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ABSTRACT

Despite some evidence that suggest that finger ring use is associated with higher bacterial colonization, healthcare providers continue to wear finger rings in a healthcare setting. The aim of this systematic review was to synthesize the evidence to date regarding whether finger ring use increases bacterial colonization of healthcare providers' hands. Articles that studied the association finger ring use with hand hygiene and bacterial colonization were searched using PubMed, Google Scholar, and Georgia State University's online library systems. The overall results of this review suggest that finger ring use does increase the bacterial colonization on the hands of HCPs but not with significant difference when compared to no finger ring use; therefore, further research needs to be conducted to decide whether finger rings should be used in a healthcare setting or not.
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INTRODUCTION

Transmission of pathogens in a hospital environment is a significant hazard. Healthcare associated infections greatly impact patient morbidity, length of stay in hospital, and costs\(^1\). Hand hygiene is a major factor that affects the rate at which these infections spread. Healthcare providers (HCPs) often come in contact with bacteria by interacting with patients or contaminated environmental surfaces that are close in proximity of the patient\(^2\). The hands of the HCPs serve as a mode of transmission for infections.

Bacteria found on the hands could be categorized as either resident or transient\(^2\). The resident flora can be found on the surface of the skin residing under the superficial cells of the *stratum corneum*\(^3\). The main protective functions of the resident flora are microbial antagonism and competition for nutrients\(^3\). Resident flora is less likely to be related with infections, but may cause infections in sterile body cavities, the eyes, or on non-intact skin. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is the most common resident species found on the hands of HCPs; other resident bacteria include *S. hominis*, other coagulase-negative staphylococci, followed by coryneform bacteria (*propionibacteria, corynebacteria, dermobacteria, and micrococci*)\(^3\). Transient flora can be found on the superficial layers of the skin; therefore, they are more likely to be removed by routine hand hygiene\(^2\). Transient flora is also more frequently associated with infections and is acquired by HCPs during patient contact\(^2\). The most common pathogenic transient flora present on the hands of HCPs are methicillin resistant *S. aureus*, vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus*, MDR-Gram Negative bacteria, Candida spp., and *Clostridium difficile*\(^4\).

Successful transmission of pathogens through HCPs’ hands is a sequential process. Patients’ skin is colonized by transient pathogens shed onto surfaces surrounding the patient and leads to environmental contamination\(^5\). HCPs can contaminate their hands by touching the
environment or patients’ skin during routine care activities, sometimes even with glove use. It has been shown that organisms are capable of surviving on HCPs’ hands for several minutes following contamination. Suboptimal hand hygiene practices then allow easier microbial colonization and their transmission to patients or a fomite. Therefore, hand hygiene plays an important role in the control of bacterial transmission.

Although many healthcare institutes have a well-defined policy for hand hygiene, these procedures may not always be followed. A study conducted by Allegranzi and Pittet found low hand hygiene compliance rates by HCPs in both developed and developing countries. Some of the reasons contributing to low hand hygiene compliance are the lack of appropriate infrastructure and equipment to enable hand hygiene performance, the cultural background, or religious beliefs. Improving hand hygiene compliance could reduce the transmission of healthcare associated infection. Studies have demonstrated that rings are a major contributor to hand contamination due to higher bacterial colonization of the skin underneath rings than in areas of skin on fingers without rings. Contamination with transient flora even after hand hygiene practices is more likely when rings are worn.

Currently, The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has concluded that further studies are needed to establish whether wearing finger rings results in an increased risk of pathogen transmission in healthcare settings. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the removal of finger rings for HCPs in high risk settings such as the operation room, but accepts the use of simple wedding rings only during routine care. The WHO also suggests the wearing of rings around their neck on a chain as a pendant.

Currently there are is no universal policy regarding finger ring use in the healthcare setting; most healthcare institutions set their own policy. Guidelines for Professional Appearance
and Attire for Nursing Students set by Emory University allows wearing plain wedding bands but restricts wearing rings with stones\textsuperscript{11}. The Association of Surgical Technologists recommends the removal of finger rings for both sterile and non-sterile surgical team members prior to entering the operation room\textsuperscript{12}. In the United Kingdom, the Department of Health Guidance on uniform and workwear policies for National Health Service employers recommends a bare below the elbow policy, but allows wearing a plain wedding band\textsuperscript{13}. The purpose of this systematic review is to synthesize the current evidence available to date regarding whether finger ring use is associated with increased presence of bacteria on HCPs' hands. If the evidence suggests that finger ring use is associated with increased presence of bacteria on HCPs' hands, healthcare facilities and policy makers should revise their guidelines on the use of finger ring by HCPs in a healthcare setting accordingly.

**METHOD**

A systematic search was conducted of published literature that evaluated the hand hygiene of healthcare workers and the use of finger rings. The PubMed, Google Scholar, and Georgia State University's online library systems were used to search for publications from January 1, 1985, through June 30, 2017. The following search details were generated by the search query in PubMed: ((rings[All Fields] AND ("health personnel"[MeSH Terms] OR ("health"[All Fields] AND "personnel"[All Fields])) OR "health personnel"[All Fields]) OR ("healthcare"[All Fields] AND "workers"[All Fields]) OR "healthcare workers"[All Fields])) AND ("hygiene"[MeSH Terms] OR "hygiene"[All Fields]) AND ("microbiology"[Subheading] OR "microbiology"[All Fields] OR "bacteria"[All Fields] OR "bacteria"[MeSH Terms]) AND ("1985/01/01"[PDAT] : "2017/06/31"[PDAT]). The bibliographies of eligible original research
papers and systematic reviews were also scanned and manual searches for publications were performed. Eligible articles had to be published in English and describe the effect of finger rings on the bacterial presence on the hands of the HCPs. To ensure the articles met the criteria, the titles and abstracts were evaluated. Next, the selected articles were reviewed and the information about bacterial colonization associated with finger ring use and any hand washing method used to reduce the presence of bacteria was extracted. The articles that included the assessments of jewelry besides finger rings were not included in the evaluation.

RESULTS

As Figure 1 illustrates, 24 articles were initially identified. After removing duplicate citations, 17 abstracts were screened. An additional 6 articles were excluded during title and abstract review, primarily because they did not pertain to finger ring use by HCPs. Next, full-text assessment of 11 articles was performed. Two articles were excluded because the assessment and results of finger ring use was grouped with results of other jewelry in one study and fingernail decorations in other study. This resulted in 9 final studies that met inclusion criteria and were included in the review. Table 1 provides a summary of each study reviewed.

Participant Characteristics

The study population included HCPs of various types ranging from HCPs that have limited contact with patients to HCPs that are involved in surgical procedures. The study size varied between 20 HCPs and 100 HCPs. All studies included a comparison of bacterial colonization on either the hands or fingers of ring wearing HCPs versus non-ring wearing HCPs.
**Types of Health Care Provider**

The types of health care workers that participated in the studies include HCPs from high patient risk settings as well as low patient risk settings. HCPs that worked in high patient risk settings worked in departments such as the surgical, perioperative, intensive care unit, pediatric, and dental surgery. HCPs that worked in relatively lower patient risk settings worked in departments such as non-surgical general ward, non-clinical staff, anesthetists, medical students, and nursing students. Two studies investigated the effect of ring use on the bacterial presence on the hands of HCPs that participate in surgical procedures compared to the hands of HCPs that perform routine patient care procedures such as receptionists, front desk personnel, or research technicians. Field et al. conducted a study with 20 dental surgeons 20 were non-clinical staff. They sampled the skin the skin directly under the ring and on the same finger of the other hand to determine the presence of bacteria. In both groups, there were a significantly greater number of bacteria isolated from under rings compared with control sites. Al-Allak et al. conducted a study with 10 surgeons and 10 anesthetists. They reported that surgeons that wear rings have a lower bacterial count than anesthetists that wear rings.

**Bacterial Presence**

The result of this systematic review shows mixed findings regarding finger ring use by HCPs and its association with a higher bacterial colonization on their hands. Studies conducted by Hoffman et al., Field et al., and Kelsall et al. reported statistically significant higher bacterial counts associated with finger ring use. Studies conducted by Naeem et al., Khodavaisy et al., Yildirim et al., Al-Allak et al., Wongworawat and Jones, and Salisbury et al. reported higher
bacterial counts associated with finger ring use versus no finger ring, but the difference was not significant.

The bacteria that were isolated from the hands of HCPs during these studies were *Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, and Enterococcus spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Pseudomonas, Enterobacter spp.*, *Acinetobacter spp.*, *Stenotrophomanas maltophilia, Serratia marsencens, Proteus mirabilis*\(^{14-20}\).

Studies conducted by Hoffman et al., Field et al., Khodavaisy et al., and Naeem et al. used no hand washing intervention and their purpose was solely to determine if wearing a finger ring increased the bacterial colonization on the hands of HCPs\(^8,15,17,18\). In all studies the bacterial count was higher for the ring wearers than for non-ring wearers when no hand hygiene practice was implemented. Only Field et al. and Naeem et al. reported that bacterial presence was significantly higher for the hands with rings compared to hands without rings.

**Hand Hygiene Practices**

Studies show that the use of finger rings by HCPs could reduce the effectiveness of these hand hygiene practices\(^{21}\). Yildrim et al. and Wongworawat and Jones used alcohol-based disinfectants in their study to determine if its use resulted in lower bacterial colonization in ring wearing hands\(^{20,21}\). There was no significant difference in the number of bacteria between hands with and hands without rings in either of these studies. Therefore, alcohol based hand disinfection was ineffective in decreasing the bacterial colonization on the ring wearing hands.

Three studies used a surgical scrubbing method to determine if its use resulted in lower bacterial colonization in ring wearing hands\(^{14,16,21}\). Wongworat and Jones observed higher number of bacteria on hands with rings than on hands without rings, but the scrubbing procedure
did not reduce the bacterial count significantly. Al-Allak et al. reported no statistically significant
difference in the presence of bacterial colony forming units on the ring wearing hand when
compared to the non-ring wearing hand following an adequate surgical scrubbing of the hands.
Kelsall et al. reported significantly higher bacterial count on the skin under the ring versus the
control finger before as well as after scrubbing. The bacterial count was reduced if the ring was
removed prior to scrubbing, but the bacterial count was still higher than the control finger.

The study conducted by Salisbury et al. examined the use of normal hand washing with
hot water and non-surgical soap to determine reduction in bacterial colonization in ring wearing
hands\textsuperscript{19}. After normal hand washing, reduction in the number of colonies for HCPs without rings
was greater than HCPs with rings.

**Sample Collection**

All included studies mentioned their sample collection method for microbial analysis.
The sample collection method of swabbing of the area of interest was performed in six
studies\textsuperscript{8,14-18}. The glove juice method of sampling was used in two studies\textsuperscript{20,21}. The study
performed by Salisbury et al. utilized a friction rinse method using a sterile phosphate-buffered
saline solution for sample collection.

**Effect of Finger Rings on Gloves**

The scope of the studies included in this review did not include measuring the effect of
finger ring use on gloves. Two studies mention the negative effects of finger rings on the
integrity of the gloves used during patient care in the discussions portion of the article\textsuperscript{15,18}. Field
et al. state that wearing a finger ring could increase the risk of infection in immuno-compromised
patients in an event where the glove tears or perforates. Rings provide a protected area in which bacteria can flourish, they also make it difficult to wear gloves and increase the chances of consequent damage to the glove.

DISCUSSION

The result of this systematic review showed mixed findings regarding the association between finger ring use by HCPs and a higher bacterial colonization on their hands. All of the articles included in the review reported an increase in bacterial presence associated with ring use, but only three out of nine article reported statistically significant increases. This evidence is not sufficient to make a claim finger ring use increases the presence of bacteria on the hands of HCPs.

The transmission of pathogens through hands of HCPs is a major public health problem. The hands have the potential of coming in contact with numerous possible sources of infection. With the promotion and implementation of proper hand hygiene, public health problems associated with infections spreading via hands could be brought to a halt by controlling the mode of transmission for infections.

Whether HCPs should wear finger rings while performing patient-related work has been a subject of interest within the health care researchers for decades. Only nine studies have been published on this topic, and most of them have small sample sizes ranging from 20 to 100 participants. The aim of this review was to examine the impact of finger rings and hand hygiene practices on the presence of bacteria on the hands of HCPs.

To prevent the spread of infection, it is crucial to following proper hand hygiene practices in the healthcare setting. The effect of hand hygiene type on the presence of bacteria on the
HCPs hand was evaluated in this review. The bacteria that were isolated from the hands of HCPs during these studies were *Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, and Enterococcus spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Pseudomonas, Enterobacter spp.*, *Acinetobacter spp.*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Serratia marsencens, Proteus mirabilis*. None of the hand hygiene studies resulted in a statistically significant decrease in the bacterial presence between hands with and without rings post implementation of hand hygiene. Therefore, it not possible to make recommendations about which hand hygiene technique is most suitable to reduce bacterial presence associated with finger ring use.

It is reported that finger ring use is associated with ineffectiveness of gloves due to the possibilities of causing micro-lesions puncture gloves. This leaves the gloves vulnerable to the infection spread due to the possibilities of infiltration of bacteria inside the glove. If a finger ring is worn, a form of hand hygiene should be accompanied to minimize the risk of spreading the pathogens.

The limited number of data sources on this topic suggests that further research needs to be conducted to evaluate whether wearing a finger ring by HCPs is appropriate in a health care setting. The findings of this review indicate that not enough evidence is present to support the association of finger ring use with increase bacterial presence on hands of HCPs.

This literature review was limited by only including articles written in English with abstracts, and the use a limited number of search terms. Therefore, some articles pertaining to the topic could have been excluded from the review. However, the findings of this review present the state of the information available on the association of HCPs' finger ring use with hand hygiene and bacterial colonization of their hands.
Another limitation in this review is the lack of comparable sample collection amongst the included studies. Due to the researchers using different methods of sample collections, the comparison of results amongst different studies is difficult. Also, some studies only collected a sample via swabbing from only the finger versus other studies collecting the sample from the entire hand of the participants.

One strength of this review is that it includes studies with participating HCPs from a variety of departments. The studies included HCPs that worked in high patient risk departments such as the surgical, perioperative, intensive care unit, pediatric, and dental surgery. The studies also included HCPs that worked in relatively lower patient risk departments such as non-surgical general ward, non-clinical staff, anesthetists, medical students, and nursing students. With the inclusion of HCPs from both high and low patient risk settings in the studies provided results that could be used by policy makers to set regulations based on the nature of the setting that the HCPs work in.

The Center for Disease Control has stated that further studies are needed to ascertain if wearing rings results in greater transmission of pathogens in healthcare settings. The World Health Organization discourages the use of rings by HCPs that work with high-risk patients but accept the use of finger rings by HCPs that participate in routine patient care that has low risk of infection transmission. Until further research provides better evidence on this subject, healthcare institutes should prohibit the use of finger rings by HCPs that work with high risk patients while implementing and promoting a rigorous hand hygiene routine for the HCPs that wear a finger ring to protect against increased transmission of pathogenic bacteria.
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Figure 1: Flow chart used to identify articles examining finger ring use, hand washing techniques, and bacterial contamination findings of the studies

- Identification: Articles identified from electronic databases (PubMed) N=17
- Identification: Articles identified from other sources: N=7

- Screening: Total articles after duplicates removed N=22

- Eligibility: Articles screened based on title and abstract N=17

- Eligibility: Articles excluded based on abstract information N=6

- Included: Full-text articles assessed for eligibility N=11

- Included: Full-text articles excluded N=2

- Included: Studies included in quantitative synthesis N=9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Study Size and Setting</th>
<th>Hand Washing</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman et al 1985</td>
<td>50 medical and surgical HCPs in Great Britain. All HCPs wore a ring.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Gram positive flora was significantly increased on the skin under the ring compared to the controlled site for all HCPs. Gram negative flora was present on the skin under the rings for 20 of the 50 HCPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naeem et al 2015</td>
<td>40 Dental HCPs in India. 20 ring wearer and 20 non-ring wearer.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pathogenic bacterial contamination was non-significantly higher in the fingers of ring wearers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al 1996</td>
<td>40 Dental HCPs in United Kingdom. 20 dental surgical HCPs and 20 non-surgical HCPs. All HCPs wore a ring on one hand and no ring on the other hand.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>In both groups of HCPs, the number of bacteria present at the site under the ring was significantly higher compared to control site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khodavaisy et al 2011</td>
<td>40 intensive care unit HCPs in Iran. 23 ring wearer and 17 non-ring wearer.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The rate of contamination for the ring wearing HCPs was higher in comparison to the hands of non-ring wearer HCPs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yildirim et al 2008</td>
<td>84 HCPs at a pediatric hospital in Turkey. 24 HCPs wearing plain rings, 24 HCPs wearing rings with a stone, and 24 HCPs wearing no ring.</td>
<td>Alcohol based hand disinfectant</td>
<td>Bacterial colonization was increased on the ring wearing hands despite the use of hand disinfection. Alcohol based hand disinfection was ineffective in decreasing the bacterial colonization on the ring wearing hands. The type of ring did not affect the level of bacterial colonization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Allak et al 2008</td>
<td>20 HCPs from surgical and anesthesiology department in United Kingdom. All HCPs wore a ring on their left hand and no ring on their right hand.</td>
<td>Scrubbing</td>
<td>There was no statistically significant difference in the presence of bacterial colony forming units on the ring wearing hand when compared to the non-ring wearing hand following an adequate surgical scrubbing of the hands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelsall et al 2005</td>
<td>28 HCPs from surgical department in United Kingdom.</td>
<td>Scrubbing with chlorhexidine gluconate (0.5%)</td>
<td>Significantly higher bacterial count was observed on the skin under the ring compared to the control finger before scrubbing as well as after scrubbing. The bacterial count was reduced if the ring was removed prior to scrubbing, but the bacterial count was still higher than the control finger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wongworawat and Jones 2007</td>
<td>60 perioperative HCPs and medical students from a university hospital in United States. All HCPs wore a ring on one hand and no ring on the other hand.</td>
<td>Povidone-iodine scrub, alcohol wash, waterless alcohol-chlorhexidine lotion</td>
<td>There was no significant difference in the number of bacteria between hands with and hands without rings for the groups that used alcohol wash or alcohol-chlorhexidine lotion. For the povidone-iodine group, the number of bacteria on hands with rings was greater than the number on hands without rings. The hands of participants who used waterless alcohol-chlorhexidine had the lowest bacterial count, regardless of the presence of rings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salisbury et al 1997</td>
<td>100 HCPs from a medical/surgical unit in a hospital in United States.</td>
<td>Normal hand washing with hot water and without medicated soap</td>
<td>The presence of bacteria on the hand was significantly reduced after hand washing for both HCPs with and without rings. There was no significant difference in the bacterial colony count between HCPs with or without rings. After hand washing, reduction in the number of colonies for HCPs without rings was greater than HCPs with rings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>