Georgia State University ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

Public Health Theses

School of Public Health

Summer 8-11-2020

Electronic Nicotine Devices And Alcohol Use Among Young Adults: Findings From The 2015-2016 National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Lucinda Ackah-Toffey Georgia State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/iph_theses

Recommended Citation

Ackah-Toffey, Lucinda, "Electronic Nicotine Devices And Alcohol Use Among Young Adults: Findings From The 2015-2016 National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)." Thesis, Georgia State University, 2020.

doi: https://doi.org/10.57709/18686133

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Public Health at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public Health Theses by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

ELECTRONIC NICOTINE DEVICES AND ALCOHOL USE AMONG YOUNG ADULTS: FINDINGS FROM THE 2015-2016 NATIONAL HEALTH AND NUTRITION EXAMINATION SURVEY (NHANES)

by

Lucinda Ackah-Toffey

MPH (c), Georgia State University B.S., The Ohio State University

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Georgia State University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

MASTER OF PUBLIC HEALTH

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

APPROVAL PAGE

ELECTRONIC NICOTINE DEVICES AND ALCOHOL USE AMONG YOUNG ADULTS: FINDINGS FROM THE 2015-2016 NATIONAL HEALTH AND NUTRITION EXAMINATION SURVEY (NHANES)

by

Lucinda Ackah-Toffey

Approved:

Dr. Monica Swahn, PhD, MPH Committee Chair

Dr. Rachel Culbreth, PhD, MPH, RRT Committee Member

July 20th 2020 Date

Abstract

Introduction

Young adults have high rates of alcohol and tobacco use relative to any other age group. However, levels of use vary by race and education. This study aimed to examine the association between alcohol use and Electronic Nicotine Devices (ENDS) in a representative sample of young adults and to determine if any associations vary by race or education.

Methods

Data utilized in this study originated from the 2015-2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Records were restricted to those aged 18–35 years (n = 1145), with the primary outcome, ENDS use in the past 12 months. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to assess the association between the primary outcome, and alcohol use and socio-demographic variables. Further assessment was completed to determine if the association between the primary outcome and alcohol use while controlling for cigarette use varied by race or educational level.

Results

The overall prevalence of ever ENDS use was 38.29%. Prevalence was highest among young adults 26-30 (31.01%), those reporting heavy alcohol use (44.93%), Non-Hispanic Whites (58.53%), those with some college or Associate of Arts degree (34.04%) and income range of \$25,000 to \$54,999 (35.59%). Traditional cigarette use (aOR=12.62; 95% Cl 12.60 - 12.64), Income \$25,000 to \$54,999 (aOR=1.60; 95% Cl 1.59 - 1.60), higher collegiate education (aOR=0.41; 95% Cl 0.41 - 0.41), moderate and high alcohol use (aOR=1.76; 95% Cl 1.76 - 1.77, aOR=1.89; 95% Cl 1.88 - 1.89 respectfully) was associated with ENDS. The association between alcohol usage level and ENDS use also varied by education when adjusting for traditional cigarette use. The effect that alcohol usage has in predicting ENDS use is different for various education status when controlled for traditional cigarette use (p-vale: <0.0001).

Conclusions

ENDS use is common among young adults in the U.S. and is associated with socioeconomic status as well as alcohol use. Prevention efforts need to factor in these demographic characteristics when targeting interventions, and also factor in that moderate and heavy alcohol use are associated with ENDS use, which likely will exacerbate health concerns among ENDS users.

Acknowledgments

I would like to first and foremost thank the almighty God. Although I chose my path, God rendered my steps.

I dedicate this thesis to my late Grandmother, Nana Ngoama, for instilling in me the importance of education. To my parents, siblings, and the rest of my family, thank you for all the love and support.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Swahn, for the continuous support of my MPH studies and writing of this thesis and Dr. Culbreth for the insightful recommendations and encouragement.

Author's Statement Page

In presenting this thesis as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree from Georgia State University, I agree that the Library of the University shall make it available for inspection and circulation in accordance with its regulations governing materials of this type. I agree that permission to quote from, to copy from, or to publish this thesis may be granted by the author or, in his/her absence, by the professor under whose direction it was written, or in his/her absence, by the Associate Dean, School of Public Health. Such quoting, copying, or publishing must be solely for scholarly purposes and will not involve potential financial gain. It is understood that any copying from or publication of this dissertation which involves potential financial gain will not be allowed without written permission of the author.

Lucinda Ackah-Toffey

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VII
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	
1.2 Research Questions	
1.3 Purpose of Study	3
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 Definition and Mechanism	4
2.2 Regulation and Marketing	
2.3 Epidemiology of E-cigarettes	6
2.4 Harms and Benefits	10
References	17
CHAPTER III: MANUSCRIPT	22
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Methods	24
3.3 Results	
3.4 Discussion	30
3.5 Conclusion	32
References	
CHAPTER IV: TABLES	
4.1 Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)	
4.2 Descriptive and Univariate Analysis of Study Variables by Frequency	
of Ever E-cigarette use NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)

Table 4.2 Descriptive and Univariate Analysis of Study Variables by Frequency of Ever Ecigarette use NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)

Chapter I: Introduction

1.1 Background

One of the leading causes of preventable diseases and deaths in the United States is Tobacco use (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). The Surgeon General has reported that smoking cigarettes were responsible for over 480,000 deaths per year in the United States, about one in five deaths annually in 2014 (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014).

Although the prevalence of smokers using combustible cigarettes has decreased, the prevalence of electronic cigarette users continues to increase among adults in the United States (Jamal et al., 2016). Generally, 15-30% of young adults who smoke are most likely to use more than one tobacco product (Le et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2014). Since emerging in 2007, Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS), also called electronic cigarettes, have been used and considered by users as a safe alternative to traditional combustion cigarettes (Adkison et al., 2013). The increasing use of ENDS in the United States has raised many concerns over its potential health effects. Expanding legislation continues to affect the prevalence of ENDS usage. Current federal laws regulate ENDS as tobacco products and restrict consumers from purchasing ENDS unless 21 years and older.

Previous literature has reported an association between alcohol use and smoking traditional combustion cigarettes (Drobes, 2002; Jiang & Ling, 2011). Alcohol and tobacco use are relatively high among young age groups. Individuals who smoke may also drink alcohol and vice versa. Tobacco companies continue to use promotional strategies linking cigarettes and alcohol

(Jiang & Ling, 2011). Various approaches include but are not limited to, sponsoring events with alcohol companies to increase interest and generate increased revenues.

Various studies related to ENDS research have found that individuals young in age (less than 50 years old), (Arnold, 2014; Delnevo et al., 2015; Du et al., 2019; B. A. King et al., 2015; Schoenborn & Gindi, 2015; Wilson & Wang, 2017), those with some form of college/ higher education (Du et al., 2019; Hartwell et al., 2017; B. A. King et al., 2015; Levy et al., 2017), and those who consumed alcohol (Arnold, 2014; Du et al., 2019; Grant et al., 2019) were more likely to have ever used ENDS. Epidemiologists have further investigated the estimated prevalence of individual, concurrent consumption, and dependence of tobacco-related products and alcohol (Anthony & Echeagaray-Wagner, 2000).

An assortment of human biological mechanisms shows the codependency of alcohol and tobacco. Genes involved in regulating brain chemical systems, neurobiological mechanisms, conditioning mechanisms, and psychosocial factors are some mechanisms involved in the concurrent use of Alcohol and ENDS (Drobes, 2002). Alcohol consumption increases the cravings to smoke. In addition, Nicotine increases the urge to consume alcohol (Glautier et al., 1996; A. C. King & Epstein, 2005). In fact, it seems as alcohol increase the desire and enjoyment for smoking cigarettes providing a "double buzz" (Jiang & Ling, 2011; Stromberg et al., 2007). It is therefore of interest to understand alcohol use and its association with ENDS, particularly among young adults who are at high risk for both ENDS and alcohol use.

Since ENDS is a relatively new product, research is still underway. Still, there has been a growing interest in examining the correlates of ENDS use and its potential health consequences and future public health implications. The purpose of this work is to evaluate and further

contribute to current research on various associations with ENDS usage amongst U.S. adults aged 18-35 based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2015-2016 with a focus on alcohol usage levels.

1.2 Research Questions

There are three research questions that guided this work.

- 1. What is the prevalence of ENDS use among young adults in the U.S.?
- 2. What are the demographics correlated of smoking ENDS among young adults in the U.S.?
- 3. What is the association between alcohol use and ENDS among young adults in the U.S.? If there is an association, does it vary by race or education when controlled for traditional cigarette usage?

1.3 Purpose of Study

This study aims to provide information that may assist in future interventional programs and research, such as prevention programs and campaigns. The research reported in this thesis is organized in the form of a manuscript thesis, which includes an introduction, literature review and a manuscript. The manuscript covers the association of ENDS use with alcohol use and various covariates with ENDS use in a national representative sample of US young adults age 18-35. It also examines the effects of race or education on alcohol use and ENDS, intended to be submitted for consideration for publication.

Chapter II: Review of The Literature

2.1 Definition and Mechanism

Electronic Cigarettes are battery-operated products that distribute an aerosol (vapor) to users; the vapor is generated by heating a liquid solution called e-liquid (Grana et al., 2014). E-liquids constitute a base liquid such as propylene glycol or glycerin; also, other additives like Nicotine, and flavorings. There is a wide variety of flavoring available on the market, such as menthol, tobacco, coffee, fruit, candy, desserts, and drink flavors. There is a multitude of names used interchangeably for electronic cigarettes such as "Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS)," "e-cigs," "vape pens," or "mods." In the state of Georgia, ENDS is a vapor product, which is defined as follows:

"any noncombustible product containing Nicotine that employs a heating element, power source, ... that can be used to produce vapor from Nicotine in a solution or other form. The term 'vapor product' shall include any electronic cigarette, electronic cigar, electronic cigarillo, electronic pipe, or similar product or device and any vapor cartridge or other container of Nicotine in a solution or other form that is intended to be used with or in an electronic cigarette, electronic cigar, electronic cigarillo, electronic pipe, or similar product or device." (Georgia, 2014)

By 2013, the majority of international tobacco companies entered into ENDS production (DeVito & Krishnan-Sarin, 2018; Glasser et al., 2017; Grana et al., 2014). Although ENDS technology is continuously developing, the various designs of ENDS primarily operate similarly and are made up of comparable components (National Institute of Drug Abuse, 2020). Based on the U.S. Patent (No. 8,490,628 B2) awarded to Lik Hon (2013), ENDS includes a shell and a

mouthpiece. This shell has an external wall with an air inlet, an atomizer (heating element), and a cartridge containing e-liquid. The air inlet, atomizer, aerosol passage, and mouthpiece are then interconnected. Aerosol production begins during the initiation of inhalation by the user on the mouthpiece. Inhalation activates the atomizer, which then vaporizes the e-liquid in the shell. The vaporization of e-liquids replaces combustion in traditional cigarettes.

2.2 Regulation and Marketing

In the United States, companies that develop or sell ENDS devices must follow the Food and Drug Administration (F.D.A.) regulations. This rule was established in 2016 for ENDS and eliquids because ENDS contains Nicotine originating from tobacco therefore, they are subject to government regulation similar to tobacco products. Initially, the F.D.A. attempted to suspend the sale and importation of ENDS, asserting that ENDS was an unauthorized drug delivery device. It was not until the F.D.A. was sued that the U.S. Court of Appeals ruled to establish ENDS as regulated similar to tobacco products, with exceptions for devices advertised for therapeutic purposes (Circuit DC, 2010; Grana & Ling, 2014). In December 2019, the U.S federal government raised the age of purchasing tobacco products from 18 to 21 years. Due to public pressure and the attributable health effects produced by flavored e-liquids, in January 2020, the F.D.A. issued a policy prohibiting the sale of flavored vaping liquids except for menthol and tobacco.

The Internet has been the main channel for ENDS marketing and sales. Analysis of newspaper and online media coverage in the United Kingdom and Scotland over five years documented a total of five overarching themes related to ENDS advertisement (Rooke & Amos, 2014); healthier choice, smoke-free restrictions, celebrity use, risk and uncertainty, and price. Similarly, Grana and Ling (2014), conducted a study to describe the main advertising statements

made by branded ENDS retail websites. Sixty-two sites met all inclusion criteria in the June–July 2011 period. Roughly, 95% made unambiguous and ambiguous health-related claims, and 64% made smoking cessation-related claims. In addition, 76% claimed that ENDS does not produce secondhand smoke, with 88% stating that ENDS can be smoked anywhere and 71% saying that it can be used to bypass clean air policies.

In comparison to traditional combustible cigarettes, 95% advertised ENDS as cleaner, and 93% showing ENDS as cheaper. Numerous websites targeted more impressionable audiences, such as youth and young adults. Websites promoted an increase to social status (44%), romance (31%), and use by celebrities (22%). In a workshop conducted by the F.D.A. (Electronic Cigarettes and the Public Health; March 9–10, 2015), it was explained that ENDS manufacturers had developed explicit marketing strategies to draw the attention of young consumers (Kaisar et al., 2016).

Many tobacco and cigarette companies are to date unable to market their commodities on television/ radio since the 1970s; ENDS, on the other hand, has bypassed such -limitation (Grana et al., 2014). Celebrity backing has been continuously used in the United States. To advertise tobacco and glamorize smoking for years (Grana et al., 2011; Grana & Ling, 2014). Youths are more influenced to initiate ENDS usage when their favorite celebrities promote their use. Further regulation is essential to prevent marketing deceptions to consumers and the enticing of a new generation of tobacco-related users.

2.3 Epidemiology of E-cigarettes

Prevalence of E-cigarette use

Tobacco use is one of the leading causes of preventable disease and death in the United States (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). The prevalence of ENDS users is continuously rising among adults in the United States, while the prevalence of smoking traditional combustible cigarettes decreases (Jamal et al., 2016). Since ENDS is a relatively new product, there is minimal data on usage patterns available before 2011 (US Department of Health & Human Services, 2016). Roughly, 15-30% of young adults who smoke are most likely to use more than one tobacco product (Le et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2014). The prevalence of ENDS past use was 35.8%, and current use was 13.6% in young U.S. adults 18–24 years in 2013-2014 (US Department of Health & Human Services, 2016). In comparison, adults over 25 have a significantly lower prevalence in the same measures (16.4% and 5.7%, respectively). The prevalence of ENDS usage often decreases steadily as age increases (Delnevo et al., 2015).

Factors Related to E-cigarette Initiation and Use

In 2016, the Surgeon General's report disclosed that past and current use were higher among Non-Hispanic Whites than other racial/ethnic groups and higher among males than females (US Department of Health & Human Services, 2016). In the 18-24 age group, the appeal of various flavors and tastes become a contributing factor in the initiation of ENDS (Le et al., 2019). Perceived low harm in comparison to other tobacco products, and curiosity are the factors that contribute to the beginning of ENDS use among youth and young adults. (US Department of Health & Human Services, 2016). Data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) indicated, in 2014, 12.6% of adults had -in the past tried ENDS, with use varying by sex, age, and

race (Schoenborn & Gindi, 2015). Amongst these adults, about 3.7% currently uses- ENDS, and 1.1% reported using ENDS daily.

Delnevo et al. (2015), analyzed data from the 2014 NHIS to investigate patterns of ENDS use among adults in the United States. Using multivariable logistic regression, age group, race, cigarette smoking status, and geographical region, all significantly related to -past and current ENDS use. Young white adults who smoked daily and lived in the West had higher odds of ENDS experimentation and current use than comparable groups. It is critical to examine various sociodemographic variables to draw accurate conclusions about patterns of ENDS use at the population level and to estimate potential benefits or harms.

Similarly, Du et al. (2019) examined independent factors associated with past ENDS use using logistic regression with adults 18 years and older, living in Los Angeles (L.A.) County. Variables considered were gender, age, race, disability, alcohol consumption in the past month, and cigarette smoking status. Prevalence of -past ENDS use was the highest among whites (12.8%) than -comparable groups. Males with some college or higher education level were at increased odds to have used ENDS compared to users with less than a high school degree [Odds Ratio (OR) = 1.52; 95% CI = 1.02 - 2.26]. Using non-alcohol consumers as a reference, heavy/binge male drinkers had increased odds of -past ENDS use than non-heavy/non-binge drinkers [OR = 1.80; 95% CI = 1.33 - 2.42, OR = 1.38; 95% CI = 1.03 - 1.85 respectively]. Females had similar patterns as males. Individuals younger than 50 years in both genders, who had some college/higher education, utilized marijuana, consumed alcohol, and were former/current smokers were significantly more likely to have ever used ENDS. Similarly, the findings of Bluestein et al. (2019), indicated that young adult users of marijuana and alcohol had increased odds of ENDS use.

In the same way, Wilson and Wang (2017), used data from the 2014 NHIS to estimate ENDS use among adults and their relationship with various demographic, socioeconomic, and health behavior measures. Univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed, adjusting for sex, age, education, race, poverty, marital status, and smoking status. In comparison to those who had never tried ENDS, ENDS users were more likely to be young in age, male, non-Hispanic white, not married, poorer, and current smokers. Additionally, income was positively associated with -past use of ENDS. Individuals with high school or some college education had higher adjusted odds of -having used ENDS in contrast to those with less than high school education and individuals with masters or doctoral level education. Based on literature reported, various social and demographic factors have been associated with -past ENDS use and should be incorporated into evidence-based interventions.

Various studies have reported the association between alcohol use and smoking traditional combustible cigarettes, especially among young adults (Drobes, 2002; Jiang & Ling, 2011; Weitzman & Chen, 2005). In comparison to non-smokers, people who smoke more likely consume alcohol frequently, and in high quantities (Batel et al., 1995; Harrison et al., 2008; Jiang & Ling, 2011). Alcohol consumption increases the cravings to smoke, in addition, Nicotine increases the urge to consume alcohol (Glautier et al., 1996; A. C. King & Epstein, 2005). Amongst those who smoke, they report alcohol increasing their desire/ enjoyment for cigarettes providing a "double buzz" (Jiang & Ling, 2011; Stromberg et al., 2007).

Jiang and Ling (2011) investigated tobacco companies about their knowledge on concurrent use of tobacco and alcohol, in addition marketing strategies linking cigarettes with alcohol. From this study, Tobacco companies confirmed the association between tobacco use and alcohol use in addition, these companies used promotional strategies to link cigarettes and alcohol, reinforcing the use of both substances. The concurrent use of tobacco and alcohol provides difficulty for the user to quit.

Additionally, Weitzman and Chen (2005), studied concurrent use of smoking and drinking among young adults in US college from the 2001 Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH) College Alcohol Study. The HSPH study concluded that over 98% of current smokers drink and smoking risks is greatest among youth who reported high alcohol (total) consumption (adjusted OR 4.21, p < 0.0001). Understanding the link between ENDS use, and alcohol usage and various sociodemographic factors can be useful in developing intervention programs in addition, targeting behaviors to develop interventions tailored to the population is essential for successful programs.

2.4 Harms and Benefits

Health Implications

Over the years, the prevalence of cigarette smoking has risen and fallen; additionally, it has fluctuated from being widely accepted to socially unacceptable. Smoking cigarettes has led to diseases and disability, along with substantial harm to the human body (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). In 2014, the Surgeon General report over the years 1964-2014 concluded that the overall prevalence of U.S. adult smoking was approximately 20%, less than one-half of what it was in 1964 (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). The Surgeon General reported that smoking cigarettes was responsible for over 480,000 deaths per year in the United States, about one in five deaths annually (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). Since the introduction of ENDS, more users are transitioning from traditional cigarettes to ENDS (Sara Kalkhoran et al., 2019).

Assessing the components of ENDS and their effects on health is still developing. ENDS has become increasingly popular over the years because of the perceived notion of having reduced levels of harmful toxicants compared to traditional combustible cigarettes (Arnold, 2014; Cobb et al., 2010; Kaisar et al., 2016). Herrington and Myers (2015), tested and characterized approximately 60-70 compounds in e-liquids. It was found that there was no variation in the constituency of the various e-liquids tested. It was concluded that some of the compounds detected in the e-liquids were detrimental to human health. Similarly, Kucharska et al. (2016) identified 113 chemicals in 50 brands of various e-liquids, some known to cause cancer and other health effects.

Volatile Organic compounds (VOCs) are present in ENDS; VOCs are various toxic organic compounds, such as benzene, toluene, diacetyl, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acrolein, emitted from generated ENDS vapor (Goniewicz et al., 2014; Kaisar et al., 2016). VOCs can be an irritant, carcinogenic, and neurotoxic. Often causing the development of cancer, cardiovascular, and respiratory diseases (Goniewicz et al., 2014; Hecht, 2003; St Helen et al., 2014). Schripp et al. (2013) analyzed chemical and particulate emissions from ENDS via a chamber study. Results indicated that ENDS composed of VOCs such as acetaldehyde, a cariogenic compound. ENDS emissions also had particulate concentrations similar or comparable to combustion cigarettes.

Evidence suggests that inhalation of particulates contributes to the onset of various diseases such as chronic respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer (Spirić et al., 2012). There has been more interest in ultrafine particles (UFPs), as they are more readily available to travel from the lung to the blood and other parts of the body than larger particles (Pope III & Dockery, 2006). Children are at an increased risk for respiratory conditions from ENDS use because they have relatively underdeveloped lungs and an immune system not capable of filtering out particle exposure (Chen et al., 2019; Gibbs et al., 2016; Peterson & Hecht, 2017).

Consequently, utilizing ENDS has contributed to worldwide outbreaks of EVALI, also known as E-cigarette or Vaping Product Use-Associated Lung Injury (EVALI). A special report in *The New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM)* reported that Emergency Department visits for EVALI abruptly rose in June 2019, climaxing in September 2019 (Hartnett et al., 2019). The EVALI epidemic produced national attention and scrutinizing of e-liquids, generating legislative laws. Laboratory analysis showed that vitamin E acetate, an additive in certain tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) containing ENDS, was strongly linked to the EVALI outbreak (Boudi et al., 2019; Deliwala et al., 2020). ENDS generated emissions continue to be investigated to examine acute and chronic effects.

The use of E-cigarettes in smoking abstinence/cessation

ENDS has become popular among smokers who wish to reduce their tobacco use and quit smoking (Hajek et al., 2019; Notley et al., 2018). Its effectiveness as a cessation aid remains uncertain (Gomajee et al., 2019). Several surveys, such as the National Youth Tobacco Survey (NYTS), and Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS), provide data for the estimation of smoking behaviors amongst young adults in the United States. Research related to ENDS use as

a method of cessation has shown that ENDS use may increase the probability of cigarette abstinence (Benmarhnia et al., 2018; Ghosh & Drummond, 2017).

Brown et al. (2014) conducted a cross-sectional survey to study the real-world effectiveness of ENDS when used to aid smoking cessation. The study examined the relationship between ENDS use and smoking status of traditional combustion cigarettes. The population consisted of adults in England, surveyed monthly between July 2009 and February 2014. Using self-reported rates, Brown et al. compared subjects who smoked and tried to abstain by using ENDS only against those who used nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) or those who made an unaided attempt to quit. It was found, ENDS users were more likely to report abstinence than either of those who used NRT [OR = 2.23] or those with no aid [OR = 1.38] (Brown et al., 2014).

Additionally, Gomajee et al. (2019) conducted a cohort study of randomly selected adults (N=200,000) coming from France's enforced health insurance scheme. The study used mixed regression models to investigate the association between ENDS use and the number of cigarettes smoked. Outcomes examined were, (1) the number of cigarettes smoked per day, (2) the difference between the number of cigarettes smoked per day at baseline and the number of cigarettes smoked per day at follow-up, (3) smoking cessation among smokers, and (4) cigarette smoking relapse among former smokers. Amongst 5400 daily smokers, regular ENDS use was associated with decreasing the number of cigarettes smoked per day in contrast to daily smokers who did not use ENDS; [-4.4 [95% CI, -4.8 to -3.9], -2.7 [95% CI -3.1 to -2.4], respectively]. Amongst 2025 former smokers, ENDS use was associated with an increase in the rate of smoking relapse. Overall, ENDS use was associated with a reduction in smoking levels and an increased probability of smoking cessation, but still varied by current smoking status.

Similarly, Donny et al. (2015) conducted a double-blind, randomized clinical trial to examine reduced-nicotine standards for cigarettes. A total of 840 subjects underwent randomization, and 780 completed the 6-week study assigned to different exposure groups or nicotine concentration. The primary outcome reported was the number of cigarettes smoked by the individual. This study provides insight into existing literature because, several ENDS chemical characterization studies have measured low nicotine delivery from ENDS (Cheng, 2014; DeVito & Krishnan-Sarin, 2018; Etter et al., 2013). Subjects who were assigned to their usual brand, compared to those assigned to control cigarettes, smoked significantly more than those assigned to low Nicotine cigarettes.

Likewise, Hajek et al. (2019) performed a randomized Trial of ENDS users versus NRT. Subjects were randomly assigned to either NRT products of their choice (including product combinations) or an ENDS starter pack. ENDS was more effective for smoking cessation than NRT when both products were supplemented by behavioral support. The rate of continuous 1-year abstinence was 18.0% in the ENDS group and 9.9% in the NRT group, supporting the hypothesis that the use of ENDS can aid in tobacco cessation.

Summarizing findings in 2015 based literature, S. Kalkhoran and Glantz (2016) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of ENDS and its association with smoking cessation in realworld and clinical settings. Publications from PubMed and Web of Science between April 2015 and June 2015were included in the study. Various data was extracted to include study location, design, population, definitions, the prevalence of ENDS use, comparison group to cigarette consumption, level of nicotine dependence, odds of quitting smoking, and other confounders. Of the studies identified, 38 of 577 studies were included in the systematic review, the odds of

quitting cigarettes was 28% lower in those who used ENDS compared to users who did not use ENDS [OR = 0.72, 95% CI 0.57–0.91], which is inconsistent with previous literature.

To examine the impacts of ENDS, the population size of the studies needs to be a representative sample to translate into different populations of similar backgrounds (Brown et al., 2014; Donny et al., 2015). Interestingly enough, findings are primarily based on exposure treatments, and the number of cigarettes smoked, which can vary across different populations. Smoking abstinence cannot be verified to corroborate subjects claim; as a result, this has led to recall bias and social pressure to report abstinence in many studies (Brown et al., 2014). Providing free materials may also inflate the number of cigarettes smoked per day; this is imperative because consumption decreases with relations to out of pocket spending (Donny et al., 2015).

Although, substantial amount of literature exists, there is limited research on the prevalence, association to, and efficacy of ENDS due to the recent invention of ENDS itself (Chiang et al., 2019). Understanding the association between ENDS use and Alcohol Usage can be useful in developing dual intervention programs such as including policies banning tobacco sales and alcohol promotion and smoking cessation programs addressing the impact alcohol consumption has on tobacco use. The majority of research has been conducted in high-income countries where ENDS and cessation aids are more readily available. It is worthy to note, low- and middle-income countries, where cessation aids are not widely available or rarely used, may be significant in providing more in-depth knowledge of ENDS abstinence/cessation potential in other geographical locations (Lozano et al., 2019). By analyzing data provided by NHANES, this study can provide perspective to the association of alcohol usage level and other covariates with ENDS

use that may provide further background to the design future health and behavioral interventions.

References

- Adkison, S. E., O'Connor, R. J., Bansal-Travers, M., Hyland, A., Borland, R., Yong, H. H., . . . Fong, G. T. (2013). Electronic nicotine delivery systems: international tobacco control four-country survey. *Am J Prev Med*, 44(3), 207-215. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.10.018
- Anthony, J. C., & Echeagaray-Wagner, F. (2000). Epidemiologic analysis of alcohol and tobacco use: Patterns of co-occurring consumption and dependence in the United States.
 Alcohol research & health : the journal of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 24(4), 201-208.
- Arnold, C. (2014). Vaping and health: what do we know about e-cigarettes? *Environmental health perspectives, 122*(9), A244-A249. doi:10.1289/ehp.122-A244
- Batel, P., Pessione, F., Maître, C., & Rueff, B. (1995). Relationship between alcohol and tobacco dependencies among alcoholics who smoke. *Addiction, 90*(7), 977-980. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1995.90797711.x
- Benmarhnia, T., Pierce, J. P., Leas, E., White, M. M., Strong, D. R., Noble, M. L., & Trinidad, D. R. (2018). Can E-Cigarettes and Pharmaceutical Aids Increase Smoking Cessation and Reduce Cigarette Consumption? Findings From a Nationally Representative Cohort of American Smokers. Am J Epidemiol, 187(11), 2397-2404. doi:10.1093/aje/kwy129
- Bluestein, M., Kelder, S., Perry, C. L., & Pérez, A. (2019). Exploring associations between the use of alcohol and marijuana with e-cigarette use in a U.S.A. nationally representative sample of young adults. *International journal of health sciences, 13*(1), 30-39.
- Boudi, F. B., Patel, S., Boudi, A., & Chan, C. (2019). Vitamin E Acetate as a Plausible Cause of Acute Vaping-related Illness. *Cureus*, *11*(12), e6350-e6350. doi:10.7759/cureus.6350
- Brown, J., Beard, E., Kotz, D., Michie, S., & West, R. (2014). Real-world effectiveness of ecigarettes when used to aid smoking cessation: a cross-sectional population study. *Addiction*, 109(9), 1531-1540. doi:10.1111/add.12623
- Chen, Z., Guang, J., Ji, Z., Jingwen, Z., Kesan, Y., Kunkun, Y., . . . Zhixiang, D. (2019). The association between high ambient air pollution exposure and respiratory health of young children: A cross sectional study in Jinan, China. *Science of the total environment*, 656, 740-749. doi:<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.368</u>
- Cheng, T. (2014). Chemical evaluation of electronic cigarettes. *Tob Control, 23 Suppl 2*(Suppl 2), ii11-17. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051482
- Chiang, S. C., Abroms, L. C., Cleary, S. D., Pant, I., Doherty, L., & Krishnan, N. (2019). E-cigarettes and smoking cessation: a prospective study of a national sample of pregnant smokers. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 964. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7299-7
- Circuit DC. (2010). US Court of Appeals. Vol. 627. Sottera, Inc. v. Food & Drug Administration.
- Cobb, N. K., Byron, M. J., Abrams, D. B., & Shields, P. G. (2010). Novel nicotine delivery systems and public health: the rise of the "e-cigarette". *American journal of public health*, *100*(12), 2340-2342. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2010.199281
- Deliwala, S., Sundus, S., Haykal, T., Theophilus, N., & Bachuwa, G. (2020). E-cigarette, or Vaping, Product Use-associated Lung Injury (EVALI): Acute Lung Illness within Hours of Switching from Traditional to E-cigarettes. *Cureus*, *12*(4), e7513-e7513. doi:10.7759/cureus.7513

- Delnevo, C. D., Giovenco, D. P., Steinberg, M. B., Villanti, A. C., Pearson, J. L., Niaura, R. S., & Abrams, D. B. (2015). Patterns of Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults in the United States. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, *18*(5), 715-719. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntv237
- DeVito, E. E., & Krishnan-Sarin, S. (2018). E-cigarettes: Impact of E-Liquid Components and Device Characteristics on Nicotine Exposure. *Current neuropharmacology*, *16*(4), 438-459. doi:10.2174/1570159X15666171016164430
- Donny, E. C., Denlinger, R. L., Tidey, J. W., Koopmeiners, J. S., Benowitz, N. L., Vandrey, R. G., . . . Hatsukami, D. K. (2015). Randomized Trial of Reduced-Nicotine Standards for Cigarettes. *N Engl J Med*, *373*(14), 1340-1349. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1502403
- Drobes, D. J. (2002). Concurrent Alcohol and Tobacco Dependence: Mechanisms and Treatment. *Alcohol Research & Health, 26*(2), 136-142.
- Du, Shih, Shah, Weber, & Lightstone. (2019). Prevalence and sociodemographic disparities in ever E-cigarette use among adults in Los Angeles County. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 15, 100904. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100904</u>
- Etter, J. F., Zäther, E., & Svensson, S. (2013). Analysis of refill liquids for electronic cigarettes. *Addiction*, 108(9), 1671-1679. doi:10.1111/add.12235
- Georgia. (2014). Sale or distrubution to, or possession by, minors of cigarettes and tobacco related objects. Ga. Code Ann. § 16-12-170.
- Ghosh, S., & Drummond, M. B. (2017). Electronic cigarettes as smoking cessation tool: are we there? *Current opinion in pulmonary medicine*, *23*(2), 111-116. doi:10.1097/MCP.0000000000348
- Gibbs, K., Collaco, J. M., & McGrath-Morrow, S. A. (2016). Impact of Tobacco Smoke and Nicotine Exposure on Lung Development. *Chest, 149*(2), 552-561. doi:10.1378/chest.15-1858
- Glasser, A. M., Collins, L., Pearson, J. L., Abudayyeh, H., Niaura, R. S., Abrams, D. B., & Villanti, A.
 C. (2017). Overview of Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems: A Systematic Review. *Am J Prev Med*, *52*(2), e33-e66. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.10.036
- Glautier, S., Clements, K., White, J. A., Taylor, C., & Stolerman, I. P. (1996). Alcohol and the reward value of cigarette smoking. *Behav Pharmacol, 7*(2), 144-154.
- Gomajee, R., El-Khoury, F., Goldberg, M., Zins, M., Lemogne, C., Wiernik, E., . . . Melchior, M. (2019). Association Between Electronic Cigarette Use and Smoking Reduction in France. JAMA Intern Med. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.1483
- Goniewicz, M. L., Knysak, J., Gawron, M., Kosmider, L., Sobczak, A., Kurek, J., . . . Benowitz, N. (2014). Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. *Tob Control, 23*(2), 133-139. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050859
- Grana, Benowitz, & Glantz Stanton. (2014). E-Cigarettes. *Circulation, 129*(19), 1972-1986. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.007667
- Grana, Glantz, & Ling. (2011). Electronic nicotine delivery systems in the hands of Hollywood. *Tob Control, 20*(6), 425-426. doi:10.1136/tc.2011.043778
- Grana, & Ling. (2014). "Smoking revolution": a content analysis of electronic cigarette retail websites. *Am J Prev Med*, *46*(4), 395-403. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2013.12.010
- Grant, J. E., Lust, K., Fridberg, D. J., King, A. C., & Chamberlain, S. R. (2019). E-cigarette use (vaping) is associated with illicit drug use, mental health problems, and impulsivity in

university students. Annals of clinical psychiatry: official journal of the American Academy of Clinical Psychiatrists, 31(1), 27.

- Hajek, P., Phillips-Waller, A., Przulj, D., Pesola, F., Myers Smith, K., Bisal, N., . . . McRobbie, H. J. (2019). A Randomized Trial of E-Cigarettes versus Nicotine-Replacement Therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *380*(7), 629-637. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1808779
- Harrison, E. L., Desai, R. A., & McKee, S. A. (2008). Nondaily smoking and alcohol use, hazardous drinking, and alcohol diagnoses among young adults: findings from the NESARC. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, *32*(12), 2081-2087. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00796.x
- Hartnett, K. P., Kite-Powell, A., Patel, M. T., Haag, B. L., Sheppard, M. J., Dias, T. P., . . .
 Adjemian, J. (2019). Syndromic Surveillance for E-Cigarette, or Vaping, Product Use– Associated Lung Injury. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *382*(8), 766-772. doi:10.1056/NEJMsr1915313
- Hartwell, G., Thomas, S., Egan, M., Gilmore, A., & Petticrew, M. (2017). E-cigarettes and equity: a systematic review of differences in awareness and use between sociodemographic groups. *Tobacco control, 26*(e2), e85-e91.
- Hecht, S. S. (2003). Tobacco carcinogens, their biomarkers and tobacco-induced cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*, *3*(10), 733-744. doi:10.1038/nrc1190
- Herrington, J. S., & Myers, C. (2015). Electronic cigarette solutions and resultant aerosol profiles. *J Chromatogr A, 1418*, 192-199. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2015.09.034
- Jamal, A., King, B. A., Neff, L. J., Whitmill, J., Babb, S. D., & Graffunder, C. M. (2016). Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults - United States, 2005-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 65*(44), 1205-1211. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6544a2
- Jiang, N., & Ling, P. M. (2011). Reinforcement of smoking and drinking: tobacco marketing strategies linked with alcohol in the United States. *American journal of public health*, 101(10), 1942-1954. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300157
- Kaisar, M. A., Prasad, S., Liles, T., & Cucullo, L. (2016). A decade of e-cigarettes: Limited research & unresolved safety concerns. *Toxicology*, *365*, 67-75. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2016.07.020
- Kalkhoran, S., Chang, Y., & Rigotti, N. A. (2019). Electronic Cigarette Use and Cigarette Abstinence Over 2 Years Among U.S. Smokers in the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Study. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 22*(5), 728-733. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntz114
- Kalkhoran, S., & Glantz, S. A. (2016). E-cigarettes and smoking cessation in real-world and clinical settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med, 4*(2), 116-128. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(15)00521-4
- King, A. C., & Epstein, A. M. (2005). Alcohol dose-dependent increases in smoking urge in light smokers. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res, 29*(4), 547-552. doi:10.1097/01.alc.0000158839.65251.fe
- King, B. A., Patel, R., Nguyen, K. H., & Dube, S. R. (2015). Trends in awareness and use of electronic cigarettes among US adults, 2010-2013. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 17(2), 219-227. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntu191
- Kucharska, M., Wesołowski, W., Czerczak, S., & Soćko, R. (2016). Testing of the composition of e-cigarette liquids - Manufacturer-declared vs. true contents in a selected series of products. *Med Pr*, 67(2), 239-253. doi:10.13075/mp.5893.00365
- Le, D., Moran, M. B., Atnafou, R., Matson, P. A., Jones, M. R., & D'Souza, G. (2019). E-cigarette Use, Tobacco Product Polyuse, and Motivations for Use among Baltimore Young Adults. *Health Behav Policy Rev, 6*(5), 427-437. doi:10.14485/hbpr.6.5.2

- Lee, Y. O., Hebert, C. J., Nonnemaker, J. M., & Kim, A. E. (2014). Multiple tobacco product use among adults in the United States: cigarettes, cigars, electronic cigarettes, hookah, smokeless tobacco, and snus. *Prev Med*, *62*, 14-19. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.01.014
- Levy, D., Yuan, Z., & Li, Y. (2017). The prevalence and characteristics of E-cigarette users in the US Int J Environ Res Public Health 14: E1200. In.
- Lik Hon. (2013). United States.
- Lozano, P., Arillo-Santillán, E., Barrientos-Gutiérrez, I., Zavala-Arciniega, L., Reynales-Shigematsu, L. M., & Thrasher, J. F. (2019). E-cigarette use and its association with smoking reduction and cessation intentions among Mexican smokers. *Salud Publica Mex*, *61*(3), 276-285. doi:10.21149/9797
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health. (2014). The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General. In. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US).
- National Institute of Drug Abuse. (2020). Vaping Devices (Electronic Cigarettes).
- Notley, C., Ward, E., Dawkins, L., & Holland, R. (2018). The unique contribution of e-cigarettes for tobacco harm reduction in supporting smoking relapse prevention. *Harm reduction journal*, 15(1), 31-31. doi:10.1186/s12954-018-0237-7
- Peterson, L. A., & Hecht, S. S. (2017). Tobacco, e-cigarettes, and child health. *Current opinion in pediatrics, 29*(2), 225-230. doi:10.1097/MOP.00000000000456
- Pope III, C. A., & Dockery, D. W. (2006). Health Effects of Fine Particulate Air Pollution: Lines that Connect. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association (Air & Waste Management Association), 56*(6), 709-742. doi:10.1080/10473289.2006.10464485
- Rooke, C., & Amos, A. (2014). News media representations of electronic cigarettes: an analysis of newspaper coverage in the UK and Scotland. *Tob Control, 23*(6), 507-512. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051043
- Schoenborn, C. A., & Gindi, R. M. (2015). Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults: United States, 2014. *NCHS Data Brief*(217), 1-8.
- Schripp, T., Markewitz, D., Uhde, E., & Salthammer, T. (2013). Does e-cigarette consumption cause passive vaping? *Indoor Air, 23*(1), 25-31. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0668.2012.00792.x
- Spirić, V. T., Janković, S., Vraneš, A. J., Maksimović, J., & Maksimovic, N. (2012). The Impact of Air Pollution on Chronic Respiratory Diseases. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 21(2), 481-490.
- St Helen, G., Jacob, P., 3rd, Peng, M., Dempsey, D. A., Hammond, S. K., & Benowitz, N. L. (2014). Intake of toxic and carcinogenic volatile organic compounds from secondhand smoke in motor vehicles. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology, 23*(12), 2774-2782. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0548
- Stromberg, P., Nichter, M., & Nichter, M. (2007). Taking play seriously: Low-level smoking among college students. *Culture, medicine and psychiatry, 31*(1), 1-24.
- US Department of Health & Human Services. (2016). E-cigarette use among youth and young adults. A report of the Surgeon General. *Atlanta, GA*.
- Weitzman, E. R., & Chen, Y. Y. (2005). The co-occurrence of smoking and drinking among young adults in college: national survey results from the United States. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 80(3), 377-386. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2005.05.008

Wilson, F. A., & Wang, Y. (2017). Recent Findings on the Prevalence of E-Cigarette Use Among Adults in the U.S. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *52*(3), 385-390. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.10.029</u>

Chapter III: Manuscript

3.1 Introduction

Young adults have one of the highest rates of alcohol and tobacco use relative to any other age group (Cohn et al., 2015; Pearson et al., 2012; Rath et al., 2012). The potential negative impact of alcohol on future tobacco related-use calls for additional research to understand its association with Electronic Nicotine Devices (ENDS). ENDS are battery-operated products that distribute an aerosol (vapor) to users, with the vapor generated by heating a liquid solution called e-liquid (Grana et al., 2014). In late 2019, the U.S federal government raised the age of purchasing tobacco products from 18 to 21 years partly due to public pressure and the attributable health effects produced by flavored e-liquids. Furthermore, in January 2020, the F.D.A. issued a policy prohibiting the sale of flavored vaping liquids except for menthol and tobacco.

Use of tobacco is one of the leading causes of preventable diseases and deaths in the United States (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). The prevalence of smokers using combustible cigarettes has decreased, but the prevalence of ENDS continues to increase among adults in the United States (Jamal et al., 2016). Assessing composition of ENDS and associated health effects is still developing; its increasing popularity has stemmed from the perceived notion of having reduced levels of harmful toxicants compared to traditional cigarettes (combustible) (Arnold, 2014; Cobb et al., 2010; Kaisar et al., 2016). The Surgeon General reported that smoking cigarettes was responsible for over 480,000 deaths per year in the United States, about one in five deaths annually (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). Volatile Organic compounds (VOCs), for example, are present in ENDS

generated vapor; these chemicals can be irritant, carcinogenic, and neurotoxic. (Goniewicz et al., 2014; Kaisar et al., 2016). Often causing the development of cancer, cardiovascular, and respiratory diseases (Goniewicz et al., 2014; Hecht, 2003; St Helen et al., 2014). In addition, inhalation of particulates generated by ENDS may contribute to the onset of various diseases similar to exposure to VOCs, chronic respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer (Spirić et al., 2012).

Documented in the National Health Interview 2014 Survey, 12.6% of adults had in the past tried ENDS, with use varying by sex, age, and race (Schoenborn & Gindi, 2015). Du et al. (2019) examined factors associated with past ENDS use using logistic regression with adults 18 years and older, living in Los Angeles County, males with some college or higher education level were at increased odds to have used ENDS compared to users with less than a high school degree [Odds Ratio (OR) = 1.52; 95% CI = 1.02, 2.26]. Also, using non-alcohol consumers as a reference, heavy/binge male drinkers had increased odds of past ENDS use than non-heavy/non-binge drinkers [OR = 1.80, 1.38, respectively].

Previous studies have reported an association between alcohol use and smoking traditional combustible cigarettes common among young adults (Drobes, 2002; Jiang & Ling, 2011; Weitzman & Chen, 2005). Bobo and Husten (2000), revealed that 37% of adults who consumed alcohol were also smokers, compared to 13 percent of abstainers. The mechanism of neurotransmitters and nicotinic receptors is explored in the literature. It is said that the mechanisms interact with the initiation of alcohol and smoking, causing an increasing sensation from the effects of these substances on the brain, furthermore increasing the amount consumed to sustain these sensational effects (Falk et al., 2006).

In particular national studies have demonstrated that alcohol and tobacco use heightens during young adulthood (Wagner & Anthony, 2002; Weitzman & Chen, 2005). People who smoke are more likely to consume alcohol, frequently, and in high quantities than non-smokers (Batel et al., 1995; Harrison et al., 2008; Jiang & Ling, 2011). Nicotine, in particular, increases alcohol consumption; in addition, alcohol consumption increases the craving to smoke (Glautier et al., 1996; King & Epstein, 2005). Amongst those who smoke, they report alcohol increasing their desire and enjoyment for cigarettes providing a "double buzz" (Jiang & Ling, 2011; Stromberg et al., 2007).

It is important to explore various socio-demographic variables to draw accurate conclusions about patterns of ENDS use at the population level and to estimate potential benefits or harms. The primary aim of this study was to examine the association of alcohol use and various covariates with ENDS use in a representative sample of young adults age 18-35. Secondary, whether the link between alcohol use and ENDS would be diminished by race or education when controlled for traditional cigarette use.

3.2 Methods

Analysis for this study was based on the 2015-2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). NHANES is a population based series of cross-sectional surveys designed to collect information on the health and nutrition of household population of adults and children in the United States conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Fain, 2017).

Study measures that were considered were obtained from the demographic, alcohol use, and smoking-cigarette use files. All contributors aged 12 years and older were eligible for the

smoking survey but not the alcohol use questionnaire. For the analysis, only individuals 18-35 were included. Adults, 18 years and older, responses were collected in the home using the Computer-Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) system. The CAPI system allows researchers to collect computer-assisted data, to provide a fast turnaround time, in addition improved data quality (Gravlee, 2002).

The smoking-cigarette use data set provided a history of cigarette use, age at initiation, past 30-day use, cigarette brand, sub-brand, and other related details. Questions on past use of cigars, smokeless tobacco, and ENDS were new for the 2015-2016 cycle for adults 18 years and older. Alcohol Use Questionnaire focused on lifetime and current use (past 12 months); questions asked were not specific to the type of alcohol used.

In the interest of this study, self-reported demographic, ENDS, and alcohol usage was determined based on how a person answered the below questions:

- What is the highest grade or level of school have you completed or the highest degree you have received?
- Ever used an e-cigarette? (These are battery-powered devices that usually contain liquid Nicotine, and don't produce smoke. Have you EVER used an e-cigarette EVEN ONE TIME? This hand card shows examples of some e-cigarettes and other devices used to inhale liquid Nicotine; however, there are others not included here).
- How often do you drink alcohol over past 12 months? (In the past 12 months, how often did you drink any type of alcoholic beverage? PROBE: How many days per week, per month, or per year did you drink?)
- What is the number of days, do you drink alcohol per week, month, year?

- Do you now smoke cigarettes?
- Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?

Any participant who did not have complete information such as refused, missing, and did not know was eliminated from the study. Variables used in this study were age, ethnicity, education, annual family income, alcohol usage level in the past 12 months, traditional cigarette use and past ENDS use.

Age

Age was reported in years at the time of screening. Age was then classified into four categories of 18-20 years, 21 – 25 years, 26–30 years, and 31 -35 years.

Ethnicity/Race

Ethnicity included Hispanic origin with Non-Hispanic Asians included. Ethnicity was classified as Mexican American, Other Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White (NH White), Non-Hispanic Black (NH Black), Non-Hispanic Asian (NH Asian) and Multiracial/ Other races.

Education

Education was classified as less than 9th grade, 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma), High school graduate/GED or equivalent, some college or Associate of Arts (AA) degree and college graduate or above.

Annual Family Income

Total household income was reported as a range value in dollars. Annual family income was classified into categories of \$0 - \$24999, \$25000 - \$54999, \$55000 - \$74999, \$75000 - \$999999, and \$100000 and over.

Alcohol usage level in the past 12 months

Based on NHANES measures of alcohol use in the past year, categories of no, low, moderate and high alcohol use was classified into 4 groups based on data generated percentile ranks as follows: low alcohol usage consisted of participants who drank between 1-12 drinks (mean:7.09, SD:4.33), moderate alcohol usage consisted of those who drank between 14-50 drinks (mean:30.13, SD:9.32), high alcohol usage consisted of individuals who drank between 52-364 drinks (mean:119.49 SD:79.46).

Traditional Cigarette Use

Traditional cigarette usage was classified into two categories no and yes. Participants were reported as using traditional cigarettes if they answered "Yes" to "smoked 100 cigarettes in life" and "do you smoke now." Individuals that answered "no" to "smoked 100 cigarettes in life" and were skipped/missing on "do you smoke now," were coded in the no category.

Sample weights were utilized to ensure the analysis is nationally representative—the weighting procedures adjusted for oversampling of specific population groups and non-responses by way of the sampling weights. Comparisons were made with weighted and unweighted results.

Descriptive statistics of study variables, alcohol usage level in the past 12 months, ENDS use, age, race, ethnicity, education, and income were computed. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were computed to assess the associations between demographic characteristics, alcohol use and ENDS use. The outcome of interest, analysis for effect modification was computed to determine if race and education modified the association observed between alcohol use and ENDS use when controlled for traditional cigarette use. For

all the analyses, a p-value of 0.05 and a confidence interval (CI) of 95% was used to determine statistical significance. Analyses utilized SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC).

3.3 Results

The complete survey in 2015-2016 comprised of 9971 individuals; of those participants, 1854 where in the age range of 18 – 35 years old. Only 1145 individuals met the eligibility criteria of this study. The average age of study participants was 26.88 years old (standard deviation (SD): 5.06).

Demographic characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. Among them, 38.29% reported ever using ENDS, 37.85% reported ever using traditional cigarettes and 92.36% reported consuming alcohol in the past 12 months (Table 1). Among individuals who reported ever using ENDS (Table 2), 71.80% of participants used traditional cigarettes, 60.09% were NH White, in the ages of 21-25 (35.08%), with some college or AA degree (38.04%) and income between \$25000-\$54999 (38.20%). Similarly, individuals who did not report using ENDS (Table 2), 16.79% of participants had used traditional cigarettes, 57.56% were NH White in the ages of 31-35 (34.01%), college graduate or above (40.66%) and income between \$25000-\$54999 (33.97%).

Results from univariate analysis of various covariates, alcohol usage level, age, race, education, and income presented an association to ENDS use, and all variables were seen to be significant (P-value, <0.001) (Table 2). Individuals who reported using traditional cigarettes were 12.62 times more likely than non-traditional cigarette users to use ENDS (95% CI: 12.60 - 12.64). Participants who reported high alcohol usage were 1.89 times more likely than no alcohol users to use ENDS (95% CI: 1.88 - 1.89), followed by moderate alcohol users (adjusted odds ratio (aOR):

1.76, 95% CI: 1.76 - 1.77) and low alcohol were 0.92 times less likely than no alcohol users to use ENDS (95% CI: 0.92 - 0.92). In comparison to individuals age 31 -35, 18-20-year-old participants were 2.29 times less likely to use ENDS (95% CI: 2.28 - 2.29), similarly 21 -25-year-old participants were 1.94 times less likely to use ENDS (95% CI: 1.94 - 1.94) and 26 -30-year-old participants were 1.59 times less likely to use ENDS (95% CI: 1.59 - 1.60). Interestingly, Multiracial / Other Race participants were 1.89 times more likely to use ENDS than NH Whites (95% CI: 1.88 - 1.89), the highest amongst all other racial groups. NH Blacks, NH Asians, Mexican American, and Other Hispanics were less likely that NH Whites to use ENDS (aOR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.97 - 0.97, aOR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.52 - 0.52, aOR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.84 - 0.85, aOR: 0.76 95% CI: 0.75 - 0.76 respectively). Additionally, High school graduates/GED or equivalent educated individuals were 1.29 times more likely to use ENDS than participants with Some college or AA degree, and individuals who had an income range of \$0-\$24999 were 2.03 times more likely to use ENDS than participants with income \$100,000 and Over.

Assessing whether the association between alcohol usage level and ENDS use varied by a third-factor race, multivariate analysis revealed, alcohol usage level was significant in the model (p-value: 0.0072) and race was not (p-value: 0.7168) when including traditional cigarette use (p-value: <0.0001). Therefore, there is no evidence to suggest effect modification of alcohol usage level by race. Additionally, evaluating the potential change of association between alcohol usage level and ENDS by including education and controlling for traditional cigarette use, multivariate analysis revealed, alcohol usage levels (p-value: 0.0004), education (p-value: 0.0063) and traditional cigarette use (p-value: <.0001) were significant to be used in the final model. Effect modification of alcohol usage levels by education concluded, there is a significant interaction (p-

vale: <0.0001) between alcohol usage level (p-value: 0.0157) and education (p-value: 0.0421) when controlled for traditional cigarette use (p-vale: <0.0001). This indicates that there is evidence that the alcohol usage level effects ENDS use differing by educational status, and evidence that traditional cigarette use outcome is related to ENDS use.

3.4 Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the prevalence and demographic characteristics associated with ENDS use in young adults in the U.S. Moreover, the study sought to determine if alcohol use in the past year was associated with ENDS use. The prevalence of ENDS use obtained in this study, 38.3%, is very similar to the prevalence obtained in other surveys. For example, the prevalence of ENDS use was 35.8% among adults ages 18 to 24 years in the National Adult Tobacco Survey (NATS) (Delnevo et al., 2015). In addition, similar to the NATS, ever ENDS use was higher in Non-Hispanic Whites than in other racial/ethnic groups. The demographic characteristics associated with ENDS use reflect mostly mid level socioeconomic status such as some higher education, and middle class low to middle income. Data availability of ENDS use amongst young adults is presently limited with socioeconomic status such as poverty statis and household income (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health, 2014). Examining the socioeconomic determinants of ENDS use may provide important background knowledge because ENDS are potential health risks that are relatively recent and rapidly growing in popularity (Assari et al., 2020; Harlow et al., 2019).

With respect to alcohol use, we found that both moderate and high levels of alcohol use was associated with ENDS use. This is a great concern given that alcohol use may exacerbate other health risks among ENDS users, particularly with low socioeconomic status (SES) individuals

who are less educated and have lower income. The health of low SES groups is disproportionately affected by smoking, low income smokers have an increased protentional to suffer more from diseases caused by smoking than smokers with higher incomes (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019).

Our analyses also showed that the association between alcohol and ENDS use varied by education. Educational disparities in ENDS use may include different perceptions of health consequences due to smoking (Cowell, 2006; Gilman et al., 2008; Power et al., 2004). In contrast, lack of educational attainment can increase the prevalence of smoking due to financial and occupational status (Gilman et al., 2008; Wills et al., 2002). Higher educational status generally lowers high-risk behaviors such as ENDS use and generates increased economic and health benefits in the United States (Assari et al., 2020). Policies and programs that encourage and aid in high educational attainment may reduce ENDS use. Further assessment can be conducted to include potential racial differences in the interaction between alcohol usage level and educational status in ENDS use as practices may vary.

Few previous studies have examined the association between alcohol and ENDS in young adults (Cohn et al., 2015). As such, it is clear why substance use, such as alcohol, in young adults is a public health problem that continues to be part of the ENDS related prevention and intervention initiatives (Anthony & Echeagaray-Wagner, 2000; Cohn et al., 2015; Degenhardt & Hall, 2012).

The availability of ENDS and general perceptions of lower harm has led to the increased prevalence of nicotine-addicted users, who would otherwise have been at low risk for tobacco use (Bhatnagar et al., 2019). ENDS use can increase the likelihood that young adults who have

never used any tobacco products could potentially become lifetime users of other tobacco products (Cohn et al., 2015; Delnevo et al., 2015; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012). For these reasons, it would be prudent to expand current policies of ENDS, which currently focus specifically on young adolescents, to also include young adults.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. Our analyses present findings from a cross-sectional study, and as such, causation cannot be determined. Also, the measure on ENDS use was fairly limited. Finally, the self-reported nature of NHANES may introduce social desirability biases to both over and under report certain characteristics of behaviors. However, even with these limitations, this is one of very few studies that have examined the demographic characteristics and alcohol use with respect to ENDS use in a sample of nationally representative young adults.

3.5 Conclusion

ENDS use is common among young adults in the U.S. and is associated with socioeconomic status as well as alcohol use. Prevention efforts need to factor in these demographic characteristics when targeting interventions and also factor in that moderate and heavy alcohol use are associated with ENDS use which likely will exacerbate health concerns among ENDS users.

References

- Anthony, J. C., & Echeagaray-Wagner, F. (2000). Epidemiologic analysis of alcohol and tobacco use: Patterns of co-occurring consumption and dependence in the United States. *Alcohol Research & Health, 24*(4), 201.
- Arnold, C. (2014). Vaping and health: what do we know about e-cigarettes? *Environmental health perspectives, 122*(9), A244-A249. doi:10.1289/ehp.122-A244
- Assari, S., Mistry, R., & Bazargan, M. (2020). Race, Educational Attainment, and E-Cigarette Use. Journal of medical research and innovation, 4(1), 10.32892/jmri.32185. doi:10.32892/jmri.185
- Batel, P., Pessione, F., Maître, C., & Rueff, B. (1995). Relationship between alcohol and tobacco dependencies among alcoholics who smoke. *Addiction*, *90*(7), 977-980. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1995.90797711.x
- Bhatnagar, A., Payne, T. J., & Robertson, R. M. (2019). Is There A Role for Electronic Cigarettes in Tobacco Cessation? *J Am Heart Assoc, 8*(12), e012742. doi:10.1161/jaha.119.012742
- Bobo, J. K., & Husten, C. (2000). Sociocultural influences on smoking and drinking. *Alcohol Research & Health, 24*(4), 225-232.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). Cigarette Smoking and Tobacco Use Among People of Low Socioeconomic Status. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/disparities/low-ses/index.htm
- Cobb, N. K., Byron, M. J., Abrams, D. B., & Shields, P. G. (2010). Novel nicotine delivery systems and public health: the rise of the "e-cigarette". *American journal of public health,* 100(12), 2340-2342. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2010.199281
- Cohn, A., Villanti, A., Richardson, A., Rath, J. M., Williams, V., Stanton, C., & Mermelstein, R. (2015). The association between alcohol, marijuana use, and new and emerging tobacco products in a young adult population. *Addict Behav, 48*, 79-88. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.02.005
- Cowell, A. J. (2006). The relationship between education and health behavior: some empirical evidence. *Health economics*, *15*(2), 125-146.
- Degenhardt, L., & Hall, W. (2012). Extent of illicit drug use and dependence, and their contribution to the global burden of disease. *The Lancet, 379*(9810), 55-70.
- Delnevo, C. D., Giovenco, D. P., Steinberg, M. B., Villanti, A. C., Pearson, J. L., Niaura, R. S., & Abrams, D. B. (2015). Patterns of Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults in the United States. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, *18*(5), 715-719. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntv237
- Drobes, D. J. (2002). Concurrent Alcohol and Tobacco Dependence: Mechanisms and Treatment. *Alcohol Research & Health, 26*(2), 136-142.
- Du, Shih, Shah, Weber, & Lightstone. (2019). Prevalence and sociodemographic disparities in ever E-cigarette use among adults in Los Angeles County. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 15, 100904. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100904</u>
- Fain, J. A. (2017). NHANES: Use of a Free Public Data Set. *The Diabetes Educator, 43*(2), 151-151. doi:10.1177/0145721717698651
- Falk, D. E., Yi, H.-y., & Hiller-Sturmhöfel, S. (2006). An epidemiologic analysis of co-occurring alcohol and tobacco use and disorders: findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey

on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Alcohol research & health : the journal of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 29*(3), 162-171.

- Gilman, S. E., Martin, L. T., Abrams, D. B., Kawachi, I., Kubzansky, L., Loucks, E. B., . . . Buka, S. L. (2008). Educational attainment and cigarette smoking: a causal association?⁺. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *37*(3), 615-624. doi:10.1093/ije/dym250
- Glautier, S., Clements, K., White, J. A., Taylor, C., & Stolerman, I. P. (1996). Alcohol and the reward value of cigarette smoking. *Behav Pharmacol*, 7(2), 144-154.
- Goniewicz, M. L., Knysak, J., Gawron, M., Kosmider, L., Sobczak, A., Kurek, J., . . . Benowitz, N. (2014). Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. *Tob Control, 23*(2), 133-139. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050859
- Grana, Benowitz, & Glantz Stanton. (2014). E-Cigarettes. *Circulation, 129*(19), 1972-1986. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.007667
- Gravlee, C. C. (2002). Mobile Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing with Handheld Computers: The Entryware System 3.0. *Field Methods*, *14*(3), 322-336. doi:10.1177/1525822X0201400305
- Harlow, A. F., Stokes, A., & Brooks, D. R. (2019). Socioeconomic and Racial/Ethnic Differences in E-Cigarette Uptake Among Cigarette Smokers: Longitudinal Analysis of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. *Nicotine Tob Res, 21*(10), 1385-1393. doi:10.1093/ntr/nty141
- Harrison, E. L., Desai, R. A., & McKee, S. A. (2008). Nondaily smoking and alcohol use, hazardous drinking, and alcohol diagnoses among young adults: findings from the NESARC. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, *32*(12), 2081-2087. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00796.x
- Hecht, S. S. (2003). Tobacco carcinogens, their biomarkers and tobacco-induced cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*, *3*(10), 733-744. doi:10.1038/nrc1190
- Jamal, A., King, B. A., Neff, L. J., Whitmill, J., Babb, S. D., & Graffunder, C. M. (2016). Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults - United States, 2005-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 65*(44), 1205-1211. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6544a2
- Jiang, N., & Ling, P. M. (2011). Reinforcement of smoking and drinking: tobacco marketing strategies linked with alcohol in the United States. *American journal of public health*, 101(10), 1942-1954. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300157
- Kaisar, M. A., Prasad, S., Liles, T., & Cucullo, L. (2016). A decade of e-cigarettes: Limited research & unresolved safety concerns. *Toxicology*, *365*, 67-75. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2016.07.020
- King, A. C., & Epstein, A. M. (2005). Alcohol dose-dependent increases in smoking urge in light smokers. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res, 29*(4), 547-552. doi:10.1097/01.alc.0000158839.65251.fe
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion Office on Smoking and Health. (2014). The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General. In. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US).
- Pearson, J. L., Richardson, A., Niaura, R. S., Vallone, D. M., & Abrams, D. B. (2012). e-Cigarette awareness, use, and harm perceptions in US adults. *American journal of public health*, *102*(9), 1758-1766.
- Power, B., Neilson, S., & Perry, I. J. (2004). Perception of the risks of smoking in the general population and among general practitioners in Ireland. *Irish journal of medical science*, *173*(3), 141.

- Rath, J. M., Villanti, A. C., Abrams, D. B., & Vallone, D. M. (2012). Patterns of tobacco use and dual use in US young adults: the missing link between youth prevention and adult cessation. *Journal of environmental and public health, 2012*.
- Schoenborn, C. A., & Gindi, R. M. (2015). Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults: United States, 2014. *NCHS Data Brief*(217), 1-8.
- Spirić, V. T., Janković, S., Vraneš, A. J., Maksimović, J., & Maksimovic, N. (2012). The Impact of Air Pollution on Chronic Respiratory Diseases. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 21(2), 481-490.
- St Helen, G., Jacob, P., 3rd, Peng, M., Dempsey, D. A., Hammond, S. K., & Benowitz, N. L. (2014). Intake of toxic and carcinogenic volatile organic compounds from secondhand smoke in motor vehicles. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology, 23*(12), 2774-2782. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0548
- Stromberg, P., Nichter, M., & Nichter, M. (2007). Taking play seriously: Low-level smoking among college students. *Culture, medicine and psychiatry, 31*(1), 1-24.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2012). Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health.
- Wagner, F. A., & Anthony, J. C. (2002). From first drug use to drug dependence: Developmental periods of risk for dependence upon marijuana, cocaine, and alcohol. *Neuropsychopharmacology, 26*(4), 479-488.
- Weitzman, E. R., & Chen, Y. Y. (2005). The co-occurrence of smoking and drinking among young adults in college: national survey results from the United States. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 80(3), 377-386. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2005.05.008
- Wills, T. A., Sandy, J. M., & Yaeger, A. M. (2002). Stress and smoking in adolescence: A test of directional hypotheses. *Health Psychology*, *21*(2), 122.

Chapter IV: Tables

Low Alcohol Use 405 29.4 Moderate Alcohol Use 220 17.99 High Alcohol Use 413 44.9 Ever used F- Cigarettes 722 61.7 Yes 423 38.2 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.11 No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.81 Age 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.61 26 - 30 333 31.06 26 - 30 333 33.01 31 - 35 326 29.4 Roce 722 62.11 Mon-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic Black 228 11.88 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.81 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 282 21.53 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40		N	Weighted (%)
Low Alcohol Use 405 29.4 Moderate Alcohol Use 220 17.99 High Alcohol Use 413 44.9 Ever used E- Cigarettes 722 61.7 Yes 423 38.2 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.11 No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.8 Age 732 63.11 18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.6 26 - 30 333 31.0.6 26 - 30 333 33.1 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.7 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.8 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Eussthan 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 11gh school graduate/GED	cohol usage level in the past 12 months		
Moderate Alcohol Use 220 17.99 High Alcohol Use 413 44.93 Ever used E- Cigarettes 722 61.7. No 722 61.7. Yes 423 38.29 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.11 No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.83 Age 155 8.94 18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.6 26 - 30 333 31.0 31 - 35 336 29.4 Race 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.7 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.8 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.8 Non-Hispanic Black 228 1.0 Non-Hispanic Black 228 1.2 Non-Hispanic Black 228 1.32	Alcohol Use	107	7.64
High Alcohol Use 413 44.93 Ever used E- Cigarettes 722 61.7. Yes 423 38.22 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.82 Age 1413 37.83 Age 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.62 26 - 30 333 31.02 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 117 11.7. Non-Hispanic White 372 58.52 Mexican American 217 11.7.7. Other Hispanic Islack 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 228 11.83 300 Less than 9th grade 36 2.400 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.51 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00	w Alcohol Use	405	29.44
Ever used E- Cigarettes No 722 61.7: Yes 423 38.2! Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.1! No 732 62.1! Yes 413 37.8! Age 155 8.94 18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.6: 26 - 30 333 31.0: 31 - 35 336 29.4 Race 722 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.5: Mexican American 217 11.7: Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.8: Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 228 11.8: Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.5: <	oderate Alcohol Use	220	17.99
No 722 61.7. Yes 423 38.29 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.19 No 732 62.19 Yes 413 37.83 Age 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.62 26 - 30 333 31.00 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 154 722 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.53 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.55 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or ab	gh Alcohol Use	413	44.93
Yes 423 38.22 Traditional Cigarette Use 732 62.11 No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.82 Age 1155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.62 26 - 30 333 31.00 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 372 58.53 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic White 372 58.53 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.400 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.55 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.77	er used E- Cigarettes		
Traditional Cigarette Use No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.81 Age 1 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.6 29.44 Race 333 31.00 333 31.00 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.53 Mexican American 21.7 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 132 5.30 132 5.30 132 5.30 Up the graduate / GED or equivalent 228 11.81 9.20 11.31 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.55 50 55.000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 Yes 300 32.77 140 9.20 155 Some college or AA degree)	722	61.71
No 732 62.11 Yes 413 37.83 Age 11 37.83 18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.66 26 - 30 333 31.03 31 - 35 336 29.4 Race 333 31.03 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.53 Mexican American 217 11.7 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.88 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.51 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.75 Income 525,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00	S	423	38.29
Yes 413 37.8 Age 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.6 26 - 30 333 311.0 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 336 29.44 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.88 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.54 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.77 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.55 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	aditional Cigarette Use		
Age 18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.67 26 - 30 333 311.07 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race 336 29.44 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.57 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.88 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.440 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.54 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.73 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.03 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.55 \$55,000 to \$74,999)	732	62.15
18 - 20 155 8.94 21 - 25 321 30.65 26 - 30 333 31.00 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race Non-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.88 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.440 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 32.79 \$50,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 32.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 32.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 35.50 35.50 35.50	S	413	37.85
21 - 25 321 30.6 26 - 30 333 31.0 31 - 35 336 29.4 Race 372 58.5 Mexican American 217 11.7 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.8 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	je		
26 - 30 333 31.00 31 - 35 336 29.44 Race	- 20	155	8.94
31 - 35 336 29.44 Race	- 25	321	30.62
Race 372 58.53 Non-Hispanic White 372 58.53 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 36 2.400 Less than 9th grade 36 2.400 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.200 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.55 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income	- 30	333	31.01
Non-Hispanic White 372 58.55 Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income	35	336	29.44
Mexican American 217 11.77 Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.82 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 62 5.01 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.51 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	ice		
Other Hispanic 134 7.61 Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 36 2.40 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	on-Hispanic White	372	58.53
Non-Hispanic Black 228 11.83 Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 62 5.01 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.00 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	exican American	217	11.72
Non-Hispanic Asian 132 5.30 Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 140 9.20 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 \$255,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	her Hispanic	134	7.61
Multiracial / Other Race 62 5.01 Education 50 50 Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 340.0 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	on-Hispanic Black	228	11.83
Education Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 310 23.00 \$255,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	on-Hispanic Asian	132	5.30
Less than 9th grade 36 2.40 9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.56 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.75 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.55 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	ultiracial / Other Race	62	5.01
9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma) 140 9.20 High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	ucation		
High school graduate/GED or equivalent 282 21.50 Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	ss than 9th grade	36	2.40
Some college or AA degree 387 34.04 College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma)	140	9.20
College graduate or above 300 32.79 Income 310 23.00 \$0 to \$24,999 310 23.00 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.33 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	gh school graduate/GED or equivalent	282	21.56
Income 310 23.01 \$0 to \$24,999 310 23.01 \$25,000 to \$54,999 424 35.59 \$55,000 to \$74,999 129 11.31 \$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	me college or AA degree	387	34.04
\$0 to \$24,99931023.02\$25,000 to \$54,99942435.59\$55,000 to \$74,99912911.31\$75,000 to \$99,9991189.90	llege graduate or above	300	32.79
\$25,000 to \$54,99942435.59\$55,000 to \$74,99912911.31\$75,000 to \$99,9991189.90	come		
\$55,000 to \$74,99912911.3\$75,000 to \$99,9991189.90	to \$24,999	310	23.02
\$75,000 to \$99,999 118 9.90	5,000 to \$54,999	424	35.59
	5,000 to \$74,999	129	11.37
\$100,000 and Over 164 20.1	5,000 to \$99,999	118	9.90
	00,000 and Over	164	20.12

Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)

	No	o (N=744)	Yes (N=437)		Weighted**		
	N	Weighted (%)	Ν	Weighted (%)	aOR	95 % CI	P value
Alcohol usage level in the past 12 months							
No Alcohol Use	78	8.68	29	5.96	Referent		
Low Alcohol Use	293	34.29	112	21.62	0.92	0.92 - 0.92	<.0001
Moderate Alcohol Use	134	16.65	86	20.14	1.76	1.76 - 1.77	<.0001
High Alcohol Use	217	40.37	196	52.27	1.89	1.88 - 1.89	<.0001
Traditional Cigarette Use							
No	592	83.21	140	28.20	Referent	·	
Yes	130	16.79	283	71.80	12.62	12.60 - 12.64	<.0001
Age							
31-35	235	34.01	101	22.07	Referent		
18 - 20	84	7.54	71	11.19	2.29	2.28 – 2.29	<.0001
21 - 25	190	27.85	131	35.08	1.94	1.94 – 1.94	<.0001
26 - 30	213	30.60	120	31.66	1.59	1.59 – 1.60	<.0001
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	216	57.56	156	60.09	Referent		
Mexican American	144	12.28	73	10.83	0.85	0.84 – 0.85	<.0001
Other Hispanic	91	8.29	43	6.53	0.76	0.75 – 0.76	<.0001
Non-Hispanic Black	142	11.79	86	11.89	0.97	0.97 – 0.97	<.0001
Non-Hispanic Asian	99	6.43	33	3.47	0.52	0.52 – 0.52	<.0001
Multiracial / Other Race	30	3.65	32	7.19	1.89	1.88 - 1.89	<.0001
Education							
Some college or AA degree	234	31.59	153	38.04	Referent		
Less than 9th grade	24	2.04	12	2.97	1.21	1.20 - 1.22	<.0001
9-11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma)	75	7.91	65	11.28	1.19	1.18 - 1.19	<.0001
High school graduate/GED or equivalent	160	17.80	122	27.61	1.29	1.29 – 1.29	<.0001
College graduate or above	229	40.66	71	20.10	0.41	0.41 - 0.41	<.0001
Income							
\$100,000 and Over	113	22.68	51	15.99	Referent		
\$0 to \$24,999	178	19.78	132	28.25	2.03	2.02 - 2.03	<.0001
\$25,000 to \$54,999	263	33.97	161	38.20	1.60	1.59 - 1.60	<.0001
\$55,000 to \$74,999	81	11.37	48	11.37	1.42	1.41 - 1.42	<.0001
	87	12.20	31	6.20	0.72	0.72 - 0.72	<.0001

Table 4.2 Descriptive and Univariate Analysis of Study Variables by Frequency of Ever E-cigarette use NHANES 2015-2016 (N = 1145)

Cases who refused, missing, and did not know not were excluded from the study and regression analysis

Bold indicates significant findings at α =0.05 (p< .05)

N = number, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, AA = Associate of Arts