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ABSTRACT

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES AND
BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER: FINDINGS FROM THE NATIONAL
EPIDEMIOLOGIC SURVEY ON ALCOHOL AND RELATED CONDITIONS (NESARC)-III

by

ELIZABETH A. FALL

December 11, 2020

INTRODUCTION: Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are traumatic events including abuse, neglect, and related stressors that occur before the age of 18. These exposures affect 61% of adults in the general population. Studies have documented that ACEs contribute to mental illness; however, less is known about its contribution specifically to borderline personality disorder (BPD) and co-morbid substance use disorder (SUD).

AIM: The purpose of this study was to examine the association between each category of 10 ACEs and the total number (ACE score) with borderline personality disorder alone, borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder, and lifetime substance use disorder alone.

METHODS: We used cross-sectional limited-use data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Wave III (NESARC-III). Data were collected between April 2012 to June 2013 and included 36,309 adults in the United States. We assessed 10 different types of ACEs that occurred before age 18 years. Exposure categories included: physical, emotional, and sexual abuse; physical and emotional neglect; growing up in a household with substance abuse; criminal activity; mental illness; parental divorce or separation; and violence against maternal figure. The outcome measures were Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) diagnosis of borderline personality disorder alone, borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder and substance use disorder alone. The NESARC dataset was adjusted for nonresponse and oversampling. Poststratification analyses were used to weight data to represent the United States general population. We extracted weighted prevalence estimates of ACEs for the overall NESARC population, BPD overall and BPD with comorbid SUD. To estimate the association between ACEs and BPD alone, comorbid SUD, and SUD alone we used multiple logistic regression models to calculate adjusted odds ratios and 95% CI’s. Analyses were completed using SAS 9.4.
RESULTS: Weighted prevalence estimates of each ACE among adults with BPD (n= 4301) are as follows: sexual abuse (28.1%); physical abuse (40.0%), emotional abuse (55.9%), emotional neglect (21.7%), physical neglect (21.4%), violence against maternal figure (27.6%), household substance use (45.5%), mental illness (17.6%), incarceration (19.3%), and parental separation or divorce (44.1%). Each category of ACE predicted BPD and BPD with comorbid SUD. Emotional abuse in childhood had the strongest association to BPD (aOR = 3.00 [2.59, 3.48]), while childhood sexual abuse had the strongest association to BPD with comorbid SUD (aOR=4.45 [4.03, 4.91]). Additionally, adults who experienced 4 or more ACEs had 4.72 greater odds of being diagnosed with BPD alone, and 10.02 odds of being diagnosed with BPD with comorbid SUD.

CONCLUSION: Exposure to ACEs contributes to the risk of BPD, and BPD with comorbid SUD. Special emphasis on recognizing the occurrence of childhood trauma among persons with BPD and BPD comorbid SUD is paramount in reducing the likelihood of poor health outcomes. Current treatments for BPD and BPD with SUD include psychotherapies such as DBT, but more research is needed to establish the efficacy of these therapies in treating comorbid BPD with SUD.
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<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<tr>
<td>ACEs</td>
<td>Adverse Childhood Experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDADIS-5</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
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<td>DSM-5</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESARC</td>
<td>National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD</td>
<td>Substance Use Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Post-traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER I - INTRODUCTION

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) refer to traumatic events, including abuse, neglect, and related stressors, that occur before the age of 18. ACEs are a significant and widespread public health problem, affecting up to 61% of adults in the general population and with profound economic and social costs totaling in the billions of dollars each year (CDC, 2019). ACEs can have a long-lasting impact on health outcomes later in life and have been linked to an increased risk of chronic disease, substance abuse, and mental illness.

In the seminal ACE study (Felitti et al., 1998), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention partnered with Kaiser Permanente in San Diego to conduct a large-scale epidemiological study assessing the impact of ACEs on health outcomes from adolescence into adulthood. Over 17,000 adults were assessed from 1995 to 1997 across two waves. In Wave 1, seven of the eight recognized ACEs at the time were assessed: physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, parental mental illness, household substance abuse, maternal battery, and household member incarceration. In Wave 2 of the study, indicators of physical and emotional neglect were included, bringing the total number of ACEs studied to 10 (Dube et al., 2001).

The ACE Study provided strong evidence for the critical need to investigate the association between childhood trauma and mental illness in adulthood. One condition in particular that has been frequently investigated is borderline personality disorder (BPD) (Pietrek et al., 2013, Ball et al., 2009; Battle et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 1999; Laporte & Guttman, 1996, Atlas, 1995, van der Kolk et al., 1994), a severe mental illness characterized by extreme emotional instability, difficulties in maintaining stable relationships and impulsivity. BPD is typically diagnosed in early adulthood. Despite a 1 to 2% prevalence in adults in the U.S population (Lenzenweger et al., 2007), patients with BPD account for 20% of inpatient
psychiatric visits (Zimmerman et al., 2008). Patients with BPD often come from unsupportive, dysfunctional households, and report high rates of childhood emotional abuse and neglect. It has been hypothesized that primary features of borderline personality disorder may develop as an adaptive response to an unstable home environment (Linehan, 1993). Patients with borderline personality disorder report ACEs at a disproportionately high rate compared to the general population. A recent meta-analysis concluded that patients with BPD are over 13 times more likely to report having experienced ACEs compared to people without BPD (Porter et al., 2020).

As reported previously, substance use is strongly correlated with ACE exposure. Unsurprisingly, borderline personality disorder, and substance use disorder are highly correlated. Almost 50% of those with BPD have at least one current substance use disorder (Trull et al., 2018) compared to just 7.4% of the general population (SAMHSA, 2020). BPD with comorbid SUD is linked to an increased association with adverse health outcomes compared to having BPD alone. As many as 79% of patients with BPD attempt suicide and 8-10% die by suicide, a rate 50 times greater than the general population (SAMHSA, 2014). BPD with comorbid SUD may increase this already disparate statistic, as a 2001 study found that patients with BPD and SUD were four times more likely to have attempted suicide compared to BPD patients without addiction problems (Van Den Bosch et al., 2001).

Prior research on the relationship between ACEs and BPD with SUD has been limited in scope and with conflicting conclusions. Among a sample of primarily male substance users seeking inpatient detoxification and treatment, emotional abuse emerged as a risk factor for several personality disorders, including borderline personality disorder (Bernstein et al., 1998). Conversely, a study of 64 female BPD patients with and without SUD recruited from mental
health services and addiction treatment services, found no association between history of traumatic events and the presence of addiction problems (van den Bosch et al., 2001).

While a few recent studies have broadly investigated the associations of ACEs with both lifetime and past-year psychiatric and substance use disorders among older adults using nationally-representative data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Wave III (NESARC-III) (Choi et al., 2017; Rhee et al., 2019), these studies did not evaluate borderline personality disorder. Additionally, a 2020 study using NESARC-III data investigated the association between ACEs and comorbid mental health disorders among adults with an opioid use disorder, by gender (Evans et al., 2020). While this study did indeed investigate the prevalence of BPD among adults with lifetime opioid use disorder, the primary analysis failed to elaborate on the association between ACEs and lifetime opioid use disorder with comorbid borderline personality disorder.

Due to inconclusive evidence from older studies, and a lack of focus on borderline personality disorder utilizing existing epidemiologic data, the critical need for a study investigating the association between adverse childhood experiences and borderline personality disorder with and without substance use disorder is well warranted. Greater knowledge of this relationship may allow for the development of trauma-informed interventions aimed at preventing ACEs and promoting resilience in at-risk populations.

The objective of this research is to examine the contribution of each category of ACE and the total number of ACEs (ACE score) to the risk of borderline personality disorder (BPD) with comorbid substance use disorder(s) using cross-sectional data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) Wave-3 (2012-2013) (Grant et al., 2014). The research questions are:
1) What is the contribution of ACEs to Borderline Personality Disorder?

2) What is the contribution of ACEs to Borderline Personality Disorder with comorbid Substance Use Disorder?

**Null Hypotheses**

1) Adverse childhood experiences will not be associated with borderline personality disorder among adults in the general U.S. population.

2) Adverse childhood experiences will not be associated to borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder among adults in the general U.S. population.
CHAPTER II - LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Adverse Childhood Experiences

1.1.1 ACE Study

The ACE Study provided integral information on the prevalence of each type of ACE in the United States. Substance abuse represented the most common ACE with 25.6% of survey respondents reporting having lived with a household member who was either a problem drinker/alcoholic or used street drugs. Subsequently, the prevalence of sexual abuse was reported at 22.0%, household mental illness at 18.8%, maternal battery at 12.5%, psychological abuse at 11.1%, physical abuse at 10.8% and finally, household incarceration at 3.4% (Felitti et al., 1998). Parental separation/divorce, emotional neglect and physical neglect prevalence were later reported at 23.2% (Dube et al., 2002), 14.8% and 9.9% respectively, in Wave II of the ACE Study (Dube, Felitti, Dong, Chapman, et al., 2003).

In addition to elucidating on the prevalence of specific adverse events, results from the CDC-Kaiser ACE study demonstrated the ubiquitous nature of childhood adversity in the United States and its deleterious impact on health outcomes into adulthood. Close to two-thirds of the participants reported at least one type of ACE, and approximately 40% reported ≥ 2 ACEs. A dose response relationship was observed between the number of childhood exposures and risk of alcoholism, use of illicit drugs, and injection of illicit drugs. Furthermore, participants who experienced four or more types of childhood adversity compared to those with zero experiences, had 4.6 times the odds of having had two or more weeks of depressed mood in the past year, and 12.2 times the odds of having ever attempted suicide.

Further insight provided by the ACE Study includes information pertaining to the contribution of ACEs across generations of birth cohorts (Dube, Felitti, Dong, Giles, et al., 2003).
A total of 17337 participants were divided into 4 birth cohorts (1900-1931, 1932-1946, 1947-1961, and 1962-1978). The main aim of the study was to assess the relationship between ACE score, and six health behaviors and health outcomes including depressed affect, lifetime suicide attempt, multiple sexual partners, sexually transmitted diseases, ever having been a cigarette smoker, and self-reported alcoholism. The study found no significant difference in the ACE score odds ratios between each birth cohort for any of the six health problems examined. These results provided evidence of the consistent impact of ACEs across generations, irrespective of societal and cultural factors.

1.1.2 Intergenerational Nature of ACEs

As evidenced in the previous section by findings from the landmark CDC-Kaiser ACE Study, the detrimental influence of ACEs on health outcomes transcend generations. This leads to the question about the possible mechanisms relating to familial transmission of childhood adversity. Spearheading the body of work relating to this area of study is the Barker Hypothesis. The Barker Hypothesis emphasizes the importance of programming by the environment in fetal and infant life and its impact on later health outcomes (Barker, 1990). Indeed, a retrospective cohort study from the ACE Study evaluated whether exposure to ACEs increased the risk of fetal death among 9159 women (Hillis et al., 2004). Results demonstrated that as the ACE score increased, so too did the risk of fetal death after the first and second pregnancy. Furthermore, in a separate study, researchers evaluated the association between ACEs and pregnancy outcomes among 2303 pregnant women and found that each additional ACE decreased birth weight by 16.33 g and decreased gestational age by 0.063 (Smith et al., 2016).

Several physiological processes likely contribute to adverse birth outcomes among mothers affected by childhood trauma. For example, a 2013 study found that mothers who
experienced childhood sexual abuse exhibit a higher cortisol awakening response (Bublitz & Stroud, 2013) and this response is further aggravated by current maternal stress (Bublitz et al., 2014). Moreover, pregnant mothers exposed to physical and/or sexual abuse have been reported to have increased cortisol concentrations in the hair (Schreier et al., 2015). Excess stress in intrauterine life has several neurodevelopmental consequences, including changes in cell proliferation, neuronal differentiation, cell survival, synaptogenesis, and neurotransmitter levels (Schuurmans & Kurrasch, 2013). These changes are associated with an increased risk of developing neurodevelopmental, psychiatric disorders and substance use disorders in adulthood (Howerton & Bale, 2012). Indeed, Liotti and Pasquini (2000) reported that serious losses experienced by the mother of a BPD patient within the 2 years preceding and following the patient’s birth was a predictive factor in the development of borderline personality disorder. Additionally, a 2013 study (Schwarze et al., 2013) reported that in a sample of 100 patients with borderline personality disorder and 100 age-matched healthy controls, BPD patients were significantly more often exposed to adverse intrauterine conditions including tobacco exposure, medical complications, maternal traumatic stress, familial conflicts, low social support, and partnership problems during pregnancy.

Individuals exposed to a stressful prenatal environment are likely to experience an unfavorable postnatal climate, due to higher levels of post-partum depression in the mother, negative parenting, and potentially, separation from the mother. Crawford et al. (2009) sought to better understand the impact of maternal separation on the developmental course of BPD symptoms in a longitudinal study of 766 children and their mothers. Subjects provided data for two or more follow-up interviews over the course of three time points, 1983, 1985-1986 and 2001-2004. Results from the study found that extended maternal separations before age 5 were a
predictor of BPD symptoms in adolescence and adulthood. More broadly, researchers (Johnson et al., 2002) found that parents who are emotionally under involved with their children, impair their children’s social skills, thereby increasing the children’s likelihood of engaging in suicidal behavior. Indeed, long standing theories of borderline personality disorder hypothesize that parental invalidation coupled with genetic vulnerabilities put children at risk for psychopathologies such as BPD (Linehan, 1993).

1.1.3 Neurologic Effects of ACEs

It has been well established that adverse childhood experiences can negatively affect healthy brain development. Children who experience traumatic events are exposed to abnormally high amounts of stress. A prolonged fight or flight response in childhood can influence genetic dispositions and epigenetic mechanisms (McGowan et al., 2009) during development and contribute to alterations in the autonomic nervous system and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis function (Tarullo & Gunnar, 2006). Stress in the short-term can be an adaptive response to aversive stimuli. Over the long-term, however, elevated cortisol has a negative effect on health and behavioral outcomes (Heim et al., 2010; Whittle et al., 2013).

Chronic stress impairs hippocampal function, the part of the brain responsible for cognitive functions and context-specific fear conditioning. Adults with childhood trauma and PTSD demonstrate reductions in hippocampal volume (Bremner et al., 1997). Hippocampus atrophy and dysfunction are linked to an array of mood problems such as anxiety, panic, depressed affect, hallucinations, and substance abuse (Anda et al., 2006). Additionally, early life stressors are associated with increased locus coeruleus and norepinephrine activity (Abercrombie and Jacobs, 1987). As a result of this increased excitatory neurotransmission, many adolescents
and adults may turn to alcohol and drug use to decrease norepinephrine activity and thereby alleviate unwanted stress.

Indeed, adverse childhood experiences are correlated with neurological abnormalities in BPD patients. Considerable evidence shows that patients with BPD have reductions in amygdala and hippocampus volume (Cattane et al., 2017). Both brain structures are critically involved in emotional regulation and have been identified as areas of the brain that are negatively impacted by abuse (Bremner et al., 2003).

1.1.4 Health Risk Behaviors and ACEs

Research regarding risky behaviors and ACEs has established a strong relationship between both individual types of ACEs and the cumulative ACE exposure with behavioral risks. Data from the 2011 BRFSS indicated that increased odds for binge-drinking were associated with childhood verbal abuse (Campbell et al., 2016). Additionally, data from the same study found that HIV behavioral risks, defined as either using intravenous drugs in the past year, having been treated for an STD in the past year, having been given or received money or drugs in exchange for sex, or having had anal sex without a condom in the past year, was significantly associated with a history of childhood sexual abuse. A dose-response relationship was noted between the ACE score with high-risk behaviors, including binge drinking, heavy drinking, smoking status, and high-risk HIV behavior.

1.2 Borderline Personality Disorder

1.2.1 Diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder

The DSM-5 defines borderline personality disorder as a “pervasive pattern of instability of interpersonal relationships, self-image… and marked impulsivity beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts” (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). To
qualify for a diagnosis of BPD, an individual must display 5 or more out of 9 pre-defined criteria. These include 1) avoidance of abandonment; 2) pattern of unstable relationships; 3) identity disturbance; 4) impulsivity in at least 2 areas that are potentially damaging; 5) recurrent suicidal behavior, gestures or threats; 6) affective instability; 7) chronic feelings of emptiness; 8) inappropriate, intense anger or difficulty controlling anger; and 9) stress-related paranoid ideation.

1.2.2 Prevalence of Borderline Personality Disorder

Borderline personality disorder has been reported to affect 1.4% of the general population in the United States (Lenzenweger et al., 2007), though this number is likely to be higher due to a high rate of undiagnosed or misdiagnosed BPD sufferers (Ruggero et al., 2010). Additionally, while the DSM-5 reports that 75% of diagnosed BPD are females, conflicting numbers have been reported about the disorder's true gender makeup. For example, results from a nationally representative study of 34,643 U.S adults reported a significantly higher prevalence, with 5.9% of subjects reporting a lifetime diagnosis of BPD, with no difference in gender noted (Grant et al., 2008).

Additionally, prevalence rates of BPD tend to show equivalent distribution across race/ethnicity, though a nationally representatively based study indicated a higher rate of BPD in the Native American and African American populations (Tomko et al., 2014).

1.2.3 Parental Invalidation and Borderline Personality Disorder

The exact cause of borderline personality disorder is unknown, though, as mentioned previously, many theories regarding the development of borderline personality disorder emphasize an unstable, invalidating home environment during upbringing. Perhaps the most frequently referenced theory is Linehan’s (1993) Biosocial Theory, which posits that an
emotionally vulnerable child raised in an invalidating home environment, may encourage patterns of emotional dysregulation, that then results in the development of BPD in adulthood. Important to note is that parental invalidation does not necessarily equal abuse in all contexts (Musser et al., 2018). In Linehan’s Biosocial theory, she proposed four types of invalidation: inaccuracy of emotion, misattribution of emotional expression, discouragement of negative emotions, and finally, oversimplification of problem solving. Taken together, these types of invalidation may perpetuate a dysfunctional parent-child relationship, whereby the emotionally sensitive child feels they need to display extreme bouts of emotion in order to receive the parental validation they are seeking, and the parent in turn responds by continuing to invalidate the child by claiming the emotion being exhibited is inappropriate, misattributed, should be suppressed, and/or is easily fixable. Conversely, more normative emotions displayed by the child may be ignored by the parent, resulting in the development of emotional dysregulation.

It is important to emphasize that not every child who experiences ACEs goes on to develop borderline personality disorder. While childhood sexual abuse is frequently reported in high rates in the borderline population, most individuals with a history of childhood sexual abuse do not go on to develop BPD (Fruzzetti et al., 2005). The crux of many dominating theories of BPD are that an emotionally vulnerable individual “mismatched” with an invalidating parent, have a higher likelihood of developing the disorder due to a lack of development of appropriate emotional coping skills and positive reinforcement. ACEs, of course, may represent an extreme form of invalidation likely to exacerbate emotional dysregulation in an already generally invalidating environment. As stated previously, patients with BPD report higher rates of ACEs than the general population. Invalidation can be classified as general, meaning it is pervasive and ongoing, or specific invalidation, which is invalidation in response to a disclosure of a specific
event such as an ACE (Ullman & Peter-Hagene, 2014). Studies have found that childhood sexual abuse-specific invalidation predicts borderline symptomatology (Hong et al., 2011).

There have been some studies that have attempted to study the validity of the biosocial model and failed to find evidence that an interaction between childhood emotional vulnerability and invalidating parenting predicts the development of emotional dysregulation or borderline traits (Gill & Warburton, 2014; Gill, Warburton, & Beath, 2018; Reeves, 2007; Sauer & Baer, 2010). For instance, Gill, Warburton and Sweller (2018) studied a sample of 291 students and 148 individuals with a history of borderline traits. Questionnaires assessing borderline traits and emotional dysregulation, measures of invalidating parenting, other forms of poor parenting, childhood emotional vulnerability, and quality of most significant therapeutic relationship were administered. The study reported that the interaction between childhood emotional vulnerability and history of invalidating parenting was not critically important in predicting borderline traits.

Despite this finding, it is important to keep in mind that these studies have a stricter conceptualization of parental invalidation. While Linehan (1993) considered childhood abuse an extreme version of invalidation, Gill and colleagues conceptualize abuse as a form of “poor parenting” that was measured using the Young Parenting Inventory (YPI; Young, 1999). Conversely the researchers used the Recalled Childhood Socialization Scale (RCSES; Krause, Mendelson & Lynch, 2003) to measure invalidating parenting. Using this scale, the subject reports how their parent’s would have reacted to the following scenarios when they were a child: being upset at losing a prized possession, being upset at being left at a friend’s house and having trouble sleeping after watching a scary TV show. The authors conclude that future research should examine the role of poor parenting (without invalidation) in the development of BPD.
1.2.4 Epigenetics and Borderline Personality Disorder

A genetic predisposition coupled with environmental influences has been frequently studied in the etiology of BPD. A systematic review on the genetics of borderline personality disorder reported a heritability of BPD between 40 and 60% (Amad et al., 2014). Additionally, many studies have implicated the glucocorticoid receptor gene, NR3C1 in BPD. For example, a 2011 study investigated whether childhood maltreatment and its severity were related to increased methylation of the exon 1F of NR3C1 in a sample of 101 borderline personality disorder subjects, 99 major depressive disorder (MDD) subjects, and 15 MDD with comorbid PTSD subjects (Perroud et al., 2011). Results revealed that frequency of abuse and sexual abuse with penetration correlated with a higher methylation percentage of NR3C1 in BPD subjects. Similarly, researchers found that severity of childhood trauma and BPD were associated with higher methylation for NR3C1 (Martín-Blanco et al., 2014).

1.2.5 Comorbid Substance Use Disorder

BPD and SUD are highly correlated. Almost half of those with BPD have at least one current substance use disorder (Trull et al., 2018) compared to just 7.4% of the general population (SAMHSA, 2020). A prospective longitudinal study examined whether BPD patients have a higher rate of new onsets of substance use disorders compared to other personality disorders (Walter et al., 2009). Researchers assessed 175 BPD subjects over a 7-year span. The results revealed that BPD patients demonstrated a shorter time to new onsets of SUD. Additionally, 13% of BPD patients developed a new alcohol use disorder, 11% a drug disorder, compared to just 6% and 4% for other personality disorders. No difference in the rate of onset of new SUD was noted between remitted BPD and non-remitted BPD, reflecting the likely shared etiological factors contributing to both BPD and SUD independent of one another. Additionally,
a study of 117 BPD subjects compared with age and sex-matched controls found that alcohol
dependence, daily tobacco use, and use of illicit substances within the past month were between
4 and 9 times higher in the BPD group than the matched control group (Scalzo et al., 2018).

While there is considerable evidence on the highly prevalent use of substances among
individuals with BPD, insight into the specific types of substances that are most frequently
abused is relatively sparse. A 2016 study using data from Waves 1 and 2 of the National
Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions attempted to answer this question.
The authors created a multivariate model assessing the odds of borderline personality disorder
given 9 different types of substance use disorders (inhalant, sedative, opiate, tranquilizer,
cocaine, hallucinogen, amphetamine, cannabis, and alcohol). After controlling for age, sex, race,
family income, marital status, education urbanicity, region lived in, and other psychiatric
diagnoses, opiate, cocaine, and alcohol use disorder most strongly predicted borderline
personality disorder diagnosis (Carpenter et al., 2016).

While the relationship between BPD and SUD is well-established, the exact cause of the
comorbidity is not known. A 2013 twin study attempted to shed light on the developmental
course of this relationship. Bornovalova et al. (2013) examined 1280 female adolescent twins
and reported that shared environmental factors accounted for the overlap of substance use and
BPD traits at age 14; however, at age 18, genetic factors solely accounted for the association.

Both disorders share common symptoms such as impulsivity and emotional
dysregulation. Unstable relationships, manipulation, and risky behavior are additional common
features of both disorders. However, it is important to note, after accounting for shared features
in both disorders, substantial co-occurrence remains, furthering the argument that comorbidity
between BPD and SUDs is not solely due to symptom overlap (Dulit et al., 1990; Grilo et al.,
1997). The primary driver of this co-occurrence may be shared etiologic risk factors such as ACEs.

1.3 ACEs Relationship to Borderline Personality Disorder and Substance Use Disorder

1.3.1 Sexual Abuse and Borderline Personality Disorder

Perhaps the most frequently implicated ACE within studies of borderline personality disorder is sexual abuse. Herman, Perry, and Van Der Kolk (1989) interviewed 55 subjects, 21 of whom were diagnosed as having borderline personality disorder, 11 with borderline traits and 23 nonborderline subjects with closely related diagnoses, about their childhood histories. Interviews were then scored for an indication of physical abuse, sexual abuse, and witnessing domestic violence. Results from the study showed that significantly more borderline subjects reported histories of trauma than subjects with no borderline diagnosis. Specifically, 68% of BPD subjects reported having experienced sexual abuse compared to just 26% of subjects without BPD.

Childhood sexual abuse has been reported to be associated with increased symptom severity of borderline personality disorder. Suicidality, in particular, appears to be predicted by a history of childhood sexual abuse. Suicidal behavior was found to be independently predicted by the occurrence and severity of childhood sexual abuse among a sample of 61 BPD patients (Soloff et al., 2002). A 2013 study of 76 subjects with BPD assessed the association between suicidal behavior and history of childhood sexual abuse (Ferraz et al., 2013). The results revealed that exposure to sexual abuse in childhood significantly predicted the presence, frequency, and severity of previous suicide attempts among BPD individuals.

Childhood sexual abuse is also related to the likelihood of remission in BPD patients. Biskin et al. (2011) studied a sample of 31 female BPD patients and found that those who did not experience remission were more likely to have experienced childhood sexual abuse.
Additionally, among a sample of 290 BPD inpatients, the absence of childhood sexual abuse predicted earlier time to remission (Zanarini et al., 2006).

Prospective studies of adverse childhood experiences are extremely valuable in determining the temporality of ACE exposure and subsequent psychiatric diagnosis. Researchers in Australia reviewed forensic medical records of 2688 sexually abused children assessed between 1964 and 1995. This information was then linked to the Victorian Psychiatric Case Register. Psychiatric records were obtained for all contacts with public mental health services made prior to 2008. Among female victims of childhood sexual abuse, the rate of borderline personality disorder was seven times higher than that of age-matched controls (Cutajar et al., 2010). No relationship was found between male victims of childhood sexual abuse and the rate of borderline personality disorder, though this may be explained by the higher prevalence of childhood sexual abuse among females within the general population (Dube et al., 2005; Finkelhor, 1994).

A systematic review of sexual abuse and borderline personality disorder reported that out of 17 studies assessing risk factors and diagnosis of BPD, only 2 did not find a relationship with BPD diagnosis (de Aquino Ferreira et al., 2018). A limitation of the body of literature reviewed regarding sexual abuse and borderline personality disorder is the lower number of male participants. Although the prevalence of childhood sexual abuse among males has been reported to be around 16% (Briere & Elliott, 2003; Dube et al., 2005; Finkelhor et al., 1990; Lisak et al., 1996), the rate may be much higher due to a variety of factors. On average, males are more likely to refrain from reporting instances of childhood sexual abuse (Hébert et al., 2009). Non-disclosure may be related to societal stigma, minimization of the experience, disbelief, among other factors.
1.3.2 Emotional Abuse and Borderline Personality Disorder

Emotional abuse is thought to play a critical role in the development of emotional dysregulation within adults with borderline personality disorder. A study of 793 mothers and their offspring found that maternal verbal abuse during childhood was associated with increased risk for borderline personality disorder even after controlling for offspring age, sex, temperament, co-occurring psychiatric disorders, and other ACEs (Johnson et al., 2001).

Childhood emotional abuse, like sexual abuse, is associated with increased symptom severity in BPD. A 2003 study evaluated self-reported childhood abuse histories of 182 patients with personality disorders and reported that emotional abuse was associated with clinical severity among patients with BPD and served as a predictor of borderline personality disorder diagnosis among men (Bierer et al., 2003). Additionally, among a sample of 243 undergraduates, the frequency of self-reported childhood emotional abuse was independently associated with BPD feature severity (Kuo et al., 2015). Results from nationally representative data have also provided evidence of this association. Using data from Wave 2 of the NESARC, researchers reported emotional abuse was associated with borderline personality disorder after controlling for demographics, other maltreatment types, parental psychopathology, and co-occurring personality disorders (Waxman et al., 2013).

1.3.3 ACEs and Substance Use Disorder

Problematic substance use has been repeatedly shown to be associated with a history of adverse childhood experiences. To cope with stressful and traumatic situations, adolescents may adopt substance use as a coping mechanism. Many studies have found an association between ACEs and early initiation of substance use (Anda et al., 1999; Dube et al., 2006). Results from the ACE Study found that when compared to adults with no ACEs, those with at least 4 ACEs
have twice the odds of reporting ever heavy drinking and three-time the odds of reporting problems with alcohol in adulthood (Dube et al., 2002). Childhood abuse and exposure to violent crime are associated with an increased risk of substance dependence (Douglas et al., 2010).

### 1.3.4 ACEs and Borderline Personality Disorder with Substance Use Disorder

Very few studies have assessed the relationship between ACEs and BPD with concurrent SUD, with most studies sampling from treatment-seeking SUD patients. A study in 2008 examined a sample of 76 inpatient residents in a drug and alcohol abuse treatment program in Washington, D.C., to determine factors related to co-occurring borderline personality disorder (Gratz et al., 2008). The study found that past year substance use was significantly related to both emotion dysregulation and BPD diagnosis. Additionally, substance users with BPD reported higher levels of ACE exposure.

A 2015 study of 1205 treatment-seeking patients with a substance use disorder found patients with both SUD and BPD reported higher rates of having experienced emotional and physical abuse, neglect, or family violence compared to patients with only SUD (Wapp et al., 2015). Surprisingly, the number of adverse childhood experiences reported was higher among the SUD only group than the SUD + BPD group. Limitations of the study may explain this peculiar finding. The researchers used the Conners’ Adult ADHD Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV (CAADID) Part I to assess ACEs instead of the more commonly used Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. The CAADID combines physical and emotional neglect into a singular risk factor and leaves out parental incarceration and parental separation/divorce. Additionally, the study recognized that significantly more patients with SUD + BPD had ADHD than individuals with SUD alone. Therefore, patients may have been misdiagnosed with BPD and
only had ADHD. As there was no BPD only comparison group, there was no way to evaluate BPD specific risk factors.
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Abstract

Introduction:
Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are traumatic events including abuse, neglect, and related stressors that occur before the age of 18. These exposures affect 61% of adults in the general population. Studies have documented that ACEs contribute to mental illness; however, less is known about its contribution specifically to borderline personality disorder (BPD) and comorbid substance use disorder (SUD). The purpose of this study was to examine the association between each category of 10 ACEs and the total number (ACE score) with borderline personality disorder alone, borderline personality disorder with comorbid SUD, and lifetime substance use disorder alone.

Methods:
We used cross-sectional limited-use data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Wave III (NESARC-III). Data were collected between April 2012 to June 2013 and included 36,309 adults in the United States. We assessed 10 different types of ACEs that occurred before age 18 years. Exposure categories included: physical, emotional, and sexual abuse; physical and emotional neglect; growing up in a household with substance abuse; criminal activity; mental illness; parental divorce or separation; and violence against maternal figure. The outcome measures were DSM-5 diagnosis of borderline personality disorder alone, borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder and substance use disorder alone. The NESARC dataset was adjusted for nonresponse and oversampling. Poststratification analyses were used to weight data to represent the United States general population. We extracted weighted prevalence estimates of ACEs for the overall NESARC population, BPD overall and BPD with comorbid SUD. To estimate the association between ACEs and BPD alone, comorbid SUD, and SUD alone we used multiple logistic regression models to calculate adjusted odds ratios and 95% CI’s. Analyses were completed using SAS 9.4.

Results:
Weighted prevalence estimates of each ACE among adults with BPD (n= 4301) are as follows: sexual abuse (28.1%); physical abuse (40.0%), emotional abuse (55.9%), emotional neglect (21.7%), physical neglect (21.4%), violence against maternal figure (27.6%), household substance use (45.5%), mental illness (17.6%), incarceration (19.3%), and parental separation or divorce (44.1%). Each category of ACE predicted BPD and BPD with comorbid SUD. Emotional abuse in childhood had the strongest association to BPD (aOR = 3.00 [2.59, 3.48]), while childhood sexual abuse had the strongest association to BPD with comorbid SUD (aOR=4.45 [4.03,4.91]). Additionally, adults who experienced 4 or more ACEs had 4.72 greater odds of being diagnosed with BPD alone, and 10.02 odds of being diagnosed with BPD with comorbid SUD.

Conclusion:
Exposure to ACEs contributes to BPD diagnosis, and BPD with comorbid SUD among adults. Special emphasis on recognizing the occurrence of childhood trauma among persons with BPD and BPD comorbid SUD is paramount in reducing the likelihood of poor health outcomes. Current treatments for BPD and BPD with SUD include psychotherapies such as Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT), but more research is needed to establish the efficacy of these therapies in treating BPD and comorbid SUD.
CHAPTER III - MANUSCRIPT

1.4 Introduction

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) refer to traumatic events including abuse, neglect, and related stressors, that occur before the age of 18. ACEs are a significant and widespread public health problem, affecting up to 61% of adults in the general population and with profound economic and social costs totaling in the billions of dollars each year (CDC, 2019). ACEs can have a long-lasting impact on health outcomes later in life and have been linked to an increased risk of chronic disease, substance abuse, and mental illness. Many mental health conditions associated with ACEs co-occur with one another. Depression and anxiety have been extensively studied, however, the contribution of ACEs to psychopathologies such as borderline personality disorder (BPD) have not been studied as extensively.

Notably, borderline personality disorder, and substance use disorder (SUD) are highly correlated. Almost 50% of those with BPD have at least one current substance use disorder (Trull et al., 2018) compared to just 7.4% of the general population (SAMHSA, 2020). This comorbidity is also linked to an increased likelihood of adverse health outcomes compared to having BPD alone. As many as 79% of patients with BPD attempt suicide and 8-10% die by suicide, a rate 50 times greater than the general population (SAMHSA, 2014). BPD coupled with SUD may increase this already disparate statistic, as a 2001 study found that patients with BPD and SUD were four times more likely to have attempted suicide compared to patients with BPD without addiction problems (van den Bosch et al., 2001).

While there is a vast array of studies on the relationship between childhood trauma and development of borderline personality disorder, major gaps in the literature remain. For instance, many studies have investigated the contribution of ACE categories individually to BPD
diagnosis: childhood sexual abuse (de Aquino Ferreira et al., 2018; Ogata et al., 1990, Ferraz et al., 2013, McLean & Gallop, 2003; Fossati et al., 1999; Herman, Perry & van der Kolk, 1989), emotional abuse (Battle et al., 2004; Carvalho Fernando et al., 2014), physical abuse (Haller & Miles, 2004; Soloff et al., 2002; Atlas, 1995; Paris & Guzder, 1994) and neglect (Sar et al., 2006; Bierer et al., 2003; Zanarini et al., 1997). Few studies have examined the contribution of the remaining categories of ACEs including household member incarceration, substance use, mental illness, female battery, and parental separation/divorce. Even fewer have investigated the prevalence of all 10 categories of ACE described in the ACE Study (Felitti et al, 1998; Dube et al., 2003) among individuals with BPD. Additionally, little information is known about the association between the number of ACEs experienced and subsequent borderline personality disorder. Finally, no study to our knowledge has examined the contribution of ACEs to BPD with comorbid conditions including SUD using nationally representative data.

Using nationally representative data from the NESARC Wave 2 (2004-2005), Afifi et al., (2011) did evaluate the relationship between ACEs and Axis II personality disorders. They found strong relationships between each type of ACE and borderline personality disorder. We hope to update these findings using Wave 3 (2012-2013) NESARC data, and additionally, we will be evaluating the impact of ACEs, including the cumulative ACE score, to BPD alone, BPD with comorbid SUD, and SUD alone.

It is imperative to understand the impact that common risk factors, such as ACEs, have on borderline personality disorder with substance use disorder, as this comorbidity further increases the risk of early death in an already vulnerable population. Therefore, this study aims to assess the association between adverse childhood experiences and borderline personality disorder with and without comorbid substance use disorder(s).
1.5 Methods

1.5.1 Data and Sample

We used a cross-sectional limited-use dataset from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Wave III (NESARC-III), which was obtained with permission from National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. NESARC-III is a nationally representative survey of 36,309 adults in the United States conducted between April 2012 to June 2013. Noninstitutionalized U.S residents aged 18 and older were recruited via U.S Census Bureau files. Participants completed an automated computer-assisted interview and a questionnaire on their personal history of alcohol use and related disorders, mood symptomatology, mental health diagnoses, drug and tobacco use, and personality traits (Grant et al., 2014). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board from Georgia State University.

NESARC-III assessed lifetime diagnosis of DSM-5 psychiatric disorders and substance use disorders using the AUDADIS-5 (Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-5). AUDADIS-5 was developed by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) for use in epidemiologic surveys on the general population. Interviews were administered in-person and were facilitated using flashcards to help guide respondents through the questions.

1.5.2 Diagnosis of Substance Use Disorder

The DSM-5 diagnoses substance use disorder using the following 11 diagnostic criteria:

1) Substance taken in larger amount or over a longer period than was intended.

2) Persistent or unsuccessful attempts to cut down or eliminate use of the substance.
3) Large amount of time is spent in activities necessary to obtaining the substance, using the substance, or recovering from the effects from the substance.

4) Strong craving or desire to use the substance.

5) Substance use that negatively impacts daily obligations at work, school, or home.

6) Continued substance use despite negative impact on interpersonal relationships due in part to substance use.

7) Important social, occupational, or recreational activities reduced or given up due to substance use.

8) Recurrent substance use in physically hazardous situations.

9) Continued substance use despite knowledge of harmful physical and psychological effects caused or exacerbated by substance use.

10) Tolerance

   a. Increased amounts of substance needed to achieve desired effect

   b. Diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of substance

11) Withdrawal

   a. Characteristic withdrawal syndrome

   b. Same or closely related substance taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms

Substances evaluated for lifetime substance use disorder in NESARC-III include alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs (sedatives, cannabis, opioids, cocaine, stimulants, hallucinogen, heroin, inhalant/solvent, and club drugs).
1.5.3 Diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder

Diagnosis of borderline personality disorder using DSM-5 criteria requires the presence of at least 5 or more out of 9 pre-defined criteria. These criteria include 1) avoidance of abandonment; 2) pattern of unstable relationships; 3) identity disturbance; 4) impulsivity in at least 2 areas that are potentially damaging; 5) recurrent suicidal behavior, gestures or threats; 6) affective instability; 7) chronic feelings of emptiness; 8) inappropriate, intense anger or difficulty controlling anger; and 9) stress-related paranoid ideation. The AUDADIS-5 assessed the nine BPD criteria using 30 symptom items. Respondents were instructed to answer, “about how you have felt or acted MOST of the time since early adulthood regardless of the situation or whom you were with.” Diagnoses were coded dichotomously (no=0, yes=1).

1.5.4 Adverse Childhood Experiences

NESARC-III assessed adverse childhood experiences using the original ACE Study questionnaire (Felitti et al., 1998; Anda et al., 2008; Anda et al., 1999; Chapman et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2004; Dube et al., 2001; Dube et al., 2003; Dube et al., 2005; Dube et al., 2009; Hillis et al., 2004) that included questions adapted from the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (Bernstein et al., 1998), the Conflict Tactics Scale (Straus, 1979), and childhood sexual abuse questionnaire (Wyatt, 1985). In this study, we examined ten categories of ACEs including 1) emotional abuse; 2) physical abuse; 3) sexual abuse; 4) emotional neglect; 5) physical neglect; 6) witnessing mother or other adult female treated violently; 7) parent’s or other household member’s substance abuse; 8) parent’s or other household member’s severe mental illness; 9) parent or other household member’s incarceration; 10) parental separation or divorce.
1.5.5 Operationalization of ACEs

Definitions of each category of ACE was based on the established definitions from the CDC-Kaiser ACE Study.

1. Physical abuse was defined as a response of “sometimes” or greater to either of the following questions: how often a parent or other adult living in the respondent’s home pushed, grabbed, shoved, slapped, or hit the respondent; or struck the respondent it left marks or bruises, or caused an injury.

2. Emotional abuse was identified as a response of “sometimes”, “fairly often” or “very often” to any of the questions pertaining to how often a parent or other adult living in the participant’s home swore at, insulted, or said hurtful things to the respondent; threatened to hit or throw something at the respondent (but did not do it); or acted in any other way that made the respondent afraid he/she would be physically hurt or injured.

3. Sexual abuse was defined using responses to 4 questions that examined how often an adult/other person in the respondent’s life touched or fondled the respondent in a sexual way, had the respondent touch their body in a sexual way, attempted intercourse, or actually had intercourse when the respondent did not want the act to occur or was too young to understand what was happening. Any response other than “never” on any of the questions was coded as sexual abuse.

4. Physical neglect was defined as a summed score of >10 to five questions that asked how often the respondent had to do difficult or dangerous chores, was left unsupervised as a young child or went without needed clothing, school supplies, food, or medical treatment.

5. Emotional neglect was defined by five questions asking whether respondents felt a part of a supportive family or whether anyone in the family made the respondent feel special, wanted
them to succeed, believed in them, or provided strength and support. Responses were reverse-coded and summed. A score > 15 was coded as emotional neglect.

6. Parental/other household member substance abuse was defined by a response of “yes” to whether a parent or other adult living in the home had alcohol abuse or substance abuse issues.

7. To characterize the history of witnessing domestic violence against female household member, respondents were asked how often the respondent’s father or other adult male had ever done any of the following to the respondent’s mother/other adult female: pushed, grabbed, slapped, or threw something at her; kicked, bit, hit with a fist, or hit her with something hard; repeatedly hit her for at least a few minutes; or threatened to use or actually used a knife or gun on her. Any response of “sometimes” or greater for questions 1 or 2, or any response except “never” for questions 3 or 4, was defined as having a battered mother.

8. Parental/other household member incarceration was defined by a “yes” or “no” whether a parent or other adult in the home went to jail or prison.

9. Parent/other adult with severe mental illness was defined by a “yes” or “no” to the question if the parent or adult was treated or hospitalized for mental illness, attempted, or actually committed suicide.

10. Parental divorce or separation/living with 1 parent was defined by a response of yes when asked if biological or adoptive parents got divorced or permanently stopped living together before the respondent was 18 or a response of no when asked if respondent’s biological father ever lived in the household while growing up, regardless of whether he and the mother were married or not. We decided to include individuals who answered “no” to the question “Did biological father ever live in household before respondent was 18?” (n = 5161) due to a large number of missing observations (n = 5822) labelled as “NA, did not experience or unknown if
experienced divorce of biological/adoptive parents before age 18” to the question “Did biological or adoptive parents get divorced or permanently stop living together before age 18?”. Cross-tabulation revealed the majority of these NA values were individuals whose biological father never lived in the household before age 18.

ACE’s were dichotomously coded (1 = experienced and 0 = did not experience each ACE). Missing values were coded as having not experienced a particular ACE.

1.5.6 Total Number of ACEs

The number of ACEs were summed for each participant (range: 0-10) to calculate an ACE score. ACE Scores were then classified into 5 categories (0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 or more).

Statistical Analysis

The NESARC dataset was adjusted for nonresponse and oversampling. Poststratification analyses were used to weight data to represent the United States general population based on information from the 2012 American Community Survey (Bureau of the Census, 2013). Weighted prevalence estimates of each ACE and ACE Score were calculated for the general population, overall BPD population, and BPD with comorbid SUD. Adjusted odds ratios and 95% CI’s were calculated to estimate the association between ACES and BPD only, ACEs and BPD and comorbid SUD, and SUD only. Full models included covariates that controlled for age, sex (female versus male), race/ethnicity (other versus white, non-Hispanic), and educational level (high school, some college, college graduate versus less than high school). All analyses were done using SAS 9.4.
1.6 Results

1.6.1 Demographics of Study Population

The demographic characteristics of the NESARC population stratified by gender can be found in Table 1. The study population consisted of 36309 participants. Male (n= 15862) participants were 45.9 years old on average. Female participants (n = 20447) were 47.2 years old on average. The average age of the study population was 46.5 (SE=0.19) years old. The majority of the study population was White, non-Hispanic (66.2%), followed by Hispanic, any race (14.7%), Black, non-Hispanic (11.8%), Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5.7%), and American Indian/Alaska Native (1.6%). About 15.1 % of adults had less than a high school degree, 29.9 % had at least a high school degree, 38.4% attended some college, and 16.5% were college graduates.

1.6.2 Estimated Weighted Prevalence of ACEs among NESARC study participants

The estimated weighted prevalence of each category of ACE and ACE Score for the NESARC population, stratified by gender, can be found in Table 3. Overall, about 61.6% of adults in the United States have experienced at least 1 ACE (60.3% of males, 62.7% of females). As shown, about 17.1% of females reported 4 or more ACEs versus 13.1% of males.

In terms of each category of ACE, the gender breakdown of the 10 ACEs is as follows: parental separation/divorce/1 parent household (32.0% of females, 30.1% of males), emotional abuse (27.2% of females, 26.0% of males), parental/other household member substance abuse (26.3% of females, 22.8% of males), physical abuse (18.2% of females, 19.2% of males), sexual abuse (15.9% of females, 6.0% of males), violence against mother/other female household member (13.8% of females, 10.3% of males), emotional neglect (11.0% of females, 8.7% of males), physical neglect (8.6% of females, 8.2% of males), parental/other household member
incarceration (8.1% of females, 7.2% of males), and parental/other household member mental illness (7.8% of females, 5.8% of males). The prevalence of childhood sexual abuse among females was significantly higher than males, with females over twice as likely to report having experienced it as a child (p < .0001). For most ACEs, the weighted prevalence was significantly higher among females at a p<0.05 significance level, with a few exceptions. No gender difference was observed for the prevalence of childhood physical neglect (p = 0.27), and physical abuse (p = 0.06).

1.6.3 Demographics of Borderline Personality Disorder

The demographic characteristics of adults with borderline personality disorder can be found in Table 2. The weighted prevalence of borderline personality disorder within the NESARC sample was 11.4% (CI: 10.8 – 12.0). The mean age of participants with borderline personality disorder was 42.3 years old. Sex distribution of adults with BPD was not significantly different, with females representing 52.7% of adults with BPD (p=0.63). Out of 4301 individuals with borderline personality disorder, the estimated weighted prevalence of lifetime substance use disorder was 76.6% (CI: 74.9-78.3). A significant difference was noted in the sex distribution of lifetime substance use disorder among adults with BPD (p < .0001). Approximately 83.3% percent of male adults with BPD had a lifetime substance use disorder versus approximately 70.5% of females.

1.6.4 Estimated Weighted Prevalence of ACEs among adults with BPD

The estimated weighted prevalence of ACEs among adults with BPD, stratified by gender, can be found in Table 4. Overall, about 44.9% of female adults with BPD reported 4 or more ACEs. Among males with BPD, 37.8% reported 4 or more ACEs. Including both sexes, about 41.6% reported 4 or more ACEs.
In terms of each category of ACE, the gender breakdown of the 10 ACEs is as follows:

- Sexual abuse (38.6% of females, 16.4% of males)
- Physical abuse (39.3% of females, 40.7% of males)
- Emotional abuse (58.2% of females, 53.3% of males)
- Emotional neglect (24.4% of females, 18.7% of males)
- Physical neglect (22.7% of females, 20.0% of males)
- Violence against mother/other female household member (30.1% of females, 24.8% of males)
- Parental/other household member substance abuse (46.6% of females, 44.2% of males)
- Parental/other household member mental illness (20.4% of females, 14.5% of males)
- Parental/other household member incarceration (19.0% of females, 19.6% of males)
- Parental separation/divorce/1 parent household (45.9% of females, 42.1% of males)

1.6.5 Adjusted Odds Ratios of ACEs among adults with BPD only

Overall, every category of ACE was associated with a higher likelihood of borderline personality disorder diagnosis alone, even after controlling for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and educational level (see Table 5). The category of ACE with the highest association was emotional abuse, with those who experienced it having a 3 times higher odds of borderline personality disorder diagnosis alone than those who did not. Additionally, those who experienced emotional neglect (aOR = 2.77 [2.29, 3.36]), sexual abuse (aOR = 2.50 [2.12, 2.96]), physical neglect (aOR = 2.43 [2.04, 2.88]), physical abuse (aOR = 2.23 [1.90, 2.63]), witnessing violence against mother/other female household member (aOR = 2.19 [1.85, 2.60]), parental/other household member mental illness (aOR = 1.89 [1.51, 2.36]), parental/other household member incarceration (aOR = 1.88 [1.52, 2.31]), parental/other household member substance abuse [aOR = 1.49 [1.26, 1.75]), parental divorce/separation or 1 parent household (aOR = 1.26 [1.07, 1.50]) had a higher likelihood of being diagnosed with borderline personality disorder alone. Individuals with 4 or
more ACEs, had 4.72 higher odds of being diagnosed with borderline personality disorder compared to those who experienced 0 ACEs.

1.6.6 Adjusted Odds Ratios of ACEs among adults with BPD and comorbid SUD

Each category of ACE assessed was significantly associated with the presence of borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder among NESARC participants after controlling for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education (see Table 5). Sexual abuse had the largest association (aOR = 4.45 [4.03, 4.91]), followed by emotional abuse (aOR = 4.29 [3.84, 4.79]), physical abuse (aOR = 3.79 [3.42, 4.19]), physical neglect (aOR = 3.78 [3.36, 4.25]), parental/other household member mental illness (aOR = 3.73 [3.36, 4.15]), witnessing violence against mother/other female household member (aOR = 3.29 [2.96, 3.64]), parental/other household member incarceration (aOR = 3.16 [2.78, 3.58]), parental/other household member substance abuse (aOR = 3.12 [2.84, 3.41]), emotional neglect (aOR = 2.75 [2.43, 3.11]), and parental divorce/separation or 1 parent household (aOR = 1.66 [1.48, 1.86]). In terms of ACE score, adults who reported 4 or more adverse childhood experiences (aOR = 10.02 [8.63, 11.64]) were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with borderline personality disorder with comorbid lifetime substance use disorder compared to adults who reported 0 ACEs.

1.6.7 Adjusted Odds Ratios of ACEs among adults with Lifetime Substance Use Disorder only

Table 5 shows the adjusted odds ratios for each category of ACE and their association with the presence of a lifetime substance use disorder. Emotional abuse (aOR = 1.23 [1.14, 1.32]), physical abuse (aOR = 1.23 [1.15, 1.33]), parental divorce/separation or 1 parent household (aOR = 1.39 [1.30, 1.50]), parental/other household member substance abuse (aOR =
1.34 [1.25, 1.44]), parental/other household member incarceration (aOR = 1.18 [1.06, 1.31]), and
violence against mother/other female household member (aOR = 1.16 [1.06, 1.26]) were all
significantly associated with the likelihood of being diagnosed with a lifetime substance use
disorder. Interestingly, sexual abuse (aOR = 1.08 [0.99, 1.19]), physical neglect (aOR=1.06
[0.96, 1.17]), emotional neglect (aOR = 1.02 [0.92, 1.13]), and parental/other household member
severe mental illness (aOR = 0.95 [0.83, 1.10]), were not associated with lifetime substance use
disorder. Additionally, individuals who experience 4 or more ACEs were not significantly more
likely to have a lifetime substance use disorder (aOR = 1.49 [1.35, 1.64]). However, individuals
who experienced 2 ACEs (aOR = 1.61 [1.47, 1.76]), and 3 ACEs (aOR = 1.64 [ 1.43, 1.87]), had
a significantly higher likelihood of lifetime substance use disorder.

1.7 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the role of multiple, interrelated
ACEs in predicting borderline personality disorder with comorbid substance use disorder using a
nationally representative sample. As hypothesized, this study's results provide additional support
for evidence of the significantly higher prevalence of ACEs among individuals with BPD. Each
category of ACE was significantly related to borderline personality disorder diagnosis alone, and
comorbid SUD among NESARC participants. Emotional abuse before the age of 18 had the
highest association with BPD, while childhood sexual abuse had the strongest association with
BPD with SUD. Additionally, adults who experienced 4 or more ACEs were significantly more
likely to be diagnosed with borderline personality disorder alone and borderline personality
disorder and comorbid substance use disorder.

Interestingly, adults who experienced 4 or more ACEs were not significantly more likely
to be diagnosed with a lifetime substance use disorder. The reason for this is not entirely clear,
though because tobacco use disorder made up 27.9% of the 43.1% weighted prevalence for lifetime substance use disorder, future study may benefit from further breakdown of lifetime substance use disorder into distinct categories i.e., lifetime alcohol use disorder, tobacco use disorder, and illicit drug use disorder.

Our weighted prevalence estimates of ACEs in the general population match up with recent population-based data. While we observed that 26.5% of the United States general population had experienced childhood emotional abuse, the 2011-2014 BRFSS reported 34.4% of Americans had experienced childhood emotional abuse (Merrick et al., 2018). The rate of household mental illness reported in our study (6.9%) was significantly lower than that reported in the BRFSS (16.5%). The reason for this is likely due in part to the questions used to ascertain this ACE in the NESARC. More specifically, three of the questions used by NESARC are as follows “Before you were 18, was a parent/other adult living at home treated/hospitalized for a mental illness?”, “Before you were 18, did a parent/other adult living at home attempt suicide?”, and finally “Before you were 18, did a parent/other adult living at home actually commit suicide?”. These questions imply a much more severe form of mental illness. It is likely that a significant percentage of individuals had a parent/other adult living at home with a mental illness who was never treated/hospitalized for their illness, never attempted suicide, and never committed suicide, suggesting that there is likely underreporting of other less severe forms of mental illness, that may still be detrimental exposure for young children.

Our weighted prevalence estimate of parental separation/divorce (31.1%) for NESARC respondents was only slightly higher than the BRFSS results (27.6%). This may be due in part because of the inclusion of respondents who indicated that before age 18 years, their biological father did not ever live in the household. Other estimates of parental divorce/separation using the
ACEs measures in BRFSS, have ranged from 31% in 2014 among North Carolina adults (NCSCHS, 2014), to 32% in 2016-2018 among Georgia adults (Davis et al., 2018). Our weighted prevalence of violence against mother/female household member was lower (12.2%) compared to results from the BRFSS (17.5%). It is important to note that while the BRFSS is conducted over the telephone, NESARC-III participants were interviewed in person. This difference in survey modality may lead to response bias on the part of participants. Individuals may feel more comfortable divulging difficult childhood experiences over the phone versus in person.

We found no gender-specific differences in the prevalence of BPD in the NESARC-III. Our findings are similar to Grant et al. (2008) where no gender-specific differences were observed in the NESARC Wave II data (Grant et al., 2008). This is contrary to the gender specific-rates reported in the DSM-5. According to Busch et al., (2016), the prevalence rates of BPD reported by the DSM-5 are based on the results of a meta-analysis of 75 studies conducted close to 30 years ago (Widiger & Trull, 1993). Many of the studies included in this meta-analysis purportedly used clinical samples, which largely skew female and do not represent the population. Women generally seek treatment more frequently than men (Möller-Leimkühler, 2002), therefore the rates reported by the DSM-5 represent the clinical population more so than the general population.

We observed similar rates of physical abuse (~40%) and emotional neglect (~21%) among adults with BPD as Afifi et al. (2011) who used NESARC Wave-II data to study the rate of ACEs in adults with personality disorders. However, we reported significantly higher rates of emotional abuse (55.9% versus 28.6%), significantly lower rates of physical neglect (21.4% versus 45.2%) and slightly lower rates of sexual abuse (28.1% versus 33.6%). While Afifi et al.
(2011) defined physical neglect as any response other than never to 4 relevant questions, we defined the presence of physical neglect as observations with summed scores of 10 or greater to 5 relevant questions. According to the researchers, NESARC-II lacked one of the 5 original physical neglect questions used in the ACE Study (Felitti et al., 1998; Dube et al., 2003); therefore, an alternative definition was developed. The disparity in emotional abuse can also be explained by differences in definition. While Afifi and colleagues, (2011) defined emotional abuse according to the ACE study (response of fairly often or often to 3 relevant questions), we used a less strict definition of a response of sometimes or greater to any of the relevant questions. After adjusting our definition of emotional abuse for comparison purposes, we observed a weighted prevalence of 30.1%, which was only slightly higher than the observed rate of 28.6% reported by Afifi et al. (2011).

To date, dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) remains the most effective therapeutic approach in treating BPD. Furthermore, an extension of DBT dubbed DBT-SUD, was established to treat substance users with borderline personality disorder (Rosenthal, Lynch, & Linehan, 2005). DBT is a type of cognitive-behavioral therapy that emphasizes problem-solving, and acceptance-based practices. DBT-SUD expands on DBT alone with an emphasis on simultaneous treatment of both disorders; including the potential need for pharmacotherapy to treat drug dependence, dialectical abstinence; which involves helping a patient achieve abstinence but supporting a harm-reduction approach when/if relapse occurs, attachment strategies to help facilitate a strong therapeutic relationship, and finally skills training to cope with addictive behavior. Several small, randomized control trials have been conducted assessing the efficacy of DBT-SUD. In a sample of 28 female BPD patients with addiction of various substances, subjects assigned to DBT had greater reductions in drug abuse compared with the
treatment-as-usual (TAU) group (Linehan et al., 1999). Additionally, subjects in the DBT group experienced better improvement in global and social adjustment, and fewer dropouts at follow-up than the TAU group. In a separate randomized control trial (Linehan et al., 2002), a sample of 23 female BPD patients with opioid dependence were randomly assigned to either 1 year of DBT or comprehensive validation therapy (CVT) with a 12-step intervention. At the 8-month assessment point, subjects in the CVT group increased their opiate use whereas subjects in the DBT group maintained their reductions in use. However, there were major differences in treatment retention. All 12 of the subjects in the CVT group remained in treatment while 4 out of the 11 DBT subjects dropped out of treatment. While these studies demonstrate the potential of DBT-SUD, the need for larger, more diverse, male samples are warranted to establish the true efficacy of this treatment among patients with BPD and SUD. This is especially true given our finding that males with BPD have a higher prevalence of lifetime substance use disorder than females with BPD.

Findings from this study can help shed light on the necessity of trauma-informed care approach especially among persons with BPD. In terms of primary prevention, results from this study can help promote the development of programs that aim to prevent child abuse and neglect and promote resilience within at-risk communities. An emphasis on the benefits of positive parenting should be made in carrying out these programs as there is a plethora of evidence about the intergenerational effect of ACEs. From a secondary prevention perspective, interventions should be developed to reduce the severity and acute consequences of adverse childhood experiences, reducing the incidence of adverse outcomes and health risk behaviors linked to these traumatic events. Finally, tertiary prevention strategies should attempt to treat and reduce the long-term consequences of ACEs via the implementation of trauma-informed care in health
care and service agencies to avoid re-traumatization of patients. Trauma-informed practices serve
to provide a safe and secure environment for individuals with histories of trauma to avoid
exacerbating existing emotional wounds through unempathetic methods. Given the exorbitant
amount of stigma experienced by patients with borderline personality disorder in healthcare
settings and the even higher likelihood a patient with BPD and SUD will drop out of treatment
(Tull et al., 2012), approaches to address these disparities are necessary to prevent trauma within
the next generation and to provide healing for those vulnerable to the long-term harmful
psychological and physical effects of ACEs.
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Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of NESARC-III, 2014, United States. Stratified by Gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Male (n = 15862)</th>
<th>Female (n=20447)</th>
<th>Total (N = 36309)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%, 95% CI)</td>
<td>n (%, 95% CI)</td>
<td>n (%, 95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Range</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>5196 31.2 (30.1, 32.2)</td>
<td>6559 29.5 (28.5, 30.4)</td>
<td>11755 30.3 (29.4, 31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54</td>
<td>5820 36.2 (35.0, 37.3)</td>
<td>7330 35.3 (34.5, 36.2)</td>
<td>13150 35.7 (35.0, 36.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-74</td>
<td>3915 26.4 (25.4, 27.5)</td>
<td>5041 27.3 (26.3, 28.2)</td>
<td>8956 26.9 (26.1, 27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>931 6.3 (5.7, 6.8)</td>
<td>1517 7.9 (7.4, 8.5)</td>
<td>2448 7.1 (6.7, 7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>15862</td>
<td>20447</td>
<td>36309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>8555 66.7 (65.1, 68.2)</td>
<td>10639 65.7 (64.1, 67.4)</td>
<td>19194 66.2 (64.7, 67.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>3153 11.0 (9.8, 12.2)</td>
<td>4613 12.5 (11.0, 14.0)</td>
<td>7766 11.8 (10.5, 13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>210 1.3 (1.1, 1.5)</td>
<td>301 1.8 (1.4, 2.1)</td>
<td>511 1.6 (1.3, 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>851 5.7 (4.8, 6.7)</td>
<td>950 5.7 (4.7, 6.7)</td>
<td>1801 5.7 (4.8, 6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, any race</td>
<td>3093 15.2 (13.8, 16.6)</td>
<td>3944 14.3 (12.9, 15.6)</td>
<td>7037 14.7 (13.4, 16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>15862</td>
<td>20447</td>
<td>36309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High School</td>
<td>2456 15.5 (14.5, 16.6)</td>
<td>3034 14.7 (13.8, 15.7)</td>
<td>5490 15.1 (14.2, 16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>4466 31.2 (30.0, 32.3)</td>
<td>5333 28.8 (27.6, 30.0)</td>
<td>9799 29.9 (28.9, 31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>4981 36.2 (35.0, 37.5)</td>
<td>7124 40.4 (39.4, 41.5)</td>
<td>12105 38.4 (37.4, 39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>1933 17.1 (15.7, 18.4)</td>
<td>2417 16.0 (14.8, 17.2)</td>
<td>4350 16.5 (15.4, 17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>13836</td>
<td>17908</td>
<td>31744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Percentages are weighted. Frequencies are non-weighted. Some observations for educational level were missing from the dataset. Males were 45.9 years old on average (SE=0.2). Females were 47.2 years old on average (SE=0.2). Average age for all participants = 46.5 (SE=0.2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Male (n = 1827)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female (n = 2474)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 4301)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Age Range</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>34.9 (31.5, 38.3)</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>39.3 (36.8, 41.7)</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>37.2 (35.4, 39.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>40.3 (37.2, 43.3)</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>37.3 (34.9, 39.6)</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>38.7 (36.8, 40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-74</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>21.6 (18.9, 24.4)</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>21.2 (18.9, 23.4)</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>21.4 (19.8, 23.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.2 (2.0, 4.4)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.3 (1.6, 3.0)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.7 (2.0, 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td></td>
<td>2474</td>
<td></td>
<td>4301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ethnicity</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>70.7 (67.9, 73.6)</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>67.8 (65.2, 70.3)</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>69.2 (66.9, 71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>12.1 (10.0, 14.2)</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>12.4 (10.3, 14.5)</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>12.3 (10.5, 14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3, 2.9)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4, 4.6)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1, 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.7 (1.4, 4.0)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3.3 (2.1, 4.4)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3.0 (1.9, 4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, any race</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>12.3 (10.3, 14.3)</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>13.1 (11.3, 15.0)</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>12.8 (11.1, 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td></td>
<td>2474</td>
<td></td>
<td>4301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Education</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High School</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>17.9 (15.6, 20.3)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.2 (15.0, 19.4)</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>17.5 (15.8, 19.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>39.4 (36.2, 42.5)</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>31.4 (29.1, 33.6)</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>35.2 (33.3, 37.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>35.2 (32.1, 38.2)</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>43.9 (41.4, 46.3)</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>39.7 (37.7, 41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7.5 (6.1, 9.0)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.6 (6.0, 9.2)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>7.6 (6.5, 8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td></td>
<td>2267</td>
<td></td>
<td>3938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diagnosis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime Substance Use Disorder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>83.3 (81.3, 85.3)</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>70.5 (67.9, 73.2)</td>
<td>3201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>16.7 (14.7, 18.7)</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>29.5 (26.8, 32.1)</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>23.4 (21.7, 25.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Average age of adults with BPD = 42.3 (SE = 0.3). Average age of females = 41.7 (SE = 0.5). Average age of males = 43.0 (SE = 0.6). CI = confidence interval. Percentages are weighted. Frequencies are non-weighted. *363 subjects missing education information. Lifetime substance use disorder (0 = lifetime substance use disorder absent, 1= lifetime substance use disorder present).
Table 3: Definition and estimated weighted prevalence (%) of each category of adverse childhood experience (ACE) by sex for NESARC-III subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Before Age 18</th>
<th>Women (n=20447)</th>
<th>Men (n=15862)</th>
<th>Total (n=36309)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did an adult/other person…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Touch or fondle you in a sexual way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Have you touch their body in sexual way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Attempt to have sexual intercourse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Actually have sexual intercourse with you</td>
<td>15.9 (15.2, 16.7)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.4, 6.6)</td>
<td>11.1 (10.6, 11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did an adult/other adult living in your home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Push, grab, shove, slap, or hit you</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Hit you so hard that you had marks or bruises or were injured</td>
<td>18.2 (17.3-19.2)</td>
<td>19.2 (18.2, 20.1)</td>
<td>18.7 (17.9, 19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did a parent/other adult living in your home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Swear at or insult you or say hurtful things</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Threaten to hit or throw something at you but didn’t do it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Act in any other way that made you afraid that you would be physically hurt</td>
<td>27.2 (26.1, 28.2)</td>
<td>26.0 (25.0, 27.0)</td>
<td>26.6 (25.7, 27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Neglect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Family…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Wanted me to be a success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Helped me feel I was important or special</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Was a source of strength and support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Was part of a close-knit family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Someone believed in me</td>
<td>11.0 (10.3, 11.6)</td>
<td>8.7 (8.1, 9.3)</td>
<td>9.8 (9.4, 10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Neglect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often were you…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Made to do chores that were too difficult or dangerous for someone your age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Left alone or unsupervised when you were too young to be alone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Go without thing you needed like clothes, shoes, or school supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Make you go hungry or not prepare regular meals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Ignore or fail to get you medical treatment when you were sick or hurt</td>
<td>8.6 (8.1, 9.1)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.6, 8.8)</td>
<td>8.4 (8.0, 8.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Continued:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Before Age 18</th>
<th>Women (n=20447)</th>
<th>Men (n=15862)</th>
<th>Total (n=36309)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Battered Mother</strong></td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did your father/other adult male…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Push, grab, slap or throw something at your mother/other adult female</td>
<td>13.8 (13.1, 14.5)</td>
<td>10.3 (9.7, 11.0)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.6, 12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Kick, bite, hit your mother/other adult female with a fist or something hard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Repeatedly hit your mother/other adult female for at least a few minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Threaten your mother/other adult female with a knife/gun to hurt her</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental/other household member substance use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Was a problem drinker/alcoholic</td>
<td>26.3 (25.3, 27.3)</td>
<td>22.8 (22.0, 23.7)</td>
<td>24.6 (23.9, 25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Had similar problems with drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental/Other Household Member Mental Illness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Was treated/hospitalized for a mental illness</td>
<td>7.8 (7.3, 8.3)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.4, 6.2)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.5, 7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Attempted suicide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Actually committed suicide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental/other household member incarceration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Went to jail or prison</td>
<td>8.1 (7.6, 8.6)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.7, 6.7)</td>
<td>7.6 (7.2, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental Separation/Divorce / 1 Parent Household</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Did biological or adoptive parents get divorced or permanently stop living together before respondent was 18</td>
<td>32.0 (31.0, 32.8)</td>
<td>30.1 (29.0, 31.3)</td>
<td>31.1 (30.2, 32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Biological father ever live in household before respondent was 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACE Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.3 (36.1, 38.3)</td>
<td>39.6 (38.3, 40.8)</td>
<td>38.3 (37.4, 39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.8 (23.0, 24.7)</td>
<td>25.9 (25.0, 26.9)</td>
<td>24.8 (24.1, 25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2 (12.7, 13.8)</td>
<td>13.6 (13.0, 14.2)</td>
<td>13.4 (13.0, 13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6 (8.0, 9.2)</td>
<td>7.7 (7.3, 8.2)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.8, 8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>17.1 (16.4, 17.9)</td>
<td>13.1 (12.4, 13.9)</td>
<td>15.2 (14.6, 15.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Missing values for all necessary questions for a particular experience were coded as not having experienced that ACE.
### Table 4: Definition and estimated weighted prevalence (%) of each category of adverse childhood experience (ACE) by sex for Adults with Borderline Personality Disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Before Age 18</th>
<th>Women (n=2474)</th>
<th>Men (n=1827)</th>
<th>Total (n=4301)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did an adult/other person…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Touch or fondle you in a sexual way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Have you touch their body in sexual way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Attempt to have sexual intercourse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Actually have sexual intercourse with you</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.6 (36.5, 40.7)</td>
<td>16.4 (14.5, 18.3)</td>
<td>28.1 (26.5, 29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did an adult/other adult living in your home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Push, grab, shove, slap, or hit you</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Hit you so hard that you had marks or bruises or were injured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.3 (36.6, 42.0)</td>
<td>40.7 (38.0,43.3)</td>
<td>40.0 (37.8, 42.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did a parent/other adult living in your home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Swear at or insult you or say hurtful things</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Threaten to hit or throw something at you but didn’t do it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Act in any other way that made you afraid that you would be physically hurt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.2 (55.8, 60.7)</td>
<td>53.3 (50.2, 56.4)</td>
<td>55.9 (53.8, 58.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Neglect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Family…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Wanted me to be a success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Helped me feel I was important or special</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Was a source of strength and support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Was part of a close-knit family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Someone believed in me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.4 (22.1, 26.7)</td>
<td>18.7 (16.6, 20.7)</td>
<td>21.7 (20.0, 23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Neglect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often were you…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Made to do chores that were too difficult or dangerous for someone your age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Left alone or unsupervised when you were too young to be alone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Go without thing you needed like clothes, shoes, or school supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Make you go hungry or not prepare regular meals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Ignore or fail to get you medical treatment when you were sick or hurt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.7 (20.7, 24.7)</td>
<td>20.0 (17.8, 22.1)</td>
<td>21.4 (20.0, 22.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Continued:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Before Age 18</th>
<th>Women (n=2474) % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Men (n=1827) % (95 CI%)</th>
<th>Total (n=4301) % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Battered Mother</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How often did your father/other adult male…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Push, grab, slap or throw something at your mother/other adult female</td>
<td>30.1 (28.2, 32.0)</td>
<td>24.8 (22.4, 27.2)</td>
<td>27.6 (26.0, 29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Kick, bite, hit your mother/other adult female with a fist or something hard</td>
<td>46.6 (44.0, 49.2)</td>
<td>44.2 (41.9, 46.5)</td>
<td>45.5 (43.7, 47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Repeatedly hit your mother/other adult female for at least a few minutes</td>
<td>20.4 (18.4, 22.3)</td>
<td>14.5 (12.8, 16.3)</td>
<td>17.6 (16.3, 18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Threaten your mother/other adult female with a knife/gun to hurt her</td>
<td>19.0 (17.0, 20.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (17.4, 21.8)</td>
<td>19.3 (17.8, 20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental substance use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Was a problem drinker/alcoholic</td>
<td>46.6 (44.0, 49.2)</td>
<td>44.2 (41.9, 46.5)</td>
<td>45.5 (43.7, 47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Had similar problems with drugs</td>
<td>20.4 (18.4, 22.3)</td>
<td>14.5 (12.8, 16.3)</td>
<td>17.6 (16.3, 18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severe Parental Mental Illness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Was treated/hospitalized for a mental illness</td>
<td>46.6 (44.0, 49.2)</td>
<td>44.2 (41.9, 46.5)</td>
<td>45.5 (43.7, 47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Attempted suicide</td>
<td>20.4 (18.4, 22.3)</td>
<td>14.5 (12.8, 16.3)</td>
<td>17.6 (16.3, 18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Actually committed suicide</td>
<td>19.0 (17.0, 20.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (17.4, 21.8)</td>
<td>19.3 (17.8, 20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental Incarceration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Parent/other adult in home…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Went to jail or prison</td>
<td>19.0 (17.0, 20.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (17.4, 21.8)</td>
<td>19.3 (17.8, 20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental Separation/Divorce / 1 Parent Household</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Did biological or adoptive parents get divorced or permanently stop living together before respondent was 18</td>
<td>45.9 (43.3, 48.4)</td>
<td>42.1 (38.8, 45.3)</td>
<td>44.1 (41.9, 46.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Biological father ever live in household before respondent was 18</td>
<td>12.8 (11.0, 14.5)</td>
<td>15.4 (13.2, 17.6)</td>
<td>14.0 (12.6, 15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACE Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.1 (13.4, 16.7)</td>
<td>15.3 (13.7, 17.0)</td>
<td>14.4 (13.2, 15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.5 (11.9, 15.1)</td>
<td>15.3 (13.7, 17.0)</td>
<td>14.4 (13.2, 15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.8 (12.0, 15.6)</td>
<td>12.4 (10.6, 14.2)</td>
<td>13.1 (11.7, 14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>44.9 (42.6, 47.2)</td>
<td>37.8 (35.3, 40.3)</td>
<td>41.6 (39.8, 43.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Missing values for all necessary questions for a particular experience were coded as not having experienced that ACE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Childhood Experience Before Age 18</th>
<th>BPD Only</th>
<th>BPD + SUD</th>
<th>SUD Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aOR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abuse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Abuse</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>(2.59, 3.48)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Abuse</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>(1.90, 2.63)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Abuse</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(2.12, 2.96)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neglect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Neglect</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>(2.29, 3.36)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Neglect</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>(2.04, 2.88)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Related Issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence against Mother/Other Female</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>(1.85, 2.60)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member SubSTANCE Abuse</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>(1.26, 1.75)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental/Other Household Member Severe</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>(1.51, 2.36)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Illness</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>(1.52, 2.31)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Divorce/Separation or 1 Parent</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>(1.07, 1.50)</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Incarceration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of ACEs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>(1.21, 2.16)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>(2.16, 3.66)</td>
<td>0.0318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>(2.38, 4.29)</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>(3.78, 5.89)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Covariates included age, sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment. SUD = Lifetime substance use disorder. BPD = Borderline personality disorder. aOR = adjusted odds ratio. CI = confidence interval.