net role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7% of counties</td>
<td>5% of US population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No HPSA/Medicaid Expansion</th>
<th>Adequate providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in demand from Medicaid members</td>
<td>Safety net role potential strongly impacted by presence of other providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7% of counties</td>
<td>5% of US population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. HPSA = health professional shortage area.
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Medicaid expansion designations from the Kaiser Family Foundation as of July 2015.10

RESULTS
There were 3115 listed counties: 215 (7%) were in cell I (approximately 5% of the US population in 2014), 1461 (47%) were in cell II (35% of the US population), 218 (7%) were in cell III (5% of the US population), and 1221 (39%) were in cell IV (55% of the US population; Figure 1). A map of these counties is included as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org.

DISCUSSION
According to this analysis, health departments in 93% of counties (cells II, III, IV) may need to consider expanding clinical services, whereas those in the remaining 7% of counties (cell I) may have an adequate supply—demand balance. Health departments in 39% of counties may have the greatest opportunity to seek reimbursement for clinical services because of potential Medicaid expansion revenues and a lack of providers.

Although 86% of counties are designated as health professional shortage areas, few health departments provide clinical services.1,5 This may indicate the need for additional safety net support for clinical services from providers such as federally qualified health centers and volunteer clinics. The analysis does not account for those who may not be newly eligible for Medicaid, regardless of a state’s expansion status, further emphasizing the need to tailor results to local context and conditions. However, the analysis does serve to group health departments in broad categories as a first step in working through the decision-making process. AJPH
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